High-Sensitivity C-Reactive Protein and Risk of Sepsis

Henry E. Wang¹*, Nathan I. Shapiro², Monika M. Safford³, Russell Griffin⁴, Suzanne Judd⁵, Joel B. Rodgers¹, David G. Warnock⁶, Mary Cushman⁷, George Howard⁵

1 Department of Emergency Medicine, University of Alabama School of Medicine, Birmingham, Alabama, United States of America, 2 Department of Emergency Medicine, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, Massachusetts, United States of America, 3 Division of Preventive Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of Alabama School of Medicine Birmingham, Alabama, United States of America, 4 Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama, United States of America, 5 Department of Biostatistics, School of Public Health, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Alabama, United States of America, 6 Division of Nephrology, Department of Medicine, University of Alabama School of Medicine, Birmingham, Alabama, United States of America, 7 Division of Hematology and Oncology, Department of Medicine, University of Vermont, Burlington, Vermont, United States of America

Abstract

Background: Conventional C-reactive protein assays have been used to detect or guide the treatment of acute sepsis. The objective of this study was to determine the association between elevated baseline high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP) and the risk of future sepsis events.

Methods: We studied data from 30,239 community dwelling, black and white individuals, age \geq 45 years old enrolled in the REasons for Geographic and Racial Differences in Stroke (REGARDS) cohort. Baseline hsCRP and participant characteristics were determined at the start of the study. We identified sepsis events through review of hospital records. Elevated hsCRP was defined as values >3.0 mg/L. Using Cox regression, we determined the association between elevated hsCRP and first sepsis event, adjusting for sociodemographic factors (age, sex, race, region, education, income), health behaviors (tobacco and alcohol use), chronic medical conditions (coronary artery disease, diabetes, dyslipidemia, hypertension, chronic kidney disease, chronic lung disease) and statin use.

Results: Over the mean observation time of 5.7 years (IQR 4.5–7.1), 974 individuals experienced a sepsis event, and 11,447 (37.9%) had elevated baseline hsCRP (>3.0 mg/L). Elevated baseline hsCRP was independently associated with subsequent sepsis (adjusted HR 1.56; 95% CI 1.36–1.79), adjusted for sociodemographics, health behaviors, chronic medical conditions and statin use.

Conclusion: Elevated baseline hsCRP was associated with increased risk of future sepsis events. hsCRP may help to identify individuals at increased risk for sepsis.

Citation: Wang HE, Shapiro NI, Safford MM, Griffin R, Judd S, et al. (2013) High-Sensitivity C-Reactive Protein and Risk of Sepsis. PLoS ONE 8(7): e69232. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0069232

Editor: Irving Coy Allen, Virginia Tech University, United States of America

Received April 19, 2013; Accepted June 6, 2013; Published July 23, 2013

Copyright: © 2013 Wang et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This study was supported by award R01-NR012726 from the National Institute for Nursing Research, UL1-RR025777 from the National Center for Research Resources, as well as by grants from the Center for Clinical and Translational Science and the Lister Hill Center for Health Policy of the University of Alabama at Birmingham. The parent REGARDS study was supported by cooperative agreement U01-NS041588 from the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, National Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Service. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the funding agencies. Representatives of the funding agencies have been involved in the review of the manuscript but not directly involved in the collection, management, analysis or interpretation of the data.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: hwang@uabmc.edu

Introduction

Sepsis, the syndrome of microbial infection complicated by systemic inflammatory response, is a major public health problem associated with significant morbidity and mortality. [1] The national burden of severe sepsis care in the United States is substantial, encompassing an estimated 750,000 hospital admissions, 570,000 Emergency Department visits, 200,000 deaths and \$16.7 billion in medical expenditures annually.[2–4] While efforts to reduce the public health impact of sepsis have focused primarily on optimizing hospital outcomes after the onset of disease, there has been relative little attention directed towards identifying its antecedent risk factors. [5,6]

Biomarkers have been broadly used to aid in the diagnosis, prognostication or therapy of many medical conditions. [7] C-

reactive protein (CRP) is an acute phase protein that plays a prominent role in many diseases. Elevated CRP levels detected using high-sensitivity assay techniques have been associated with risk of cardiovascular disease, stroke and all-cause mortality.[7–9] While often used to detect or guide the treatment of acute sepsis, there have been few efforts linking CRP level at a stable phase of health with risk of *future* sepsis events.[10–18] As with cardiovascular disease, if baseline CRP levels were associated with future sepsis events, this finding could motivate strategies to mitigate disease severity or mortality, or to prevent the onset of the condition.

The objective of this study was to determine the association between baseline high-sensitivity CRP (hsCRP) and future risk of sepsis in community-dwelling individuals.

