Identification of GPCR-Interacting Cytosolic Proteins Using HDL Particles and Mass Spectrometry-Based Proteomic Approach

Ka Young Chung^{1,2}*, Peter W. Day^{1,3}, Gisselle Vélez-Ruiz⁴, Roger K. Sunahara⁴, Brian K. Kobilka¹*

1 Department of Molecular and Cellular Physiology, Stanford University, Medical School, Beckman Center, Stanford, California, United States of America, 2 School of Pharmacy, Sungkyunkwan University, Jangan-gu, Suwon, South Korea, 3 Biological Technologies, Genentech, Roche Group, South San Francisco, California, United States of America, 4 Department of Pharmacology, University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, Michigan, United States of America

Abstract

G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) have critical roles in various physiological and pathophysiological processes, and more than 40% of marketed drugs target GPCRs. Although the canonical downstream target of an agonist-activated GPCR is a G protein heterotrimer; there is a growing body of evidence suggesting that other signaling molecules interact, directly or indirectly, with GPCRs. However, due to the low abundance in the intact cell system and poor solubility of GPCRs, identification of these GPCR-interacting molecules remains challenging. Here, we establish a strategy to overcome these difficulties by using high-density lipoprotein (HDL) particles. We used the β_2 -adrenergic receptor (β_2 AR), a GPCR involved in regulating cardiovascular physiology, as a model system. We reconstituted purified $\beta_2 AR$ in HDL particles, to mimic the plasma membrane environment, and used the reconstituted receptor as bait to pull-down binding partners from rat heart cytosol. A total of 293 proteins were identified in the full agonist-activated β_2AR pull-down, 242 proteins in the inverse agonist-activated β_2 AR pull-down, and 210 proteins were commonly identified in both pull-downs. A small subset of the β_2 AR-interacting proteins isolated was confirmed by Western blot; three known β_2 AR-interacting proteins (Gs α , NHERF-2, and Grb2) and 3 newly identified known β_2 AR-interacting proteins (AMPK α , acetyl-CoA carboxylase, and UBC-13). Profiling of the identified proteins showed a clear bias toward intracellular signal transduction pathways, which is consistent with the role of β_2 AR as a cell signaling molecule. This study suggests that HDL particle-reconstituted GPCRs can provide an effective platform method for the identification of GPCR binding partners coupled with a mass spectrometry-based proteomic analysis.

Citation: Chung KY, Day PW, Vélez-Ruiz G, Sunahara RK, Kobilka BK (2013) Identification of GPCR-Interacting Cytosolic Proteins Using HDL Particles and Mass Spectrometry-Based Proteomic Approach. PLoS ONE 8(1): e54942. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0054942

Editor: Adriano Marchese, Loyola University Chicago, Stritch School of Medicine, United States of America

Received June 20, 2012; Accepted December 18, 2012; Published January 25, 2013

Copyright: © 2013 Chung et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This work is supported by NIH grants R01 HL071078 and GM083118 and National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (2012R1A1A1039220). The mass spectrometry described in this publication was supported by Award Number S10RR027425 from the National Center For Research Resources. KYC is supported by National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (2012R1A1A1039220). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors confirm that there are no competing interests to any institute where the authors are affiliated including Biological Technologies, Genetech, a member of Roche Group. There are no patents, products in development or marketed products to declare. This does not alter the authors' adherence to all the PLOS ONE policies on sharing data and materials, as detailed online in the guide for authors.

* E-mail: kobilka@stanford.edu (BKK); kychung2@skku.edu (KYC)

Introduction

GPCRs are the largest family of membrane proteins in the human genome and perform vital signaling functions in vision, olfactory perception, and signal transduction processes in the metabolic, endocrine, neuromuscular and central nervous systems [1]. All GPCRs share a common seven-transmembrane (TM) α helical structure with an extracellular N-terminus and an intracellular C-terminus. Agonists bind on the extracellular side of the receptors, which promotes conformational changes in the TM segments and associated intracellular regions. These conformational changes lead to the interaction and activation of heterotrimeric G proteins (α , β and γ subunits) [1]. However, heterotrimeric G proteins are not the only proteins that bind to GPCRs, and growing evidence indicates a variety of other proteins may physically and functionally associate with GPCRs [2,3]. A large set of recent studies demonstrate that many other intracellular molecules interact with GPCRs to regulate G-proteinindependent signaling, desensitization, internalization, and resensitization [2,3,4,5].

The majority of neuro-hormonal signals to the heart are mediated by GPCRs [6,7,8]. Occasionally these signals become pathogenic; for example chronically elevated sympathetic activity stimulating β adrenergic receptors (β ARs) is associated with heart failure progression and mortality [9,10]. Previous studies suggest that chronic stimulation of the β_1 AR plays a major role in the pathogenesis of dilated cardiomyopathy, while chronic stimulation of β_2 ARs is protective [10]. Due to the adverse effects of chronic activation of β_1 ARs, β -blockers have been widely used for heart failure management. Although β ARs have been among the most extensively studied member of GPCRs in the heart, little is known about the signaling pathways that mediate the pathologic response to chronic β_1 AR stimulation or the protective effects of β_2 AR stimulation. Therefore, identification of β AR-mediated signaling pathways will provide better understanding for the development of therapeutic targets for heart failure.

To date, yeast-2-hybrid overlay technologies or pull-down assay followed by mass spectrometry-based protein identification have been used to identify protein-protein interaction [11,12]. Mass spectrometry has become the method of choice for the identification, quantification, and detailed primary structural analysis of protein components in complex mixtures [11,13,14,15]. However, the application of mass spectrometry in the identification of GPCR-interacting proteins has been limited due to the challenges of working with membrane proteins and the low abundance of GPCRs in native tissue [16,17].

