Text S1: Steady state equations and relevant parameters for $c A M P-P K A, M A P K$ and TOR pathways.

Steady state equations for upstream regulation of cAMP and MAPK pathways by Mep2.
(1) Fractional activation of Mep2 by ammonium sulphate (Figure S1, Module1)

$$
f \text { Mep } 2=\left(\frac{A m^{n H 8}}{K^{n H 8}+A m^{n H 8}}\right) \times\left(\frac{K_{9}{ }^{n H 9}}{K_{9}{ }^{n H 9}+A m^{n H 9}}\right)
$$

(2) Ras2 activation module involving cdc25p and Iral (Figure S1, Module 2)

$$
\frac{k 1 \times \operatorname{Ras} 2 G T P \times I r a 1}{K m 1}=\frac{k 2 \times \operatorname{Ras} 2 G D P \times C d c 25}{K m 2}
$$

Activated Mep2 function to destabilize Ira1 by inactivating Gpb1/2, thereby reduces the active Ira1 concentration. Here, we assume that the total concentration of Ira1 is affected with activation of Mep2, which is given by Ira1t $=\mathrm{fMep} 2 \times$ Ira1max.
(3) Gpa2 regulation by Mep2 (Figure S1, Module 3)
$\boldsymbol{k} 3 \times \boldsymbol{G} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\beta} \gamma \times \boldsymbol{R} \mathbf{L}=\boldsymbol{k} 4 \times \boldsymbol{G} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{G T P} \times \boldsymbol{R g s} 2$
$\mathbf{k} 4 \times \boldsymbol{G} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{G T P} \times \mathbf{R g s} 2=\mathbf{k} 5 \times \boldsymbol{G} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{G} \mathbf{D P} \times \boldsymbol{G} \boldsymbol{\beta} \gamma$
These equations represent the regulation of GPCR by binding of ligand to the receptor. Here, $\mathrm{G} \alpha \beta \gamma$ represents Gpa2-Gpb1/2 complex, G $\alpha$ GTP represents Gpa2GTP, G $\beta \gamma$ represents $\mathrm{Gpb} 1 / 2$ and $\mathrm{G} \alpha \mathrm{GDP}$ represents Gpa2GDP. RL represents the receptor-ligand complex. Here, RL represents the Mep2 activated by ammonium sulphate.

Steady state equations relevant to cAMP and MAPK pathways (Figure S1, Module 4) were taken from our previous work [1].

## Reaction description

Flo8 activation by Tpk2

$$
\frac{k 11}{K m 11}[F l o 8][T p k 2]=\frac{k 22}{K m 22}[F l o 8 p][E 2]
$$

Pde1p activation

$$
\frac{k 13}{K m 13}[P d e 1][T p k 2]=\frac{k 14}{K m 14}[P d e 1 p][E 14]
$$

cAMP rate balance

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k 16\left[A d c_{-} a\right] \\
& =\frac{k 14}{K m 14}[P d e 2][c A M P]+\frac{k 15}{K m 15}[P d e 1 p][c A M P]
\end{aligned}
$$

Cdc25 activation
$\frac{k 24}{K m 24}[C d c 25][K s s 1 p]=\frac{k 25}{K m 25}[C d c 25 p][E 25]$
Dig1/2 inactivation

$$
\frac{k 26}{K m 26}[\operatorname{Dig1} 12][K s s 1 p]=\frac{k 27}{K m 27}[\operatorname{Dig} 1 / 2 p][E 27]
$$

Ste12Tec1 activation

$$
\frac{k 28}{K m 28}[\text { Ste } 12 \text { Tec } 1][\text { Kss } 1 p]=\frac{k 29}{K m 29}[\text { Ste } 12 p \text { Tec } 1 p][E 29]
$$

Ste12Tec1_Dig1/2_kss1 complex
phosphorylation by Ste7pp (MAPK
activation by MAPKK)