Materials and Methods

Ethics Statement

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Alabama at Birmingham with waiver of the requirement for informed consent.

Study Design

This study utilized data from the Reasons for Geographic and Racial Differences in Stroke (REGARDS) cohort, a national population-based longitudinal cohort.

Selection of Participants

Designed to evaluate geographic and black-white stroke mortality variations, REGARDS is one of the largest ongoing national cohorts of community-dwelling individuals in the US, encompassing 30,239 individuals \geq 45 years old. [19] REGARDS includes individuals from all regions of the continental US. Participant representation oversampled the Southeastern US, with 20% of the cohort originating from the coastal plains of North Carolina, South Carolina and Georgia (the "buckle" of the stroke belt), and 30% originating from the remainder of North Carolina, South Carolina and Georgia plus Tennessee, Mississippi, Alabama, Louisiana and Arkansas (the "stroke belt"). The cohort is 41% African American and 45% male, and 69% of individuals are >60 years old. The cohort does not include Hispanics where stroke mortality disparities are small to non-existent.

REGARDS enrolled participants during 2003–7. REGARDS obtained baseline data for each participant using both phone interview and in-person evaluations. Baseline data included medical history, functional status, health behaviors, physical characteristics (height, weight), physiologic measures (blood pressure, pulse, electrocardiogram), and an inventory of medications. Each participant provided blood and urine specimens. Self-administered questionnaires evaluated diet, family history of diseases, psychosocial factors and prior residences. On a semi-annual basis, the study contacted each participant to determine the date, location and attributed reason for all emergency department visits and hospitalizations during the follow-up interval. If the participant died, the study team reviewed death certificates and medical records and interviewed proxies to ascertain the circumstances of the participant's death.

Identification of Sepsis Events

Using a taxonomy for serious infections developed by Angus, et al., we reviewed all reported hospital admissions and Emergency Department visits attributed by participants to a serious infection. [2] Two trained abstractors independently reviewed all relevant medical records to confirm the presence of a serious infection on initial hospital presentation, and the relevance of the serious infection as a major reason for hospitalization. The abstractors identified clinical and laboratory information from the first 28-hours of hospitalization. The abstractors adjudicated discordances, with additional physician-level review as needed.

Consistent with international consensus definitions, sepsis consisted of presentation to the hospital with an infection plus two or more systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS) criteria, including 1) heart rate >90 beats/minute, 2) fever (temperature >38.3°C or <36°C), 3) tachypnea (>20 breaths/min) or PCO₂<32 mmHg, and 4) leukocytosis (white blood cells [WBC] >12,000 or <4,000 cells/mm³ or >10% band forms). [1] Presentation to the hospital consisted of the time of Emergency Department triage or admission to inpatient unit (for participants admitted directly to the hospital). To allow for acute changes in the

participant's condition during early hospitalization, we used vital signs and laboratory test results for the initial 28-hours of hospitalization. We did not include vital signs or laboratory findings at later time points of the hospitalization. We did not include sepsis developing at later time points of the hospitalization. We did not include organ dysfunction in the definition of sepsis. Initial review of 1,349 hospital records indicated excellent interrater agreement for presence of a serious infection (kappa = 0.92) and the presence of sepsis (kappa = 0.90) upon hospital presentation.

Measurement of Baseline hsCRP

Trained personnel collected blood samples from all REGARDS participants at subjects' homes following a 10–12 hour fast. Samples were centrifuged and serum or plasma separated within 2 hours of collection (mean 97 minutes, SD 127 minutes) and shipped overnight on ice packs to the central laboratory at the University of Vermont. Overnight shipping of samples was achieved for 95% of the cohort. On arrival, samples were centrifuged at 30,000 g and 4°C, and either analyzed (general chemistries) or stored at -80° C. hsCRP was determined in batches by particle-enhanced immunonephelometry using the BNII nephelometer (N High-sensitivity CRP; Dade Behring) with interassay CVs of 2.1%–5.7%. There was no difference in hsCRP distribution by number of sample shipping days. In contrast with conventional CRP assays, the high-sensitivity CRP technique is able to detect levels as low as 0.04 mg/L.

