Table S2. Defining FFAT-like motifs

## A. Criteria for FFAT-like motifs, and determining number of suboptimal elements

	N-term charge $\Delta^*$	Main FFAT-like motif								
	-6 to 1=flank	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
Major features included in search patterns	≥ 1 D or E	any	FY	FYذН	DE ST	AC ST	any	DEST GNQY		
optimal residue (no penalty)	Δ≥4	DE	F	FY	DE	AC	/	DE		
Partial penalties	0.5 1	0.5		0.5			/	0.5		
residue	Δ≥3 Δ≥-2	ST		Y				ST		
Typical penalty	1.5	1	1	1	1	0.5	/	1		
residue	Δ≥0.5	others	Y	Ø	ST	ST		GNQY		
Moderate penalty	2				1.5	1				
residue	Δ≥-1				AIN	others				
Extreme penalty‡	2.5	1.5	2	1.5	2.5	2.5		1.5		
residue‡	Δ<-1	K	others	others	others	DEKR	/	others		

Top row: to be included in the list of possible motifs, sequences of 13 residues (7 in the body of the motif and 6 upstream) were found that contained at least 5 of the 6 features defined in the search pattern in the top row. Then, for all motifs, penalties were assigned to each element depending on how close they match the optimal. At each position, the strength of penalty for a particular substitution was judged primarily by the strength of its inhibitory effect on targeting, and secondarily by its rarity in alignments within families of proteins containing FFAT-like motifs. Typical penalties at most positions were 1 unit, except position 5 = 0.5 unit, acidic flank: 1.5 units.

## B. Overall numbers of human FFAT-like motifs identified with these criteria

Number of suboptimal elements		0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	>3.5
Human FFAT motifs known before		2	6	2	0	0	0	0	0
Human FFAT-like motifs identified here	4	3	8	16	45	119	183	311	2683

This table examines the total pool of FFAT-like motifs in human proteins at PROSITE as having 5 of the 6 criteria in the top row of Table S2A (n=3052). Among 15 with  $\leq$ 1 suboptimal element, 12 had been identified before (ref [14]). Applying the cut-off of  $\leq$ 2 suboptimal elements produces 62 new motifs (see Table S3 for detailed descriptions). An increase in cut-off ( $\geq$ 2.5) would lead to many more FFAT-like motifs being considered, as the number of motifs approximately doubles for every extra 0.5 sub-optimal element allowed.

<sup>°</sup> Ø is any hydrophobic residue: CILMVW.

<sup>\*</sup> For the upstream flank, an estimation of its overall negative charge ( $\Delta$ ) was made that included the potential to develop such charge by phosphorylation: each residue in the flank was assigned a charge value: 1 for D/E, 0.5 for S/T, -1 for K/R.  $\Delta$  is the sum of these values, and can vary between +6 to -6.

<sup>‡</sup> To allow unique variants and mutations we introduced to be scored, extreme substitutions were given extreme penalties, particularly in the flank, and positions 2 and 4.