To address these challenges, we developed a new approach for identifying interacting proteins by preparing GPCRs within highdensity lipoprotein (HDL) particles, where GPCRs are in a more membrane-like environment when compared to a detergent micelle. An HDL particle is composed of a dimer of apolipoprotein A-I (ApoA-I) surrounding a planar bilayer of about 160 phospholipids in which GPCRs are easily reconstituted *in vitro* [reconstituted HDL (rHDL)] [18]. Electron microscopy images of these particles showed the uniform disk-shaped structure (10– 12 nm in diameter and thickness of 40 Å, the same thickness of a plasma membrane) [18]. Previous studies demonstrate that HDL particle-reconstituted β_2 AR (β_2 AR•rHDL) is monomeric and fully functional by virtue of its capacity to support both high-affinity agonist binding and rapid agonist-mediated nucleotide exchange of G proteins [18].

In this study, we used the β_2AR -rHDL as bait for the identification of β_2AR -interacting proteins in heart cytosol to gain insights into the β_2AR -mediated signaling pathways in the heart. β_2AR -interacting proteins present in the adult rat heart cytosol were identified using β_2AR -rHDLs and bioinformatic analysis. The identified molecules suggest some novel β_2AR signaling pathways in the heart, which could provide insight into the non-canonical roles played by the β_2AR in the heart.

Materials and Methods

Ethics Statement

The use of animals for the experiments followed Stanford University guidelines and all experiments involving animals were approved by the Stanford University Administrative Panel on Laboratory Animal Care.

Materials

All materials were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO) unless otherwise indicated. *Sf*9 insect cells, insect cell culture media and transfection reagents were obtained from expression systems (Woodland, CA). Dodecylmaltoside was from Affymetrix (Santa Clara, CA). Palmitoyl-oleoyl-glycero-phosphocholine and palmitoyl-oleoyl-phosphatidylglycerol were from Avanti Polar Lipids (Alabaster, AL). Complete protease inhibitor cocktail was from Roche (Indianapolis, IN). Ni-NTA resin was made by using Chelating sepharose fast flow (GE Healthcare Biosciences, Pittsburgh, PA) according to the manufacture's instruction.

Heart Cytosol Preparation

Adult Sprague Dawley rat hearts were homogenized in buffer A (25 mM HEPES, 140 mM KCl, 12 mM NaCl, 0.8 mM MgSO₄, 1 mM EDTA, pH 7.4) containing complete protease inhibitor cocktail. Crude homogenate was centrifuged for 10 min at 1000 g, and the supernatant was centrifuged again for 30 min at 18,000 g

at 4°C. The supernatant was collected as cytosol, and the protein concentration was adjusted to 10 mg/ml.

β₂AR and ApoAl Preparation

 β_2AR was prepared as previously described. Briefly, Nterminally Flag-tagged β_2AR was expressed in *Sf9* insect cells using recombinant baculovirus [19]. *Sf9* cell membranes were solubilized in dodecylmaltoside, and the β_2AR was purified by sequential Flag-specific M1 antibody and ligand affinity chromatography. Wild-type His-tagged human ApoA-I was expressed and purified from *E. coli* as previously described [18]. The purity of purified β_2AR and ApoAI was tested by SDS-PAGE and coomassie staining (Figure S1). Figure S1 shows that the purified samples do not have proteins other than β_2AR or ApoAI.

HDL Particle Formation

 $\beta_{2}AR$ was reconstituted into rHDL as previously described [18]. Briefly, a mixture of palmitoyl-oleoyl-glycero-phosphocholine and palmitoyl-oleoyl-phosphatidylglycerol were used in combination (3:2 molar ratio) to mimic the zwitterionic environment of a cell membrane. Lipids were solubilized with HNE buffer (20 mM HEPES, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, pH7.5) plus 50 mM Cholate. An rHDL reconstitution consisted of the following with final volume of 1.3 ml: 24 mM cholate, 8 mM lipid, and 100 µM apoA-I in HNE buffer. For receptor reconstitution in rHDL particle, 2 μ M of β_2 AR was added. After incubation for 2 hrs on ice, samples were subjected to BioBeads (BioRad, Hercules, CA) to remove detergents, resulting in the formation of rHDL. β_2 AR•rHDL were subsequently purified from receptor-free empty rHDL and immobilized by 100 µl M1-anti-FLAG immunoaffinity resin. Empty rHDL for the negative control was prepared by same procedure but without $\beta_2 AR$.

Pull-down β_2 AR-interacting Proteins from Heart Cytosol

 β_2 AR•rHDL (106 µg of β_2 AR) was immobilized to Flag-specific M1 resin (100 µl) by mixing β_2 AR•rHDL and M1 resins for 2 hrs at room temperature as described above. Three ml of prepared heart cytosol (10 mg/ml) with 4 mM CaCl₂ was added and incubated overnight at 4°C. The supernatant were removed and resins were washed 7 times with ice cold 1 ml buffer B (25 mM HEPES, 140 mM KCl, 12 mM NaCl, 0.8 mM MgSO₄, 2 mM CaCl₂, pH7.4). β_2 AR•rHDL and β_2 AR•rHDL-interacting proteins were eluted by 200 µl elution buffer (20 mM HEPES, 100 mM NaCl, 0.2 mg/ml FLAG peptides and 8 mM EDTA, pH 7.5). With this elution condition, β_2 AR•rHDL and β_2 AR•rHDL and β_2 AR•rHDL interacting proteins are effectively eluted, but M1 antibody remains on the beads. The eluted samples were concentrated using speedvac by reducing the volume down to 50 µl.

Negative Control Experiments

We used two different negative controls; M1 resin control and empty rHDL control. M1 resin control was used to identify proteins that bind nonspecifically to M1 resin. For the M1 resin control, empty M1 resin (100 μ l) was incubated with heart cytosol (3 ml of 10 mg/ml) overnight at 4°C followed by the procedure as described above. M1 resin control and β_2 AR•rHDL pull-down samples were run on the SDS-PAGE gel and stained with GelCode Blue Stain Reagent (Pierce, Rockford, IL) (Figure 1B). The first lane of Figure 1B indicates M1 resin control.

