**Table S1: Field trial reports of copper effectiveness on bacterial spot disease on tomato.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | **Significant difference between treatment and untreated control** |  |
| **Year** | **Location** | **Copper treatment** | **Foliar Ratinga** | **AUDPCb** | **Marketable Yieldc** | **Ref** |
| 2007 | OH | Copper hydroxide + manebd | No | No | No | [[1](#_ENREF_1)] |
| 2008 | OH | Copper hydroxide + maneb | No | No | No | [[2](#_ENREF_2)] |
| 2008 | TN | Copper hydroxide | No | NDe | No | [[3](#_ENREF_3)] |
| 2009 | OH | Copper hydroxide | Yesf | No | No | [[4](#_ENREF_4)] |
| 2009 | VA | Copper hydroxide + Serenade Maxg | No | ND | No | [[5](#_ENREF_5)] |
|  |  | Copper hydroxide + QRD146g | No | ND | No |  |
| 2010 | FL | Copper sulfate + mancozebd | No | No | No | [[6](#_ENREF_6)] |
|  |  | Copper hydroxide | Noh | Yesh | No |  |
| 2010 | FL | Copper hydroxide + mancozeb | No | No | ND | [[7](#_ENREF_7)] |
| 2010 | FL | Copper sulfate + mancozeb | No | No | No | [[8](#_ENREF_8)] |
| 2010 | FL | Copper sulfate + mancozeb | No | No | No | [[9](#_ENREF_9)] |

a Foliar ratings were reported as Horsfall-Barratt ratings or percent diseased foliage.

b Area under the disease progress curve.

c Total of medium, large, and extra-large fruit.

d Maneb and mancozeb are ethylenebisdithiocarbamate (EBDC) fungicides.

e ND, Not determined.

f Foliar symptoms were lower on copper treated plots. Fruit symptoms were not.

g  Serenade Max and QRD146 are biopesticides comprised of non-pathogenic bacterial strains, sold by AgraQuest, Davis, CA.

h Early season ratings showed decreased disease symptoms but later ratings were not different. Disease development, assessed by AUDPC, was significantly lower with copper treatment.
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