Ste7 (MAPKK) activation by Ste20
(МАРККК)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{k 31}{\text { Km31 }}[\text { Ste12Tec1_Dig1/2_Kss1 }][\text { Ste } 7 \text { pp }] \\
& =\frac{k 32}{K m 32}[\text { Ste12Tec1_Dig1/2_Kss1p }][E 32]
\end{aligned}
$$

Ste7p (MPKK) activation by Ste20 (MAPKKK) $\quad \frac{k 36}{K m 36}\left[\right.$ Ste $11 p_{-}$Ste 50$][S t e 7]=\frac{k 37}{\operatorname{Km37}}[$ Ste $7 p][E 37]$

Ste11 (MAPKKK) activation by Ste20 complex
(МАРКККК)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{k 38}{K m 38}\left[\text { Ste } 20 \_C d c 42 G T P_{-} \text {Bmh1/2][Ste1 } 1_{-} \text {Ste } 50\right] \\
& =\frac{k 39}{K m 39}\left[\text { Ste } 11 p_{-} \text {Ste } 50\right][E 39]
\end{aligned}
$$

Cdc42 activation by Cdc24p

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{k 43}{K m 43}[C d c 42 G D P][C d c 24 p] \\
& =\frac{k 44}{K m 44}[C d c 42 G T P][\text { Rgal }]
\end{aligned}
$$

> Nomenclature: If a protein is ' A ' and another protein is ' B ' then ' $\mathrm{A}_{-} \mathrm{B}$ ' represents the complex between 'A' and ' B '. Also, 'Ap' represents the activated protein (phosphorylated). Ei represents a phosphatase.
> Relevant parameters (Rate constants, Michaelis Menten constant, total concentrations and disassociation constants) for the module cAMP and MAPK (Module 4) were taken from Sengupta et al 2007 [1].

## Steady state equations for TOR mediated control of G1 cyclins and Msn2/4 translocation (Figure S2)

(1) Fractional activation of TOR by ammonium sulfate

$$
f T O R=\left(\frac{A m^{n H 4}}{K_{4}^{n H 4}+A m^{n H 4}}\right)
$$

(2) Translational control of Cln3 by TOR

$$
C \ln 3=C \ln 3_{\max }\left(\frac{T O R^{n H 14}}{{K_{14}}^{n H 14}+T O R^{n H 14}}\right)
$$

(3) Inactivation of Phosphatase Pph21/22 by TOR
(4) Control of nuclear translocation of Msn2/4 by Pph21/22 and Tpk

$$
\operatorname{Msn} 2 / 4_{c y c} \times \underbrace{K_{\text {imp }} \times\left(\frac{K_{10}{ }^{n H 10}}{T p k^{n H 10}+K_{10}{ }^{n H 10}}\right)}_{\text {term } 1}=\operatorname{Msn} 2 / 4_{n u c} \times \underbrace{K_{\exp } \times\left(\frac{K_{11}{ }^{n H 11}}{K_{11}^{n H 11}+P p h 21 / 22^{n H 11}}\right) \times\left(\frac{T p k^{n H 12}}{K_{12}^{n H 12}+T p k^{n H 12}}\right)}_{\text {term } 2}
$$

(5) Sbf activation and inactivation by Cln3 and Clb2, respectively

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V a=\boldsymbol{k a s b f} \times \boldsymbol{C} \ln 3 \\
& \boldsymbol{V i}=\boldsymbol{k}_{\text {isbf }}+\boldsymbol{k i} \times \boldsymbol{C l b} 2 \\
& \boldsymbol{k a s b} \boldsymbol{f} \times \boldsymbol{C} \ln 3-\left(\boldsymbol{k}_{\text {isbf }}+\boldsymbol{k i} \times \boldsymbol{C l b} 2\right) \times \boldsymbol{S b} \boldsymbol{f}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

(6) Sbf mediated synthesis and degradation of G1 cyclin Cln 1/2

$$
\boldsymbol{k s} \times \boldsymbol{S b f}-\boldsymbol{k} \operatorname{deg} \times \boldsymbol{C} \ln 1=0
$$