Empty rHDL control was used to identify proteins that bind to either ApoAI or to lipids in the rHDL. Empty rHDL was prepared as described above without adding β_2AR and immobilized on 100 µl Ni-NTA resin by using the His-tag on ApoAI. Heart



Figure 1. Co-immunoprecipitation of β_2 **AR-interacting proteins in the adult rat heart** cytosol. A) Reconstitution process of β_2 AR into HDL particles. B) Coomassie-stained SDS-PAGE gel of β_2 AR•rHDL-interacting proteins. C) Coomassie-stained SDS-PAGE gel of empty HDL-interacting proteins. BI: 50 μ M BI-167107, Cz: 50 μ M Carazolol. Gel pieces (1A through 3H for Figure 1B, and 1A through 2F for Figure 1C) were cut out for mass spectrometry-based protein identification. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0054942.g001

cytosol (3 ml of 10 mg/ml) was incubated with empty rHDLimmobilized Ni-NTA resin or Ni-NTA resin overnight at 4°C. Ni-NTA resin was used to discriminate the proteins that nonspecifically bind to the Ni-NTA resin. The resins are washed extensively with buffer B, and bound proteins were eluted with buffer B containing 200 mM imidazole. Empty rHDL-immobilized Ni-NTA resin control and Ni-NTA resin samples were run on the SDS-PAGE gel and stained with GelCode Blue Stain Reagent (Figure 1C). The first lane of Figure 1C indicates empty Ni-NTA resin samples, and the second lane indicates Empty rHDL-immobilized Ni-NTA resin control.

MS and Identification

Forty five μ l of eluted samples were loaded onto 10% polyacrylamide gel and stained with GelCode Blue Stain Reagent. Gel lanes (Figure 1B and 1C) were cut and submitted to the

Vincent Coates Foundation Mass Spectrometry Laboratory at Stanford University for in-gel tryptic digestion and protein identification by mass spectrometry. Scaffold 3 (Proteome Software Inc., Portland, OR) was used to validate MS/MS-based peptide and protein identifications. Peptides were identified from MS/MS spectra by searching for the IPI *Rattus norvegicus* database using the Mascot search algorithm (www. matrixscience.com). The following parameters were used: trypsin specificity, cysteine carbamidomethylation as a fixed modification. Protein identifications were accepted if they could be established at >95.0% probability and contained at least two unique identified peptides. Protein probabilities were assigned by the Protein Prophet algorithm. Using these stringent identification parameters, peptide false detect rate was 0.2%, and protein false detect rate was 0.1%.

Data Anlaysis

Bioinformatics analysis of molecule function classification and canonical pathway analysis was performed using of Ingenuity Pathways Analysis (Ingeunity® Systems, www.ingenuity.com). The Functional Analysis identified the biological functions that were most significant to the data set. Right-tailed Fisher's exact test was used to calculate a p-value determining the probability that each biological function assigned to that data set is due to chance alone. Canonical pathways analysis identified the pathways from the Ingenuity Pathways Analysis library of canonical pathways that were most significant to the data set. The significance of the association between the data set and the canonical pathway was measured in 2 ways: 1) A ratio of the number of molecules from the data set that map to the pathway divided by the total number of molecules that map to the canonical pathway is displayed. 2) Fisher's exact test was used to calculate a p-value determining the probability that the association between the genes in the dataset and the canonical pathway is explained by chance alone.

Western Blot

Five μ l of eluted samples or 1 μ l of cell lysates were separated by 10% SDS–PAGE, and transferred to a PVDF membrane. Blots were blocked with 5% nonfat dry milk for 1hr at room temperature, and then incubated with a primary antibody for 2 hrs at room temperature, followed by incubation with a IR dyelabeled secondary antibody (Rockland Immunochemicals, Gilbertsville, PA) for 1hr at room temperature. The signal was visualized with Odyssey imaging systems (LI-COR biosciences, Lincoln, NE).

Results

Pull-down of β_2 AR-interacting Proteins

To isolate proteins that interact with the $\beta_2 AR$ in the heart, we reconstituted purified B2AR in rHDL and immobilized it on Flagspecific M1 resin. Immunoprecipitation by M1 antibody is beneficial because proteins can be eluted without disrupting the interaction between M1 antibody and the resin, so there is no M1 IgG protein in the eluted sample. Please note that there is no IgG band at 50 kDa or 25 kDa in the first lane of Figure 1B. The bands at 50 kDa and 25 kDa in the second and third lanes of Figure 1B are β 2AR and ApoAI respectively. β ₂AR•rHDL immobilized on M1 resin was occupied by either 50 µM of the full agonist BI-167107 (BI) or $50 \,\mu\text{M}$ of the inverse agonist Carazolol (Cz), and then incubated with adult rat heart cytosol (Figure 1A). $\beta_2 AR \bullet rHDL$ and interacting proteins were eluted, separated on SDS-PAGE, and stained with GelCode Blue Stain Reagent (Figure 1B). To exclude proteins non-specifically bound to the M1 resin, heart cytosol was incubated with M1 resin alone (lane 1, Figure 1B) (See "Materials and Methods" for details). To exclude proteins bound to rHDL, empty rHDL-immobilized Ni-NTA resin was incubated with heart cytosol (lane 2, Figure 1C). The proteins that were nonspecifically bound to Ni-NTA resin were eliminated by including Ni-NTA resin control (lane 1, Figure 1C). Therefore, BI-occupied β_2AR -interacting proteins were defined as proteins identified in [((lane 2 of Figure 1B)) – (lane 1 of Figure 1B)) – (lane2 of Figure 1C) – (lane 1 of Figure 1C))]]. Similarly, Cz-occupied β_2AR -interacting proteins were defined as proteins identified in [((lane 3 of Figure 1B) – (lane 1 of Figure 1B)) – (lane2 of Figure 1C) – (lane 1 of Figure 1B)) – (lane2 of Figure 1C) – (lane 1 of Figure 1B)) – (lane2 of Figure 1C) – (lane 1 of Figure 1B)) – (lane2 of Figure 1C) – (lane 1 of Figure 1B)) – (lane2 of Figure 1C) – (lane 1 of Figure 1B)) – (lane2 of Figure 1C) – (lane 1 of Figure 1B)) – (lane2 of Figure 1C) – (lane 1 of Figure 1B)) – (lane2 of Figure 1C) – (lane 1 of Figure 1B)) – (lane2 of Figure 1C) – (lane 1 of Figure 1C))]. Gel pieces were cut out with no gaps from each lane (1A through 3H of Figure 1B and 1A through 2F of Figure 1C) and subjected to in-gel trypsin digestion. The tryptic digests were analyzed through Thermo LTQ-Orbitrap Velos ETD LC-MS.

