TREND Statement Checklist

Paper Section/ Topic	Item	Descriptor	Reported?	
	No			Pg #
Title and Abst	ract			
Title and	1	Information on how unit were allocated to interventions		
Abstract		Structured abstract recommended		2
		Information on target population or study sample	\checkmark	2
Introduction				
Background	2	Scientific background and explanation of rationale		3-4
		Theories used in designing behavioral interventions	V	
Methods				<mark></mark>
Participants	3	• Eligibility criteria for participants, including criteria at different levels in		0
		recruitment/sampling plan (e.g., cities, clinics, subjects)		6
		Method of recruitment (e.g., referral, self-selection), including the		0
		sampling method if a systematic sampling plan was implemented		6
		Recruitment setting		6
		Settings and locations where the data were collected	V	6
Interventions	4	Details of the interventions intended for each study condition and how	\square	
		and when they were actually administered, specifically including:		
		 Content: what was given? Delivery method: how was the content given? 		
		 Delivery method: how was the content given? Unit of delivery: how were the subjects grouped during delivery? 		
		 Deliverer: who delivered the intervention? 		
		 Setting: where was the intervention delivered? 		5-6
		 Exposure quantity and duration: how many sessions or episodes or 		
		events were intended to be delivered? How long were they		
		intended to last?		
		• Time span: how long was it intended to take to deliver the		
		intervention to each unit?	+	
Objectives	5	 Activities to increase compliance or adherence (e.g., incentives) Specific objectives and hypotheses 		4
Outcomes	6	 Specific objectives and hypotheses Clearly defined primary and secondary outcome measures 		4-5
Outcomes	0	 Methods used to collect data and any methods used to enhance the 		
		quality of measurements	\checkmark	7-9
		 Information on validated instruments such as psychometric and biometric 		
		properties		7-8
Sample Size	7	• How sample size was determined and, when applicable, explanation of any		
		interim analyses and stopping rules	5) 6
Assignment Method	8	• Unit of assignment (the unit being assigned to study condition, e.g.,		
		individual, group, community)	 	
		Method used to assign units to study conditions, including details of any methicities (a.g., blacking, stratification, minimization)		
		restriction (e.g., blocking, stratification, minimization)	 	
		 Inclusion of aspects employed to help minimize potential bias induced due to non-randomization (e.g., matching) 		
		to non-randomization (e.g., matching)		

