**Detrended mTL against Detrended FiB**

Further statistical analysis below demonstrate that FiB is strongly related to mTL even after detrending and inter-annual changes in both FiB and MTL are positively related.



*Analysis of Variance Table Between differenced/detrended MTL and FIB.*  
Model 1: dt.mtl ~ 1  
Model 2: dt.mtl ~ dt.fib  
  Res.Df    RSS Df Sum of Sq      F    Pr(>F)      
1     81 3.9000                                    
2     80 1.9055  1    1.9945 83.732 4.446e-14 \*\*\*  
---  
Signif. codes:  0 ‘\*\*\*’ 0.001 ‘\*\*’ 0.01 ‘\*’ 0.05 ‘.’ 0.1 ‘ ’ 1  
  
*cor.test(dt.mtl,dt.fib) # BEtween detrended/differenced FIB and MTL.*  
  
    Pearson's product-moment correlation  
  
data:  dt.mtl and dt.fib  
t = 9.1505, df = 80, p-value = 4.441e-14  
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0  
95 percent confidence interval:  
 0.5896133 0.8069068  
sample estimates:  
      cor   
0.7151205

*Granger Causality Test*

Model 1: mtl ~ Lags(mtl, 1:1) + Lags(fib, 1:1)

Model 2: mtl ~ Lags(mtl, 1:1)

Res.Df Df F Pr(>F)

1. 80
2. 81 -1 3.9339 0.05075 .

---

Signif. Codes: 0 ‘\*\*\*’ 0.001 ‘\*\*’ 0.01 ‘\*’ 0.05 ‘.’ 0.1 ‘’ 1