Identification of β_2 AR-interacting Proteins by MS

A total of 521 proteins were identified from the gel pieces shown in Figure 1B, and 265 proteins were identified from the gel pieces shown in Figure 1C (Table S1). After subtracting proteins that were found in the control experiments as described above, 327 proteins were identified specifically in β_2 AR•rHDL pull-down samples (Table S2). The majority of proteins (210 proteins) were found in both the BI-occupied and the Cz-occupied samples. Eighty-three proteins were detected only the in the BI-occupied sample, and 32 proteins were specific for the Cz-occupied sample (Table S2). Protein false detect rate was 0.1% (See Materials and Methods). The majority of proteins were detected at the expected molecular weight range (Table S2, and Table 1 for selected proteins). Five of the identified proteins are known to interact with β₂AR based on protein-protein interaction databases (BioGrid, MINT, IntAct, HPRD and MIPS) (Table S2, Table S3 and Table 1). The interaction of subset of newly identified-proteins with the β_2AR was further confirmed by Western Blotting (See below).

Validation of the Identified Proteins by Western Blotting

To validate the MS analysis results, we performed Western Blot analysis on select proteins (Table 1 and Figure 2). Both known β_2 AR-interacting proteins (NHERF-2, Grb2 and Gs α) and novel β_2 AR-interacting proteins (AMPK γ , AMPK α , ACC and Ubc13) were selected for validation by Western Blotting (Figure 2). Interestingly, both in the MS analysis (Table S2 and Table 1) and on the Western Blot (Figure 2), Gs α was only identified in the agonist-occupied pull-down sample. In contrast, Grb2 and Ubc13 were found both in agonist and inverse agonist occupied pulldowns by Western Blotting (Figure 2) but only in inverse agonistoccupied samples in the proteomic analysis (Table S2 and Table 1). These proteins were not detected in M1 resin control (lane 1, Figure 2), empty rHDL-immobilized Ni-NTA or empty Ni-NTA negative controls (Data not shown).

Bioinformatics Analysis

Proteins from β_2 AR•rHDL pull-downs and control pull-downs were assigned broad classification based upon known or predicted functions by using Ingenuity Pathway Analysis software. Proteins with unknown functions were omitted from this classification, and proteins with multiple functions were assigned to both groups. Proteins were grouped into 25 functional groups; Cell signaling, Cell-to-cell signaling/interaction, Energy production, Nucleic acid metabolism, Lipid metabolism, Carbohydrate metabolism, Amino acid metabolism, Small molecule biochemistry, Molecular transport, Protein trafficking, DNA replication/recombination/repair, Gene expression, Cellular function/maintenance, Cellular comTable 1. Summary of selected identified proteins.

	Accession #	MW	% Sequence coverage		Gel slice #	
Protein Name			BI	cz	BI	Cz
Known β ₂ AR-interacting proteins						
Gsα	IPI00199872	46 kDa	25.4	0.0	D/E	-
Grb2	IPI00203630	25 kDa	0.0	12.0	-	В
NHERF-1	IPI00200898	39 kDa	28.1	25.6	D/E/F	E/F
NHERF-2	IPI00558908	35 kDa	21.8	25.0	A/C/D/E/G/H	A/C/D/E
Serine/threonine-protein phosphatase 2B, catalytic subunit alpha	IPI00201410	59 kDa	0.0	5.8	-	F
Novel proteins						
AMPKa2	IPI00201424	62 kDa	29.7	31.5	F	F
ΑΜΡΚγ1	IPI00196645	37 kDa	60.0	57.3	D	D
AcetylCoA carboxylase 2 (ACC)	IPI00190024	276 kDa	10.6	9.1	Н	Н
Ubiquitin-conjuating enzyme E2 D3 (UBC13)	IPI00192159	17 kDa	20.1	0.0	А	-

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0054942.t001

promise, Cell cycle, Cellular assembly/organization, Cell morphology, Cellular movement, Cell death/survival, Cellular development, Cellular growth/proliferation, Post-translational modification, Protein folding, Protein synthesis, Protein degradation (Figure 3A and Table 2). Interestingly, molecules involved in cell signaling, molecular transport, protein trafficking, and protein degradation are predominant in the β_2AR •rHDL pull-downs compared to the control sample.

Identified proteins were also analyzed against canonical pathways by using Ingenuity Pathway Analysis software. The top



Figure 2. Validation of identified proteins by Western blotting. β_2 AR•rHDL-pull down samples were run on SDS-PAGE gel, transferred to PVDF membrane, and analyzed by Western blotting using antibodies specific for each binding partners. The figures are the representative of at least two independent experiments. BI: 50 μ M BI-167107, Cz: 50 μ M Carazolol. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0054942.g002



Figure 3. Bioinformatic analyses of identified proteins. A) Functional distribution of β_2 AR-interacting proteins in the adult rat heart cytosol. Total list of functional distribution of identified proteins are summarized in Table 2. B) Canonical signaling pathway analysis of β_2 AR-interacting proteins (left) compared to control (right). Only the top 15 canonical signaling pathways are presented. BI: 50 μ M BI-167107, Cz: 50 μ M Carazolol. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0054942.g003

15 canonical pathways using the data set of the β_2 AR•rHDL pulldowns or the control sample are presented in Figure 3B. As expected from the known cellular role of β_2 AR, β_2 AR•rHDL pulldowns were biased toward signal transduction pathways (Figure 3B left), whereas controls samples were biased toward metabolic pathways (Figure 3B right).