Covariates

We considered covariates correlated with hsCRP including sociodemographic characteristics, health behaviors and chronic medical conditions. [20] Sociodemographic characteristics included age, sex, race, geographic region, self-reported annual household income and self-reported education (years of school). Geographic region was defined as participant residence in the stroke "buckle," stroke "belt," and elsewhere. [19] Health behaviors included tobacco and alcohol use. Smoking status use was defined as current, past and never. We defined alcohol use according to the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism classification; i.e., moderate (1 drink per day for women or 2 drinks per day for men) and heavy alcohol use (>1 drink per day for women and >2 drinks per day for men). [21]

Evaluated chronic medical conditions included hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidemia, coronary artery disease, chronic kidney disease, and chronic lung disease. Hypertension consisted of systolic blood pressure \geq 140 mm Hg, diastolic blood pressure \geq 90 mm Hg, or the reported use of antihypertensive agents. Diabetes included a fasting glucose \geq 126 mg/L (or a glucose \geq 200 mg/L for those not fasting) or the use of insulin or oral hypoglycemic agents. Dyslipidemia consisted of low density lipoprotein cholesterol >130 mg/dL, or use of lipid lowering medications. A history of coronary artery disease consisted of individuals with a self-reported history of myocardial infarction, coronary intervention or baseline electrocardiographic evidence of myocardial infarction.

Chronic kidney disease consisted of an estimated glomerular filtration rate $<60 \text{ ml/min}/1.73 \text{ m}^2$ calculated using the CKD-EPI equation. [22] Because REGARDS did not collect information on pulmonary conditions such as asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, we defined participant use of pulmonary medications as a surrogate for chronic lung disease. Obtained from each participant's medication inventory, pulmonary medications included beta agonists, leukotriene inhibitors, inhaled corticosteroids, combination inhalers, and other pulmo-

Table 1. Infection types associated with hospitalizations for sepsis.

Infection Type	Number of Sepsis Hospitalizations (n=974) N (%)		
Pneumonia	416 (42.7)		
Kidney and Urinary Tract Infections	157 (16.1)		
Abdominal	136 (14.0)		
Bronchitis, Influenza and other Lung Infections	89 (9.1)		
Skin and Soft Tissue	74 (7.6)		
Sepsis	58 (6.0)		
Fever of Unknown Origin	15 (1.5)		
Surgical Wound	7 (0.7)		
Catheter (IV/Central/Dialysis)	5 (0.5)		
Meningitis	3 (0.3)		
Unknown/Other	14 (1.4)		

Includes first sepsis episodes only.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0069232.t001

nary medications such as ipratropium, cromolyn, aminophylline and theophylline. We also identified statin use through the participant's medication inventory.

Data Analysis

To maintain consistency with cardiovascular studies, we defined elevated baseline hsCRP as >3.0 mg/L. [23] We compared demographic, health behavioral and clinical characteristics between individuals with normal and elevated hsCRP. Using Cox proportional hazards regression, we calculated hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for the association between elevated baseline hsCRP and first episode of sepsis during follow-up. We defined person-time at risk as the time (days) from first in-person examination to the first episode of sepsis or the last follow-up interview, whichever came first. We adjusted the models for demographic characteristics (age, sex, race, education, geographic region, income), health behaviors (smoking and alcohol use), and chronic medical conditions (hypertension, dyslipidemia, coronary artery disease, chronic kidney disease, chronic lung disease). Because statins lower inflammatory markers, we also adjusted for statin use. [24]

Due to the time lag in observations and medical record retrieval, we could not review medical records for 1,157 participants with reported hospitalizations for a serious infection over the observation period (2003–2011), a figure expected to yield an additional 300 sepsis events based on adjudication rates for other participants. Therefore, we repeated the analysis excluding these individuals. The primary analysis focused on sepsis events. In a secondary analysis we examined the association between hsCRP and non-sepsis infection events (serious infections that did not fulfill SIRS criteria). We conducted all analyses using SAS v9.3.

Results

Among the 30,239 REGARDS participants, from February 5, 2003 through July 30, 2012, we identified 2,151 hospitalizations for a serious infection, encompassing 1,179 sepsis events among 974 individuals. Mean follow-up time was 5.7 years (IQR 4.5–7.1).

Among participants with available values, median hsCRP was 2.2 mg/L (IQR 1.0, 5.0), and 11,447 (37.9%) exhibited elevated hsCRP (>3.0 mg/L). Among the 974 sepsis individuals with hsCRP levels available, the most common infection types associated with the first sepsis episode were pneumonia, kidney

and urinary tract infections, and abdominal infections. (Table 1) Individuals with elevated hsCRP were more likely to be younger, female, or black, and to report lower income and education. (Table 2) While individuals with elevated hsCRP were more likely to be current or past smokers, they were less likely to report heavy or moderate alcohol use. Chronic medical conditions were more common in individuals with elevated hsCRP.

Among REGARDS participants, 491 of 18,736 (2.6%) individuals with hsCRP \leq 3.0 mg/L developed sepsis, and 483 of 11,447 (4.2%) with CRP \geq 3.0 mg/L developed sepsis. Elevated baseline hsCRP (\geq 3.0 mg/L) was independently associated with an increased risk of sepsis (HR 1.68; 95% CI: 1.48–1.90). (Table 3) Elevated baseline hsCRP remained independently associated with sepsis risk after adjustment for demographic characteristics, health behaviors, chronic medical conditions and statin use (HR 1.56; 95% CI 1.36–1.79). (Table 3).

