## Figure S5. Effect of high versus low Hb targets on hospitalizations in patients with CKD.

	High Hb target		Low Hb target		Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% C	I M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
2.1.1 High Hb target	(about 13g/	dl) versi	us Low Hb	group	(about 10	g/dl)	
Besarab 1998	445	618	425	618	55.6%	1.05 [0.97, 1.13]	<b>—</b>
Gouva 2004	4	45	6	43	0.8%	0.64 [0.19, 2.10]	
Singh 2006	369	715	334	717	43.6%	1.11 [1.00, 1.23]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		1378		1378	100.0%	1.07 [1.01, 1.14]	
Total events	818		765				
Heterogeneity: Chi2 =	1.49, df = 2	(P = 0.48	8); l² = 0%				
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.15 (P	= 0.03)					
2.1.2 ESA treatment Subtotal (95% CI)	(about 12g/	ai) versi 0	US NO ESA	treatm 0	ent group	Not estimable	
Total events	0		0				
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable						
Test for overall effect:	Not applical	ble					
Total (95% CI)		1378		1378	100.0%	1.07 [1.01, 1.14]	
Total events	818		765				
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	1.49, df = 2	(P = 0.48	8); l² = 0%				
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.15 (P = 0.03)							0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours High Hb target Favours Low Hb target
Test for subaroup diff	erences: Not	applical	ole				i avouis i ligit i lo laiget Favouis Low Fib laiget