Discussion

In the present study, we identified β_2AR -interacting proteins in rat heart cytosol by using the full-length β_2ARs reconstituted in the plasma membrane-mimicking HDL particles. To our knowledge, this is the first comprehensive study to investigate GPCRinteracting proteins in the heart or any other primary tissues other than brain. The advantage of reconstituting GPCRs in the HDL particles is that GPCRs are more stable and in a more physiological conformation than detergent-solubilized GPCRs. Furthermore, this approach overcomes the low endogenous expression of the receptor in the heart by using large amount of β_2AR -rHDL as bait.

Various methods have been used to screen for direct and indirect binding partners of GPCRs. Among those, the affinity isolation/mass spectrometry-based proteomic approach allows the capture and analysis of larger proteome units of protein complexes and can be used for isolating and purifying complexes from cellular and tissue preparations [11,20]. However, the proteomic analysis of GPCRs has been challenging due to low endogenous expression levels and hydrophobicity of GPCRs. To date, identification of interacting proteins in native tissue has been successful for few GPCRs; including, mGluR5, 5-HT receptors (5-HT-2a, 5HT-2c, and 5-HT4a), and α₂B-AR [21,22,23,24,25]. All of these GPCRs were studied in brain tissue where GPCRs and their binding partners are highly expressed, enabling isolation of sufficient quantities of the receptor and associated proteins. Furthermore, studies with 5-HT receptors and α_2 B-AR used cterminal peptides of the receptors (not the full-length GPCR) as baits [21,23,24,26,27]. Therefore, those studies cannot identify binding partners that interact with GPCR domains outside of the c-terminus. The present study successfully used full-length $\beta_2 AR$ as bait and identified binding partners from heart tissue, where the expression level of endogenous $\beta_2 AR$ is very low.

Table 2. Cellular Functions of Identified Proteins.