When repeating the analysis excluding the 1,157 participants with unadjudicated potential sepsis events, there was a similar association between CRP and incident sepsis (adjusted HR 1.51; 95% CI 1.31–1.76). Excluded individuals were less likely to be African American (39.6 vs. 41.5%) and exhibited slightly higher hsCRP levels (mean 5.4 vs. 4.6 mg/L). In a secondary analysis we identified 828 individuals with non-sepsis infection events (serious infections that did not fulfill SIRS criteria). While elevated baseline hsCRP was independently associated with the risk of non-sepsis infection events (adjusted HR 1.37; 95% CI: 1.18–1.60), the relationship was lower than that for sepsis events.

Discussion

Elevated hsCRP levels detected using high-sensitivity assay techniques have been associated with risk of cardiovascular disease, stroke and all-cause mortality.[7–9] In this study of individuals in the REGARDS cohort, we found that elevated baseline hsCRP was associated with increased risk of future sepsis events. This finding suggests that knowledge of hsCRP level could help to identify individuals at increased risk for sepsis.

There are plausible pathophysiologic connections between elevated baseline hsCRP and future sepsis events. CRP is believed to activate complement, interact with cell surface receptors, induce a prothrombotic state, increase expression of inflammatory mediators, and upregulate endothelial cell adhesion molecules, among other actions. [25] Many of the same inflammatory **Table 2.** Baseline characteristics of subjects with normal \leq 3.0 mg/L and elevated > 3.0 mg/L baseline high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP).

