

KFDA carries out a nonlinear transformation of the random vector x into the feature space, producing $f = \psi(x)$, where the dimension of f is usually much higher than that of x . Instead of constructing the matrices S_B and S_W from the original random vector x , KFDA relies on the nonlinearly transformed vector f . The corresponding matrices describing the between and within variation of $\mathcal{F}_1 = \{f_1(1) = \psi(x_1(1)), f_1(2) = \psi(x_1(2)), \dots, f_1(n_1) = \psi(x_1(n_1))\}$ and $\mathcal{F}_2 = \{f_2(1) = \psi(x_2(1)), f_2(2) = \psi(x_2(2)), \dots, f_2(n_2) = \psi(x_2(n_2))\}$ are $S_B^f = (\bar{f}_1 - \bar{f}_2)(\bar{f}_1 - \bar{f}_2)^T$ and $S_W^f = S_{f_1} + S_{f_2}$. Here, $\bar{f}_1 = \frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \psi(x_1(i))$, $\bar{f}_2 = \frac{1}{n_2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} \psi(x_2(i))$, $S_{f_1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} (\psi(x_1(i)) - \bar{f}_1)(\psi(x_1(i)) - \bar{f}_1)^T$ and $S_{f_2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} (\psi(x_2(i)) - \bar{f}_2)(\psi(x_2(i)) - \bar{f}_2)^T$. The nonlinear transformation $f = \psi(x)$ is usually not known, however, [61] showed how to utilize scalar products $\psi(x(i)) \cdot \psi(x(j))$, which can be expressed by Mercer kernels $k(x(i), x(j)) = \psi(x(i)) \cdot \psi(x(j))$. Mercer kernels include Gaussian RBF, $k(x(i), x(j)) = \exp(\|x(i) - x(j)\|/c)$, or polynomial kernels, $k(x(i), x(j)) = (x(i) \cdot x(j))^d$, where $\|\cdot\|$ is the squared norm of a vector, and c and d are positive parameters. In this work, we used Gaussian RBF kernels and selected the parameter c using cross validation, as advocated by [81] for kernel based classification problems.

Following from the theory of reproducing kernels, the solution for the objective function $J(w) = (w^T S_B^f w) / (w^T S_W^f w)$ can be expressed as a function of the nonlinearly transformed samples, i.e. $w = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \phi(x(i))$. This implies that $w^T \bar{f}_1$ can be written as $\frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} k(x(i), x_1(j))$, where the i th element is $\alpha_i \cdot \frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} k(x(i), x_1(j)) = \alpha_i m_{1i}$. Expressing the sums $w^T \bar{f}_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i m_{1i}$ and $w^T \bar{f}_2 = \frac{1}{n_2} \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} k(x(i), x_2(j)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i m_{2i}$ as a scalar product yields $\alpha^T m_1$ and $\alpha^T m_2$, so that the numerator of the objective function $J(w)$, $w^T S_B^f w$, becomes $\alpha^T M \alpha$, where $M = (m_1 - m_2)(m_1 - m_2)^T$. Analogously, the denominator of $J(w)$, $w^T S_W^f w$ can be written as $\alpha^T N \alpha$. The construction of N follows from the definition of $w^T S_{f_1} w$ and $w^T S_{f_2} w$. Substituting $w = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \psi(x(i))$ into $w^T S_{f_1} w$ gives rise to $\alpha^T K_1 [I_{n_1} - 1_{n_1}] K_1^T \alpha$, where K_1 is a matrix of dimension n times n_1 for which $k(x(i), x_1(j))$ is the element in the i th row and j th column, I_{n_1} is the identify matrix of dimension n_1 and 1_{n_1} is a matrix for which each element is $1/n_1$. Analogously, $w^T S_{f_2} w = \alpha^T K_2 [I_{n_2} - 1_{n_2}] K_2^T \alpha$, where K_2 is a n by n_2 matrix that has $k(x(i), x_2(j))$ as the element in the i th row and j th column. The matrices I_{n_2} and 1_{n_2} are the identify matrix of dimension n_2 and a matrix for which every entry is $1/n_2$, respectively. Hence, $N = K_1 [I_{n_1} - 1_{n_1}] K_1^T + K_2 [I_{n_2} - 1_{n_2}] K_2^T$. The optimal solution for α is given by the eigenvector of the matrix $N^{-1}M$. Caution is required here, since the rank of N is less than n [61]. This can be overcome by utilizing a regularization parameter of the generalized inverse. The results presented in this article relied on the use of the generalized inverse.

References

- [61] Hsu CW, Chang CC, Lin CJ. A practical guide to support vector classification. Department of Computer Science, National Taiwan University; 2003. Available from: <http://www.csie.ntu.edu.tw/~cjlin/papers/guide/guide.pdf>
- [81] Mika S, Ratsch G, Weston J, Scholkopf B, Muller KR. Fisher Discriminant Analysis with Kernels. In: Proceedings of the Neural Networks for Signal Processing IX Workshop; 1999. p. 41–48