## Species Total molar balances

| Ras2t | $=$ Ras $2 G T P+\operatorname{Ras} 2 G D P+\operatorname{Ras} 2 G D P_{-} C d c 25 p+$ Ras $2 G T P_{-}$Iral |
| :---: | :---: |
| Irat | $=$ Iral $+($ Ras 2 GTP $)($ Iral $) /$ Kml |
| $G \alpha t$ | $=G \alpha \beta \gamma+G \alpha G T P+G \alpha G D P+$ Adc_G ${ }_{-}$GTP |
| $G \beta \gamma t$ | $=G \beta \gamma+G \alpha \beta \gamma$ |
| Msn $2 t$ | $=$ Msn2nuc + Msn2cyc |
| Adct | $=A d c+A d c_{-} G \alpha G T P+A d c_{-}$Ras2GTP |
| Flost | $=$ Flos + Flo8p |
| E2t | $=E 2+F l o 8 p_{-} E 2$ |
| Pdelt | $=$ Pdel + Pdelp-Camp + Pdelp + Pdelp_E12 + Pdel_C |
| $R_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t$ | $=2 . R_{2} C_{2}+2 R_{2}(\mathrm{Camp})_{4}$ |
| Pde2t | $=P d e 2=\operatorname{Pde} 2 t /(1+[\mathrm{Camp}] / \mathrm{Km}(2))$ |
| $C t$ | $=2 R_{2} C_{2}+C+$ Pdel_C |
| Ste12tec_t | $=$ Kssl_Dig12_Stel2Tecl + Ksslp_Dig12_Ste12Tecl + Ste12Tecl + |
|  | Ste12Teclp + Ste12Tecl_Ksslp + Ste12pTeclp_E29 |
| Ksslt | $=$ Ksslp_Dig12_Ste12Tecl + Ksslp + Kssl_Dig12_Ste12Tecl + Kssl + |
|  | Stel2Tecl_Ksslp + Ksslp_Dig12_Ste12Tecl_E32 + |
|  | Kssl_Digl2_Ste12Tecl_Ste7p + Ksslp_Dig12 + Cdc25_Kss + Kssfus 3 |
| Dig12t | $=$ Dig12p + Dig12 + Kss1_Dig12_Ste12Tecl + Ksslp_Dig12_Ste12Tec1 + |
|  | Ksslp_Dig12_Ste12Tec1_E32 + Kss1_Dig12_Ste12Tec1_Ste7pp + |
|  | E27_Dig12p + Dig12_Ksslp |
| Cdc $25 t$ | $=\quad$ Cdc25p + Cdc25 + Cdc25_Kss + Cdc25_Fus3 + Cdc25_Kss1p + |
|  | Cdc25p_E10 |


| Fus3t | = | Fus3 + Cdc25_Fus3 + Kssfus3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E35t | $=$ | $E 35+(S t e 7 p p)(E 35) / K m(2)$ |
| E37t | $=$ | $E 37+(S t e 7 p)(E 37) / K m(4))$ |
| E39t | $=$ | $E 39+(S t e 7 p)(E 39) / K m(6))$ |
| Ste7t | $=$ | Ste $7+$ Ste $7 p p+$ Ste $7 p$ (intermediate complexes are neglected) |
| Ste11ste50t | $=$ | Ste11ste50 + Stel1pste50 |
| Ste20t | $=$ | Ste20_Hl7p + Ste20 + Cdc42p_Ste20_Bmh + Cdc42p_Ste20_Bmh |
| Hl7pt | $=$ | $H l 7 p+S t e 20 \_H l 7 p$ |
| Rgalpt | = | Rgalp + Cdc $42 p G T P P_{-}$Rgalp |
| Cdc42pt | = | Cdc42p_Gtp + Cdc42pGtp_Ste20 + Cdc42p_Ste20_Bmh + Cdc $42 p_{-}$Gdp + |
|  |  | $C d c 24 p \_C d c 42 p G d p+$ Rgalp_Cdc42pGtp |

Relevant parameters (Rate constant, Michaelis Menten constant, total concentration and disassociation constant) for TOR pathway and Modules 1, 2 and 3 of Figure S1.