2-372.232.3668-7524.02.368-7515.513.463-6715.513.4Male50.262.2-0.00Female50.262.2-0.00Female51.250.9<0.00Back63.250.9<0.00Back63.250.9<0.00Back63.250.9<0.00Back63.250.9<0.00Back10.815.6<0.00High school10.815.6<0.00Some college26.227.9<0.00Some college15.522.6<0.00Stok Sol graduate15.522.6<0.00Sol college on Higher15.322.6<0.00Stok Sol k A15.522.6<0.00Stok Sol k A15.522.6<0.00Stok Park k10.627.8<0.00Stok Buck12.512.1<0.00Stok Buck12.213.4<0.00Stok Buck12.213.4<0.00Stok Buck12.213.5<0.00Stok Buck12.213.6<0.00Stok Buck12.213.6<0.00Stok Buck13.223.0<0.00Stok Buck13.223.0<0.00Stok Buck13.223.0<0.00Stok Buck13.223.0<0.00Stok Buck13.223.0<0.00Stok Buck13.3	Characteristic	hsCRP≤3.0 mg/L (n = 18,736)	hsCRP>3.0 mg/L (n = 11,447)	p-value*
S-5-6138.240.7<00062-672.32.32.365-752.402.32.376-15.513.47Male50.23.6.2<000	DEMOGRAPHICS			
2-2722323668-752402375+15.513.468-7615.513.468-7650.262.886-7650.262.8Female50.262.887-8063.250.987-8063.250.987-8063.250.987-8063.250.987-8010.815.687-8010.815.687-8024.827.787-8026.227.987-8026.328.987-8015.52.587-8015.52.687-8015.52.687-8013.02.0820 k-334 k3.02.0820 k-334 k3.02.0825 k-374 k3.02.0825 k-374 k3.02.0825 k-374 k3.02.0825 k-374 k3.02.0825 k-374 k3.02.0826 k-374 k2.03.1827 k-184 k3.12.0827 k-184 k3.12.0827 k-184 k3.12.0828 k-374 k3.03.1828 k-374 k3.03.1828 k-374 k3.03.1828 k-374 k3.03.1829 k-184 k3.13.1820 k-184 k3.13.1820 k-184 k3.13.1820 k-184 k3.13.1820 k-184 k3.13.18	Age (%)			
88-7524.022.376-415.513.486-8715.513.486-8750.250.2<00.0	45–61	38.2	40.7	< 0.001
76-15.513.4Gender (%)36.236.0Berahle50.250.3Sterahle50.250.9Acce (%)53.250.9Back53.250.9Back53.250.9Back10.851.6Callege on higher24.827.7Callege on higher26.227.9Callege on higher38.328.9Callege on higher36.328.9Callege on higher26.237.0Star & Xa Xa23.126.0Star & Xa Xa23.126.0Star & Star & St	62–67	22.3	23.6	
Sender (%) 50.2 36.2 <0.00	58–75	24.0	22.3	
Alale50.263.2<0.00iernale49.86.3.8tace (%)36.849.1White36.849.1iducation (%)10.815.6iducation (%)26.227.9ione collego on higher83.328.9ione collego on higher15.52.5.5<<0.00	76+	15.5	13.4	
ameale 49.8 63.8 tace (%) 53.2 50.9 <0.00	Gender (%)			
the (%) 63.2 50.9 <0.00	Лаle	50.2	36.2	<0.001
bilack 63.2 50.9 <0.00	emale	49.8	63.8	
Back 96.8 99.1 Siducation (%) 15.8 7.9 Siducation graduate 24.8 27.9 Siducation (%) 28.3 28.9 Siducation (%) 28.3 28.9 college or higher 38.3 28.9 college or higher 26.2 2.79 sidu (%) 38.3 28.9 come (%) 21.1 26.0 sidu (%) 30.6 27.8 sidu (%) 30.6 27.9 sidu (%) 30.6 27.9 sidu (%) 31.6 20.0 sidu (%) 32.9 20.0	Race (%)			
Education (%) 10.8 15.6 <0.00	Vhite	63.2	50.9	<0.001
ses than high school10.815.6<0.00tigh school graduate24.827.75000some college26.227.95000college on higher36.328.95000nexme (%)15.522.5<0.000	Black	36.8	49.1	
ship school graduate 24.8 27.7 some college 26.2 27.9 college or higher 38.3 28.9 ncome (%) 38.3 28.9 scome (%) 23.1 26.0 250 k \$34 k 30.6 27.8 \$55 s \$-\$74 k 30.6 27.8 \$57 s k 11.6 12.5 Seegraphic Region (%) 12.5 12.1 Stocke Buckle 20.3 21.9 <0.00	Education (%)			
Some college 26.2 27.9 College or higher 38.3 28.9 Income (%)				<0.001
incleage or higher 38.3 28.9 ncome (%) 15.5 22.5 <0.00	ligh school graduate	24.8	27.7	
Accome (%) <\$20 k	ome college	26.2	27.9	
\$20 k15.522.5<0.00\$20 k - \$34 k23.126.027.8\$35 k - \$74 k30.627.827.8\$35 k - \$74 k18.311.620.3\$57 k18.311.620.321.9\$60graphic Region (%)34.235.420.3\$10 ke Buckle20.321.9<0.00	College or higher	38.3	28.9	
\$20 k - \$34 k 23.1 26.0 \$35 k - \$74 k 30.6 27.8 \$35 k - \$74 k 18.3 11.6 \$57 k 12.0 12.1 \$50 control t - 10.0 12.0 12.0 \$600 control t - 10.0 12.1 0.000 \$600 control t - 10.0 12.0 12.0 0.000 \$600 control t - 10.0 12.0 18.5 0.001 \$600 control t - 10.0 12.0 18.5 0.001 \$600 control t - 10.0 12.0 10.0 0.000 \$600 control t - 10.0 12.0 0.000				
30.6 27.8 \$35 k - \$74 k 30.6 27.8 \$75 k 18.3 11.6 \$57 k 12.1 12.1 segoraphic Region (%) 31.2 35.4 store Region (%) 31.2 35.4 troke Buckle 30.3 21.9 <0.00	<\$20 k	15.5	22.5	<0.001
\$75 k 18.3 11.6 tefused/unknown 12.5 12.1 Geographic Region (%) 21.9 0.000 Stroke Buckle 32.4 35.4 Non-Belt/Buckle 42.8 42.8 HEATTH BEHAVIORS 12.2 18.5 <0.01	\$20 k-\$34 k	23.1	26.0	
Before M 12.5 12.1 Beographic Region (%) 20.3 21.9 <0.00	335 k-\$74 k	30.6	27.8	
Beographic Region (%) 20.3 21.9 <0.00	≥\$75 k	18.3	11.6	
troke Buckle 20.3 21.9 <0.00	lefused/unknown	12.5	12.1	
34.2 35.4 Non-Belt/Buckle 45.5 42.8 ELALTH BEHAVIORS 5 42.8 ELALTH BEHAVIORS 5 5 Eductor Use (%) 12.2 18.5 <0.01	Geographic Region (%)			
Non-Belt/Buckle 45.5 42.8 HEALTH BEHAVIORS 5 5 Fobacco Use (%) 12.2 18.5 <0.01	Stroke Buckle	20.3	21.9	<0.001
HEALTH BEHAVIORS Tobacco Use (%) Current 12.2 18.5 <0.01	Stroke Belt	34.2	35.4	
Tobacco Use (%) 12.2 18.5 <0.01	Non-Belt/Buckle	45.5	42.8	
Current 12.2 18.5 <0.01	HEALTH BEHAVIORS			
Past 40.7 39.2 Never 47.1 42.3 Alcohol Use (%) 3.6 <0.00	Tobacco Use (%)			
Never 47.1 42.3 Alcohol Use (%) 4.3 3.6 <0.00	Current	12.2	18.5	<0.01
Alcohol Use (%) 4.3 3.6 <0.00	Past	40.7	39.2	
Heavy 4.3 3.6 <0.00		47.1	42.3	
Moderate 35.9 29.0 None 59.8 67.4 CHRONIC MEDICAL CONDITIONS 23.2 27.1 <0.00 Chronic Kidney Disease (%) 8.0 11.2 <0.00	Alcohol Use (%)			
None 59.8 67.4 CHRONIC MEDICAL CONDITIONS 23.2 27.1 <0.00 Chronic Kidney Disease (%) 8.0 11.2 <0.00				<0.001
CHRONIC MEDICAL CONDITIONS 23.2 27.1 <0.00 Chronic Kidney Disease (%) 8.0 11.2 <0.00	Moderate			
Chronic Kidney Disease (%) 23.2 27.1 <0.00		59.8	67.4	
Chronic Lung Disease (%) 8.0 11.2 <0.00				
Coronary Artery Disease (%) 17.6 18.6 0.03 Diabetes (%) 19.6 25.8 <0.00	-			<0.001
Diabetes (%) 19.6 25.8 <0.00 Dyslipidemia (%) 34.6 31.8 <0.00	-			<0.001
Dyslipidemia (%) 34.6 31.8 <0.00				
				<0.001
				<0.001
				<0.001 <0.001

