**Table S2 Comparison of the cost-effectiveness before and after the application of a prediction model as a pre-screening tool in three simulated populations**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Prevalence of BE |
| 1% | 5% | 10% |
| Total number of population | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 |
| Total number of BE patients | 1,000 | 5,000 | 10,000 |
| Number progressed to EAC over 45 years | 157 | 787 | 1,575 |
| Screening Test only | Screening stage | Survey# | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Test#  | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 |
| Surveillance stage | Test# | 14,229 | 71,146 | 142,291 |
| No. of EAC missed | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cost to detect an EAC | 728 tests | 217 tests | 154 tests |
| Survey using prediction model + Screening Test | Screening stage | Survey# | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 |
| Test# | 80,150 | 80,750 | 81,500 |
| Surveillance stage | Test# | 13,584 | 67,589 | 135,176 |
| Number of EAC missed | 8 | 39 | 79 |
| Cost to detect one EAC | 629 tests +671 surveys | 198 tests +134 surveys | 145 tests +67 surveys |