Functions	Identified Proteins
Cell Signaling	ALOX15, NRAS, RAB3A, CFL1, RRAD, ATP2A1, RAB7A, ATP2A3, LOC643751, NOS3, RAP1A, KPNB1, TGM2, GNB1, PPP2CB, HP, RRAS2, CADP5, GNA01, EEF1A1, DNAJA3, YWHAQ (includes EG:22630), IPO5, NME2, RHEB, (<i>STAT4, GNAI2, GNAS, RHOG, PRKAA1, LOC643751, YWHAE, STAT1</i>), (HK1, MTOR, ERP44, GRB2, RSU1, PPP3CA, SOD1, SOD2)
Cell-To-Cell Signaling and Interaction	EHD1, ALOX15, CFL1, RAB21, APOA1, RRAD, CD36, INPPL1, NOS3, RAP1A, GNB1, ROCK2, TGM2, PLA2G6, CAPN1, TXN, MARCKS (includes EG:4082), CLIC4, AKR1B1, HSPB1, FGA, (GNAI2, STAT4, GNAS, PSME1, SERPINC1, C3, MAP2K3, STAT3, LOC643751, STAT1, Ube2n, YWHAG, TNS1), (SLK, MTOR, GRB2, RSU1, SOD1, SOD2)
Energy Production	DLD, CD36, PRKAA2, NOS3, LONP1, MSRA PRDX5 UBA1, (ECHS1, ECI1)
Nucleic Acid Metabolism	NRAS, ACACB, CD36, RAB7A, NOS3, TGM2, KPNB1, GNB1, RRAS2, GNAO1, EEF1A1, DLD, PRKAA2, MLYCD, HMGCL, ALDH2, NME2, AKR1B1, ALDH6A1, PRDX5, UBA1, LONP1, IPO5, (<i>GNAI2, GNAS, AMPD3, GPD1, STAT3</i>), (ADSL, GFPT1, SOD1)
Lipid Metabolism	QKI, APOA1, PTPMT1, CRAT, SH3GLB1, LIPE, INPPL1, NOS3, ROCK2, GNB3, PTGES2, HBB (includes EG:3043), GPLD1, PRKAA2, MGLL, MARCKS (includes EG:4082), GPX4, HMGCL, PITPNB, PLA2G16, ALOX15, ACACB, RAB5A, RRAD, RAB7A, CD36, PCYT1A, PLA2G6, HP, ARF1, GNAO1, CPT2, DLD, EEF1A1, AKR1B1, MAP4, TPP1, MLYCD, (<i>GNAI2, GNAS, SERPINC1, SDHB, LPIN1, C3, FABP4, PCCA, YWHAH, ECHS1, ECI1, SUCLG1, PRKAA1</i>), (SDHA, MTOR, ACBD3, SOD1, SOD2)
Carbohydrate Metabolism	IDH3G, APOA1, PTPMT1, PPP1CB, LIPE, CRAT, SH3GLB1, FN3K, INPPL1, NOS3, PRKAG1, GNB1, ROCK2, HK2, GPLD1, PRKAA2, MGLL, PPM1A, IDH3A, GPX4, MARCKS (includes EG:4082), PLA2G16, ACACB, AKR1B1, ALDH2, SLC9A3R1, RAB5A, RRAD, COQ3, CD36, PFKM, PCYT1A, PLA2G6, ARF1, EEF1A1, MLYCD, (C3, GPD1, PRKAA1, STAT3, PGAM2, ACO1, FABP4), (HK1, MTOR, GFPT1, GRB2, SOD1, SOD2)
Amino Acid Metabolism	PPP2CB, PPP2R1A, PPP2CA, PPP1CB, PPM1A, NOS3, ALDH6A1, MSRA, PCYT1A, (<i>MTHFD1,PCCA</i>), (SLK, HK1, MTOR, PPP2R2A, F2, PPP3CA, SOD1)
Small Molecule Biochemistry	QKI, PPP2CA, SH3GLB1, LIPE, NOS3, GNB1, ROCK2, GNB3, PTGES2, HBB (includes EG:3043), CADPS, MGLL, PPM1A, GPX4, PITPNB, ACACB, RRAD, PFKM, PPP2CB, PLA2G6, PPP2R1A, GNAO1, DLD, CPT2, MLYCD, APOA1, IDH3G, PTPMT1, PPP1CB, CRAT, FN3K, INPPL1, PRKAG1, TGM2, HK2, GPLD1, PRKAA2, IDH3A, MARCKS (includes EG:4082), HMGCL, LONP1, IPO5, PLA2G16, ALOX15, NRAS, RAB5A, COQ3, CD36, RAB7A, PCYT1A, KPNB1, ARF1, HP, ALDH2, ALDH6A1, AKR1B1, PRDX5, MSRA, NME2, MPST, MAP4, UBA1, RRAS2, EEF1A1, TPP1, (<i>AMPD3, SDHB, GPD1, C3, YWHAZ, STAT3, MTHFD1, GNAI2, STAT4, GNAS, GPX3, SERPINC1, LPIN1, PRKAA1, AKR7A2, PCCA, APRT, SUCLG1, FABP4, YWHAH, ACO1, ECHS1, ECI1, PGAM2, STAT1)</i> , (SLK, SDHA, HK1, ADSL, MTOR, GFPT1, ERP44, PPP2R2A, GRB2, ACBD3, PPP3CA, SOD1, SOD2)
Molecular Transport	RAB1A, APOA1, RAB2A, ATP2A1, CRAT, LIPE, PPP1CB, INPPL1, NOS3, ROCK2, HK2, HBB (includes EG:3043), COQ7, CADPS, PRKAA2, PPM1A, MGLL, PITPNB, ALOX15, NRAS, ACACB, RAB3A, RAB5A, CFL1, TIMM44, RRAD, RAB10, RAB7A, CD36, ATP2A3, PCYT1A, KPNB1, PLA2G6, HP, ARF1, ARF3, GNAO1, CPT2, EEF1A1, DLD, RAB3GAP2, MLYCD, PRDX5, AKR1B1 ALDH2, NME2, CLIC4, RAB6A, (<i>GNAI2, GNAS, SERPINC1, LPIN1, C3, YWHAZ, ACO1, YWHAE, FABP4, APRT, NDRG2, YWHAH, PGAM2, ECI1, STAT3</i>), (GFPT1, MTOR, ERP44, GRB2, ACBD3, PPP3CA, SOD1, SOD2)
Protein Trafficking	RAB1A, RAB5A, TIMM44, CFL1, RAB2A, CD36, RAB10, RAB7A, KPNB1, ARF1, ARF3, RAB3GAP2, NME2, RAB6A, (YWHAE, YWHAG), (MTOR, SOD1, SOD2)
DNA Replication/ Recombination/Repair	NRAS, CFL1, RAB7A, TGM2, KPNB1, GNB1, DYNLL1, RRAS2, GNAO1, PRKAA2, TXN, HSPB1, NME2, SELENBP1, UBA1, LONP1, IPO5, (GNAI2, GNAS, AMPD3, GPD1, PIN1, STAT1), (SOD1, SOD2)
Gene Expression	PTGES2, PPP2CA, (STAT4, GNAS, SERPINC1, YWHAZ, MAP2K3, STAT3, PIN1, STAT1, ELAVL1, YWHAE, ACO1, TCEB1), (GFPT1, MTOR, PSMC3)
Cellular Function and Maintenance	EHD1, NRAS, RAB5A, RAB3A, ATP2A1, CD36, RAB7A, LIPE, NOS3, TGM2, ROCK2, DYNC1H1, PLA2G6, FIS1, HP, DYNLL1, EHD4, CADPS, EHD2, PRKAA2, TXN, DNM1L, (<i>GNA12, STAT4, GNAS, SERPINC1, LPIN1, C3, SEC23IP, PRKAA1, STAT3, STAT1, LOC643751</i>), (HK1, MTOR, PLS3, GRB2, RSU1, PPP3CA)
Cellular Compromise	EHD1, ALOX15, RAB1A, CFL1, RRAD, ATP2A1, NOS3, ROCK2, FIS1, DYNLL1, HP, DSTN, DLD, ALDH2, CLIC4, AKR1B1, TXN, DNM1L, (C3, OPA1, SORBS2, STAT3), (SLK, SDHA, MTOR, COX4I1)
Cell Cycle	ARL8B, CUL5, PPP2CA, CUL4A, CUL1, DDX3X, LIPE, LOC643751, ROCK2, DSTN, PPM1A, TXN, MARCKS (includes EG:4082), PLA2G16, ALOX15, ACACB, NRAS, CFL1, RRAD, DDB1, FIS1, PPP2CB, SIRT2, AKR1B1, MAP4, CAPN2, DNM1L, (GNAI2, STAT4, GNAS, C3, OPA1, MAP2K3, PIN1, STAT3, STAT1, LOC643751, YWHAE, NPEPPS, ELAVL1), (MTOR, PPP2R2A, GRB2, PPP3CA, SOD1, SOD2)
Cellular Assembly and Organization	RAB1A, RAB12, RAB2A, APOA1, ATP2A1, SH3GLB1, LIPE, INPPL1, RAB5B, LOC643751, NOS3, ROCK2, GNB1, DYNLL1, HK2, CADPS, RAB5C, EHD2, DSTN, ARL1, DNAJA3, SLC9A3R2, TXN, MARCKS (includes EG:4082), EHD1, RAB3A, CFL1, MAP4, HSPB1, SELENBP1, CLIC4, RAB5A, RAB7A, PCYT1A, FIS1, ARF1, CAPNS1, ARF3, CAPN1, SAR1A, GNAO1, EEF1A1, TPP1, DNM1L, RAB6A, (<i>LRBA, C3, SEC23IP, YWHAZ, BASP1, STAT3, LOC643751, IQGAP1, GNAI2, GNAS, SERPINC1, NOL3, LPIN1, RHOG, OPA1, SORBS2, PIN1, NFS1, YWHAG, TNS1</i>), (HK1, MTOR, PLS3, GRB2, PPP3CA, SOD1, SOD2)
Cell Morphology	EHD1, NRAS, RAB1A, CFL1, RRAD, ATP2A1, SH3GLB1, LIPE, NOS3, LOC643751, ROCK2, FIS1, HK2, FLNC, MARCKS (includes EG:4082), GPX4, CLIC4, HSPB1, MAP4, DNM1L, (SEC23IP, YWHAZ, BASP1, STAT3, LOC643751, IQGAP1, STAT4, GNAS, SERPINC1, LPIN1, NOL3, RHOG, PRKAA1, OPA1, SORBS2, MAP2K3, PIN1, NFS1,YWHAE, YWHAG, CRIP2, TNS1), (HK1, MTOR, GRB2, SOD1, SOD2)
Cellular Movement	CUL5, APOA1, MYLK3, LIPE, DDX3X, NOS3, LOC643751, HNRNPK, ROCK2, TGM2, DNAJA3, FGB, MGLL, SLC9A3R2, TXN, MARCKS (includes EG:4082), EHD1, NRAS, RAB21, SLC9A3R1, CFL1, CD36, RAP1A, PLA2G6, HP, CAPNS1, RRAS2, CAPN1, GNAO1, CAPN2, AKR1B1, CLIC4, HSPB1, NDRG2, NME2,FGA, (GNAI2, GNAS, SERPINC1, C3, RHOG, MAP2K3, PIN1, STAT3, IQGAP1, LOC643751, STAT1, TNS1)
Cell Death/Survival	CUL5, QKI, APOA1, PPP2CA, CUL4A, CUL1, ATP2A1, BAG3, SH3GLB1, LIPE, DDX3X, INPPL1, NOS3, LOC643751, ROCK2, CIAPIN1, GNB1, TGM2, DYNLL1, HK2, PRKAA2, DNAJA3, SLC9A3R2, PPM1A, TXN, GPX4, RHEB, PLA2G16, ALOX15, NRA5, CD36, SIRT3, PFKM, PPP2CB, PLA2G6, FIS1, PPP2R1A, TUBA1A, CAPNS1, RRA52, SIRT2, CAPN1, GNAO1, EEF1A1, TPP1, CLIC4, MAP4, UBA1 HSPB1, AKR1B1, ALDH2, MAP4, NME2, PRDX5, SELENBP1, UBA1, MSRAD, NM1L, (SDHB, C3, YWHAZ, STAT3, LOC643751, ELAVL1, STAT4, GNA5, SERPINC1, NOL3, PRKAA1, OPA1, MAP2K3, SORB52, PIN1, STAT1), (SDHA, SLK, HK1, MTOR, GFPT1, PPP2R2A, GRB2, BAT3, PPP3CA, SOD1, SOD2)
Cellular Development	EHD1, ALOX15, NRAS, RRAD, LIPE, NOS3, LOC643751, ROCK2, FIS1, PLA2G6, PPP2R1A, SIRT2, FLNC, PPM1A, MARCKS (includes EG:4082), ALDH6A1, CLIC4, NME2, SELENBP1, NDRG2, UBA1, AKR1B1, FGA, (STAT4, GNAS, LPIN1, C3, BASP1, MAP2K3, PIN1, STAT3, STAT1, LOC643751, FABP4, Ube2n, FABP4, YWHAG, ELAVL1), (MTOR, GRB2, SOD1, SOD2)