*Based on chi-square test. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0069232.t002

Table 3. Hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for the adjusted associations between elevated baseline highsensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP>3.0 mg/L) and first sepsis episodes.

Model	N	Events	Hazard Ratio (95% CI)
Crude (unadjusted) HR	29,667	972	1.68 (1.48–1.90)
Adjusted for age, sex, race, region	29,667	972	1.86 (1.64–2.12)
Additional adjustment for education and income	29,644	970	1.77 (1.56–2.01)
Additional adjustment for smoking and alcohol use	28,959	940	1.70 (1.50–1.94)
Additional adjustment for chronic medical conditions* and statin use	26,999	881	1.56 (1.36–1.79)

Total of 30,183 REGARDS participants included in the analysis.

*Coronary artery disease, diabetes, dyslipidemia, hypertension, chronic kidney disease, chronic lung disease.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0069232.t003

processes also play key roles in sepsis. [2,26] For example, we previously identified associations between inflammatory and endothelial activation biomarkers and future sepsis events. [27] Collectively, these observations suggest that elevated hsCRP may signal individuals with a chronic low-grade proinflammatory state and prone to the hyperinflammatory state characteristic of sepsis. An important question requiring additional study is whether hsCRP exerts a direct effect upon sepsis susceptibility or represents a marker of the inflammatory process that is causal in increasing sepsis risk.

Prior studies have associated acute CRP increases (on the order of 10–200 mg/dL) as a marker of sepsis illness severity as well as predicted of sepsis outcomes.[10–18] For example, in a series of 50 critically ill sepsis patients, Schmit, et al. observed that CRP on hospital admission was 16.7 ± 10.6 mg/dL and that the magnitude of CPR decrease was associated with response to antimicrobial therapy. [18] Povoa, et al. studied 891 intensive care unit patients with community-acquired sepsis, observing a mean hospital admission CRP level of 20.1 ± 13.9 mg/dL and finding association between rates of CRP decline and hospital survival. [13] In contrast, our findings are based upon levels determined at a stable phase of health using a *high-sensitivity* CRP assay, which detects levels as low as 0.04 mg/L. In addition, we focused upon the association between *baseline* hsCRP levels and risk of *future* sepsis events – not the acute course of illness.

Prior studies have examined the association between CRP levels and infection risk. For example, in a case-control study of 144 patients with HIV infection, Bjerk, et al. found that higher hsCRP was associated with a two-fold increased odds ratio for pneumonia. [17] In a study of 3,075 elderly (>70 years old) participants in the Health ABC cohort, Yende, et al. found that higher baseline CRP levels were not independently associated with pneumonia risk. [28] However, Yende's effort did not utilize the newer high sensitivity CRP assay, as we used in the current study. We note that in the current study we did identify an independent association between baseline hsCRP and non-sepsis infection risk. While we did not separately examine pneumonia cases, over half of the sepsis cases in this series were due to lung infections.