TREND Statement Checklist

	those assessing the outcomes were blinded to study condition assignment; if so, statement regarding how the blinding was accomplished and how it		
	was assessed.		
10	Description of the smallest unit that is being analyzed to assess		9
	intervention effects (e.g., individual, group, or community)	•	
	estimates by the design effect or using multilevel analysis)		
11	Statistical methods used to compare study groups for primary methods	_	9-10
	outcome(s), including complex methods of correlated data	V	9-10
	 Statistical methods used for additional analyses, such as a subgroup 		9-1
			10
	• Statistical software or programs used		
12	• Flow of participants through each stage of the study: enrollment,		
	assignment, allocation, and intervention exposure, follow-up, analysis (a	\checkmark	6
	diagram is strongly recommended)		
		_	– .
		\checkmark	Fi
	·		
		\checkmark	Fig
	assigned to each study condition and the number of participants	\checkmark	6
	who received each intervention		0
	 Follow-up: the number of participants who completed the follow- 		
		\checkmark	Fig 1
		\checkmark	6
			-
13			<u></u>
14			
-	study condition		11-1
	Baseline characteristics for each study condition relevant to specific	-	
	disease prevention research		
	• Baseline comparisons of those lost to follow-up and those retained, overall		
	and by study condition		
	Comparison between study population at baseline and target population		
		\square	
15			
	to control for baseline differences	\checkmark	
	12 13 14	 If the unit of analysis differs from the unit of assignment, the analytical method used to account for this (e.g., adjusting the standard error estimates by the design effect or using multilevel analysis) Statistical methods used to compare study groups for primary methods outcome(s), including complex methods of correlated data Statistical methods used for additional analyses, such as a subgroup analyses and adjusted analysis Methods for imputing missing data, if used Statistical software or programs used Statistical software or programs used Flow of participants through each stage of the study: enrollment, assignment, allocation, and intervention exposure, follow-up, analysis (a diagram is strongly recommended) Enrollment: the numbers of participants screened for eligibility, found to be eligible or not eligible, declined to be enrolled, and enrolled in the study Assignment: the numbers of participants assigned to a study condition Allocation and intervention exposure: the number of participants assigned to each study condition and the number of participants who received each intervention Follow-up: the number of participants who completed the follow-up or did not complete the follow-up (i.e., lost to follow-up), by study condition Dates defining the periods of recruitment and follow-up Dates defining the periods of recruitment and follow-up Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of participants in each study condition Baseline characteristics for each study condition relevant to specific disease prevention research Baseline comparisons of those lost to follow-up and those retained, overall and by study condition 	 If the unit of analysis differs from the unit of assignment, the analytical method used to account for this (e.g., adjusting the standard error estimates by the design effect or using multilevel analysis) Statistical methods used to compare study groups for primary methods outcome(s), including complex methods of correlated data Statistical methods used for additional analyses, such as a subgroup analyses and adjusted analysis Methods for imputing missing data, if used Statistical software or programs used Flow of participants through each stage of the study: enrollment, assignment, allocation, and intervention exposure, follow-up, analysis (a diagram is strongly recommended) Enrollment: the numbers of participants screened for eligibility, found to be eligible or not eligible, declined to be enrolled, and enrolled in the study Assignment: the numbers of participants assigned to a study condition Allocation and intervention exposure: the number of participants assigned to each study condition and the number of participants who completed the follow-up or did not complete the follow-up (i.e., lost to follow-up), by study condition Follow-up: the number of participants included in or excluded from the main analysis, by study condition Description of protocol deviations from study as planned, along with reasons Baseline characteristics for each study condition relevant to specific disease prevention research Baseline comparisons of those lost to follow-up and those retained, overall and by study condition Comparison between study population at baseline and target population of interest Data on study group equivalence at baseline and statistical methods used

TREND Statement Checklist

Numbers analyzed	16	 Number of participants (denominator) included in each analysis for each study condition, particularly when the denominators change for different outcomes; statement of the results in absolute numbers when feasible 	\checkmark	9
		 Indication of whether the analysis strategy was "intention to treat" or, if not, description of how non-compliers were treated in the analyses 	\checkmark	10
Outcomes and estimation	17	• For each primary and secondary outcome, a summary of results for each estimation study condition, and the estimated effect size and a confidence interval to indicate the precision		12-15
		Inclusion of null and negative findings	\checkmark	15
		 Inclusion of results from testing pre-specified causal pathways through which the intervention was intended to operate, if any 	\square	
Ancillary analyses	18	 Summary of other analyses performed, including subgroup or restricted analyses, indicating which are pre-specified or exploratory 		
Adverse events	19	 Summary of all important adverse events or unintended effects in each study condition (including summary measures, effect size estimates, and confidence intervals) 		
DISCUSSION				
Interpretation	20	• Interpretation of the results, taking into account study hypotheses, sources of potential bias, imprecision of measures, multiplicative analyses, and other limitations or weaknesses of the study	\checkmark	16-1
		 Discussion of results taking into account the mechanism by which the intervention was intended to work (causal pathways) or alternative mechanisms or explanations 	~	18-1
		• Discussion of the success of and barriers to implementing the intervention, fidelity of implementation	\checkmark	19
		Discussion of research, programmatic, or policy implications		19
Generalizability	21	• Generalizability (external validity) of the trial findings, taking into account the study population, the characteristics of the intervention, length of follow-up, incentives, compliance rates, specific sites/settings involved in the study, and other contextual issues	~	19
Overall Evidence	22	General interpretation of the results in the context of current evidence and current theory	\checkmark	20

From: Des Jarlais, D. C., Lyles, C., Crepaz, N., & the Trend Group (2004). Improving the reporting quality of nonrandomized evaluations of behavioral and public health interventions: The TREND statement. *American Journal of Public Health*, 94, 361-366. For more information, visit: <u>http://www.cdc.gov/trendstatement/</u>