Table 2. Cont.

Functions	Identified Proteins
Cellular Growth and Proliferation	RAB1A, PRKAB1, PPP2CA, APOA1, CUL1, DDX3X, NOS3, LOC643751, HNRNPK, ROCK2, ARL1, DNAJA3, PPM1A, SLC9A3R2, TXN, GPX4, ALOX15, NRAS, SLC9A3R1, RRAD, PSME2, DDB1, DYNC1H1, PLA2G6, ARF1, PPP2R1A, PSMC1, CAPNS1, SIRT2, CAPN1, PSMD2, NME2, NDRG2, AKR1B1, UBA1, GNAO1, (<i>STAT4, SERPINC1, RHOG, LPIN1, C3, PRKAA1, MAP2K3, STAT3, PIN1, STAT1, LOC643751, ELAVL1</i>), (HK1, MTOR, GRB2, PSMC3, PPP3CA, SOD1, SOD2)
Post-Translational Modification	CUL5, APOA1, PPP2CA, CUL1, CD36, PPP1CB, SH3GLB1, SIRT3, TTC1, NOS3, DDB1, PPP2CB, PPP2R1A, UGGT1, SIRT2, CAPN1, DNAJA3, CAPN2, PPM1A, ALDH2, MSRA, TXN, (<i>CAND1, FAM129A, UBE2D2, YWHAZ, STAT1, TCEB1</i>), (SLK, ADSL, HK1, GFPT1, MTOR, ERP44, PPP2R2A, PPP3CA, SOD1, SOD2)
Protein Folding	UGGT1, DNAJA3, SH3GLB1, TXN, TTC1
Protein Synthesis	ALOX15, PRKAB1, PRKAG1, PFKM, TGM2, HBB (includes EG:3043), CAPNS1, CAPN1, PRKAA2, DLD, TPP1, CAPN2, HSPB1, CLIC4, NME2, LONP1, (KIAA0368, UBE2D2, MAP2K3, UBE2D3 (includes EG:66105)), (ADSL, GFP11, MTOR, ERP44, GRB2, PSMC4, PSMC2, SOD1, SOD2)
Protein Degradation	ALOX15, CAPNS1, CAPN1, DLD, TPP1, CAPN2, LONP1, (KIAA0368, UBE2D2, UBE2D3 (includes EG:66105)), (MTOR, PSMC4, PSMC2, SOD1, SOD2)

Normal: proteins identified both in BI-occupied and Cz-occupied β_2 ARr•HDL pull-downs.