We emphasize that we did not set out to develop a sepsis risk prediction rule using hsCRP. Our study merely indicates the presence of an association between hsCRP and future sepsis risk. However, given the results of this study, hsCRP may potentially play a role in a formal derivation of a risk prediction model. If the risk predictive abilities of hsCRP were validated, there could be plausible strategies to reduce the risk or impact of sepsis. For example, individuals at high risk of sepsis may merit more proactive treatment at the earliest stages of microbial infection, with earlier initiation of antibiotics, reduced thresholds for hospital admission, more aggressive early resuscitation, or more vigilant laboratory and physiologic monitoring during hospitalization. Statin therapy reduces cardiovascular events in individuals with elevated baseline hsCRP. [29] Gupta, et al. showed that statin use was associated with a reduced risk of hospitalization for sepsis among individuals with chronic kidney disease. [30] Other studies of hospitalized sepsis patients have suggested mitigated disease severity and organ dysfunction among those with pre-existing statin use. [31]

Limitations of this study should be noted. To maintain consistency with prior cardiovascular studies, in this study we defined elevated baseline hsCRP as >3.0 mg/L. [20] However, other hsCRP cutpoints are potentially possible for different age, sex or racial groups and merit additional study. Due to time lags in event reports and record retrieval, we have not yet reviewed medical records for a portion of individuals with reported serious infection hospitalizations. Furthermore, compared with individuals included in the analysis, excluded individuals were more likely to be black and to exhibit higher hsCRP levels. Therefore, our reported HRs may represent underestimates. Because REGARDS is not a surveillance study, we may not have detected all sepsis events. We did not examine severity variants of sepsis such as severe sepsis and septic shock because these conditions often develop later in the hospital course; however, it is possible that associations between hsCRP and various forms of sepsis may differ than those reported here. We also did not examine associations between hsCRP and repeat sepsis events.

By design, the REGARDS cohort includes only African Americans and whites, and thus we could not examine associations among other racial groups or Hispanic ethnicity. History of cancer was not ascertained by REGARDS, and this may represent an important risk factor for subsequent sepsis. Also, our study was able to detect the presence of chronic medical conditions but not their level of severity. Because the study did not collect information on pulmonary conditions, we used pulmonary medications as a surrogate for chronic lung disease. Our analysis utilized baseline hsCRP measurements; we could not assess the effect of changes of hsCRP over time. While we observed an association between elevated hsCRP and sepsis risk, elevated hsCRP may reflect risk for a host of inflammatory conditions not limited to sepsis; for example, cardiovascular disease and stroke. [8,9]

Conclusion

In this study elevated hsCRP at a stable phase of health was associated with increased risk of future sepsis events. hsCRP may identify individuals at increased risk for sepsis.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the investigators, the staff, and the participants of the REGARDS study for their valuable contributions. A full list of participating REGARDS investigators, institutions and study staff can be found at http://www.regardsstudy.org and http://www.regardssepsis.org.

Presented at Society for Critical Care Medicine Annual Congress, January 2013, Puerto Rico.

References

- Levy MM, Fink MP, Marshall JC, Abraham E, Angus D, et al. (2003) 2001 SCCM/ESICM/ACCP/ATS/SIS International Sepsis Definitions Conference. Intensive Care Med 29: 530–538.
- Angus DC, Linde-Zwirble WT, Lidicker J, Clermont G, Carcillo J, et al. (2001) Epidemiology of severe sepsis in the United States: analysis of incidence, outcome, and associated costs of care. Crit Care Med 29: 1303–1310.
- Wang HE, Shapiro NI, Angus DC, Yealy DM (2007) National estimates of severe sepsis in United States emergency departments. Crit Care Med 35: 1928– 1936.
- Martin GS, Mannino DM, Eaton S, Moss M (2003) The epidemiology of sepsis in the United States from 1979 through 2000. N Engl J Med 348: 1546–1554.
- Dellinger RP, Carlet JM, Masur H, Gerlach H, Calandra T, et al. (2004) Surviving Sepsis Campaign guidelines for management of severe sepsis and septic shock. Crit Care Med 32: 858–873.
- Rivers E, Nguyen B, Havstad S, Ressler J, Muzzin A, et al. (2001) Early goaldirected therapy in the treatment of severe sepsis and septic shock. N Engl J Med 345: 1368–1377.
- Ridker PM (2007) Inflammatory biomarkers and risks of myocardial infarction, stroke, diabetes, and total mortality: implications for longevity. Nutr Rev 65: S253–259.
- Ridker PM, Rifai N, Rose L, Buring JE, Cook NR (2002) Comparison of Creactive protein and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels in the prediction of first cardiovascular events. N Engl J Med 347: 1557–1565.
- Lloyd-Jones DM, Liu K, Tian L, Greenland P (2006) Narrative review: Assessment of C-reactive protein in risk prediction for cardiovascular disease. Ann Intern Med 145: 35–42.
- Povoa P, Almeida E, Moreira P, Fernandes A, Mealha R, et al. (1998) C-reactive protein as an indicator of sepsis. Intensive Care Med 24: 1052–1056.
- Sierra R, Rello J, Bailen MA, Benitez E, Gordillo A, et al. (2004) C-reactive protein used as an early indicator of infection in patients with systemic inflammatory response syndrome. Intensive Care Med 30: 2038–2045.
- Lobo SM, Lobo FR, Bota DP, Lopes-Ferreira F, Soliman HM, et al. (2003) Creactive protein levels correlate with mortality and organ failure in critically ill patients. Chest 123: 2043–2049.
- Povoa P, Teixeira-Pinto AM, Carneiro AH (2011) C-reactive protein, an early marker of community-acquired sepsis resolution: a multi-center prospective observational study. Crit Care 15: R169.
- Povoa P, Coelho L, Almeida E, Fernandes A, Mealha R, et al. (2005) C-reactive protein as a marker of infection in critically ill patients. Clin Microbiol Infect 11: 101–108.
- Fraunberger P, Wang Y, Holler E, Parhofer KG, Nagel D, et al. (2006) Prognostic value of interleukin 6, procalcitonin, and C-reactive protein levels in intensive care unit patients during first increase of fever. Shock 26: 10–12.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: HEW NIS MMS GH. Analyzed the data: RG HEW. Wrote the paper: HEW. Coordinated data collection: HEW MMS RG JBR. Contributed to the review and interpretation of results: HEW NIS MMS RG SJ JBR DGW MC GH. Contributed to the critical revision and final approval of the manuscript: HEW NIS MMS RG SJ JBR DGW MC GH.

