**Appendix 1**

Quality assessment criteria checklist adapted from Webster et al. [24].

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Questions** | **Response / decision rule criteria** |
| 1. Participant characteristics – are participant demographics adequately described? (include: sport, level of competition, number, age, gender) | Adequate – all details providedPartial – all details except level of competition or genderInadequate – missing details |
| 2. Were inclusion/exclusion criteria stated? | Stated - clear list of both givenLimited - one or two points onlyNot stated - not provided |
| 3. Was the design appropriate to the research question? | Yes - well matched to questionNo |
| 4. Were key-dependent variables measured? | Adequate - all details providedPartial - only some variables measuredInadequate - missing details |
| 5. Psychometric properties - was the reliability of measurement tools reported and adequate? | Adequate - all details providedPartial - only some aspects of reliability reportedInadequate - missing details |
| 6. Psychometric properties —was the validity of measurement tools reported and adequate? | Adequate - all details providedPartial - only some aspects of validity reportedInadequate - missing details |
| 7. Was the external validity of the results discussed? | Yes - generalisability of findings discussedNo |
| 8. Were the limitations of the studies described? | Adequate - all limitations discussedPartial - limited descriptionInadequate - not described |