## Component Concentrations (nM)

| Species | Conc. (nM) | Reference | Species | Conc.(nM) | Reference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pph21/22 | $160^{*}$ | $[4]$ | Adc | 40 | $[2]$ |
| Msn 2 | 2 | $[4]$ | Ira | 30 | $[1]$ |
| Tor 1 | 15 | $[4]$ | Sbf | 50 | $[3]$ |
| Cln 3 | 50 | $[3]$ | Rgs 2 | 50 | $[2]$ |
| $\mathrm{Cln} 1 / 2$ | 50 | $[3]$ | $\mathrm{G} \beta \alpha$ | 75 | $[4]$ |
| Clb 2 | 50 | $[3]$ | Mep2 | 50 | $[4]$ |
| $\mathrm{G} \alpha$ | 75 | $[4]$ | Ras2 | 200 | $[2]$ |

Unknown concentrations were calculated from molecules numbers obtained from Yeast GFP fusion localization database (http://yeastgfp.ucsf.edu) [4]. Molecule numbers were converted into nM by considering a cell volume of 100 fL

* Only $10 \%$ of $\operatorname{Pph} 21 / 22$ is actually involved in the TOR signaling [5].

| Nomenclature | Value <br> ( $\mathrm{min}^{-1}$ ) | Reference | Nomenclature | Value $\left(\right.$ min $\left.^{-1}\right)$ | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| k1 | 36 | [7] | kasbf | 0.38 | [3] |
| k2 | 12 | [7] | ki | 8 | [3] |
| k3 | 0.5 | [2] | kisbf | 0.8 | [3] |
| k4 | 4 | [2] | ks | 0.15 | [3] |
| k5 | 2.5 | [2] | kdeg | 0.12 | [3] |
| kimp | 1 | [assumed] | d] kexp | 1 | [assumed] |
| Dissociation constants |  |  | Michaelis Menten constants |  |  |
| Kd | Value <br> (nM) | Reference | Km | Value <br> (nM) | Reference |
| kd1 | 10 | [6] K | Km1 | 250 | [7] |
| kd2 | 2 | [2] K | Km2 | 160 | [7] |

Hills Coefficient

| $\boldsymbol{n H}$ | value | Reference | $\boldsymbol{n H}$ | value | Reference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{nH1}$ | 4 | $[1]$ | nH 9 | 2 | [calculated] |
| nH 2 | 0.8 | $[1]$ | $\mathrm{nH10}$ | 2 | [assumed] |


| nH3 | 3-4 | [calculated] | nH11 | 3-4 | [calculated] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| nH4 | 0.3 | [calculated] | nH12 | 2 | [assumed] |
| nH5 | 2-3 | [calculated] | nH13 | 0.9-1.2 | [calculated] |
| nH6 | 4 | [1] | nH14 | 2 | [calculated] |
| nH7 | 0.8 | [1] |  |  |  |
| nH8 | 1-2 | [calculated] |  |  |  |
| K0.5 | Value (nM) | Reference | K0.5 | Value(nM) | Reference |
| K1 | 67 | [1] | K9 | 1000* | [calculated] |
| K2 | 10 | [1] | K10 | 10 | [assumed] |
| K3 | 2.5 | [calculated] | K11 | 3 | [calculated] |
| K4 | 15-30* | [calculated] | K12 | 10 | [assumed] |
| K5 | 5 | [calculated] | K13 | 2 | [calculated] |
| K6 | 14 | [1] | K14 | 7.5 | [calculated] |
| K7 | 2 | [1] |  |  |  |
| K | 2-16* | [calculated] |  |  |  |
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