- Lee CC, Chen SY, Tsai CL, Wu SC, Chiang WC, et al. (2008) Prognostic value of mortality in emergency department sepsis score, procalcitonin, and C-reactive protein in patients with sepsis at the emergency department. Shock 29: 322–327.
- Bjerk SM, Baker JV, Emery S, Neuhaus J, Angus B, et al. (2013) Biomarkers and bacterial pneumonia risk in patients with treated HIV infection: a case-control study. PLoS One 8: e56249.
- Schmit X, Vincent JL (2008) The time course of blood C-reactive protein concentrations in relation to the response to initial antimicrobial therapy in patients with sepsis. Infection 36: 213–219.
- Howard VJ, Cushman M, Pulley L, Gomez CR, Go RC, et al. (2005) The reasons for geographic and racial differences in stroke study: objectives and design. Neuroepidemiology 25: 135–143.
- Albert MA, Ridker PM (2006) C-reactive protein as a risk predictor: do race/ ethnicity and gender make a difference? Circulation 114: e67–74.
- (2005) National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism. Helping Patients Who Drink Too Much, a Clinician's Guide.
- Levey AS, Stevens LA, Schmid CH, Zhang YL, Castro AF 3rd, et al. (2009) A new equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate. Annals of internal medicine 150: 604–612.
- Ridker PM, Rifai N, Clearfield M, Downs JR, Weis SE, et al. (2001) Measurement of C-reactive protein for the targeting of statin therapy in the primary prevention of acute coronary events. N Engl J Med 344: 1959–1965.
- Bu DX, Griffin G, Lichtman AH (2011) Mechanisms for the anti-inflammatory effects of statins. Curr Opin Lipidol 22: 165–170.
- Paffen E, DeMaat MP (2006) C-reactive protein in atherosclerosis: A causal factor? Cardiovasc Res 71: 30–39.
- Aird WC (2003) The role of the endothelium in severe sepsis and multiple organ dysfunction syndrome. Blood 101: 3765–3777.
- Wang HE, Shapiro NI, Griffin R, Safford MM, Judd S, et al. (2013) Inflammatory and endothelial activation biomarkers and risk of sepsis: A nested case-control study. J Crit Care.
- Yende S, Tuomanen EI, Wunderink R, Kanaya A, Newman AB, et al. (2005) Preinfection systemic inflammatory markers and risk of hospitalization due to pneumonia. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 172: 1440–1446.
- Ridker PM, Danielson E, Fonseca FA, Genest J, Gotto AM Jr, et al. (2008) Rosuvastatin to prevent vascular events in men and women with elevated Creactive protein. N Engl J Med 359: 2195–2207.
- Kumar A (2009) Optimizing antimicrobial therapy in sepsis and septic shock. Crit Care Clin 25: 733–751, viii.
- Almog Y, Shefer A, Novack V, Maimon N, Barski L, et al. (2004) Prior statin therapy is associated with a decreased rate of severe sepsis. Circulation 110: 880– 885.