(Italic): proteins identified in BI-occupied β_2 ARr-HDL pull-downs. (**Bold**): proteins identified in Cz-occupied β_2 AR-rHDL pull-downs.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0054942.t002

Although the present study identified β_2AR -interacting proteins from heart cytosol, there are limitations. First, β_2 AR-interacting membrane proteins cannot be purified because $\beta_2 AR$ is trapped in the rHDL and detergents cannot be used to solubilize the membrane proteins. GPCRs interact with membrane proteins as well as cytosolic proteins. $\beta_2 AR$ is also known to interact various membrane proteins (Table S3), but we could not purify these proteins due to the limitations of the system. Second, the results of the present study do not represent proteins that bind to $\beta_2 AR$ with post-translational modifications (PTMs). GPCRs are known to undergo various PTMs including phosphorylation, ubiquitination, glycosylation and nitrosylation [28,29,30]. However, $\beta_2 AR$ purified from insect cells does not contain the same PTMs vs. $\beta_{2}AR$ from mammalian cells. Therefore, proteins that are known to interact with phosphorylated $\beta_2 AR$ (e.g. β -arrestins) [31] were not identified in this study. Lastly, as expected, not all previously known β_2AR interacting proteins were identified in our search (Table S3). This may be due to the intrinsic limitation of mass spectrometry-based protein identification (false-negative detection), low binding affinity of those proteins to β_2AR , or the artificial environment of β_2AR •rHDL. Additional studies are required to overcome these limitations; however, we believe that the described method represents an improvement on previously described methods for identifying GPCR-interacting proteins.

Bioinformatic analyses of β_2 AR•rHDL pull-downs showed distinct protein profiles compared to control pull-downs (Figure 3). Functional analysis indicated that a higher percentage of proteins from β_2 AR•rHDL pull-downs are involved in cell signaling and protein trafficking when compared with controls (Figure 3A), suggesting that the identified proteins are not the result of non-specific binding. Canonical pathway analysis used the list of identified proteins to predict relevant signaling pathways and confirmed the difference between β_2 AR•rHDL pull-downs and control pull-down. The majority of pathways from β_2 AR•rHDL pull-downs are signal-transduction related pathways; whereas, most of the top 15 pathways from control pull-downs are related to metabolic proteins that are enriched in the heart (Figure 3B). In addition to the known β_2 AR signaling pathways in the heart (eg. cardiac β -adrenergic signaling, protein ubiquitination, clathrinmediated endocytosis and G beta gamma signaling), the present study suggests the involvement of the β_2AR in novel signaling pathways; such as AMPK signaling, PI3K/AKT signaling and integrin signaling pathways (Figure 3). β_2AR interaction with selected proteins identified in the β_2AR •rHDL pull-downs were confirmed by co-immunoprecipitation and Western Blot analysis (Figure 2) indicating that the identified proteins are not falsepositives. The role of these novel signaling pathways in β_2AR function in heart physiology and pathology warrants further investigation. Taken together, these bioinformatic analyses confirm the utility of using of GPCR•rHDL as an experimental system to identify GPCR-interacting proteins.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Quality of purified $\beta_2 AR$ and ApoAI. Purified $\beta_2 AR$ (left) and ApoAI (right) were run on SDS-PAGE and visualized with Coomassie staining. (TIF)

Table S1Mass spectrometry-based proteomic identifi-
cation of proteins in Figure 1B and 1C.(XLSX)

Table S2Summary of identified proteins.(XLSX)

Table S3 Known β_2 AR-interacting proteins. (DOCX)

Acknowledgments

The authors give special thanks to Dr. Chris Adams at the Vincent Coates Foundation Mass Spectrometry Laboratory at Stanford University for the mass spectrometry analysis.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: KYC PWD BKK. Performed the experiments: KYC PWD GVR. Analyzed the data: KYC. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: RKS GVR. Wrote the paper: KYC PWD RKS BKK.

References

- Pierce KL, Premont RT, Lefkowitz RJ (2002) Seven-transmembrane receptors. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 3: 639–650.
- Magalhaes AC, Dunn H, Ferguson SS (2012) Regulation of GPCR activity, trafficking and localization by GPCR-interacting proteins. Br J Pharmacol 165: 1717–1736.
- Bockaert J, Roussignol G, Becamel C, Gavarini S, Joubert L, et al. (2004) GPCR-interacting proteins (GIPs): nature and functions. Biochem Soc Trans 32: 851–855.
- DeFea KA (2011) Beta-arrestins as regulators of signal termination and transduction: how do they determine what to scaffold? Cell Signal 23: 621–629.
- Ritter SL, Hall RA (2009) Fine-tuning of GPCR activity by receptor-interacting proteins. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 10: 819–830.
- Salazar NC, Chen J, Rockman HA (2007) Cardiac GPCRs: GPCR signaling in healthy and failing hearts. Biochim Biophys Acta 1768: 1006–1018.
- Tang CM, Insel PA (2004) GPCR expression in the heart; "new" receptors in myocytes and fibroblasts. Trends Cardiovasc Med 14: 94–99.
- Kang M, Chung KY, Walker JW (2007) G-protein coupled receptor signaling in myocardium: not for the faint of heart. Physiology (Bethesda) 22: 174–184.
- Ho D, Yan L, Iwatsubo K, Vatner DE, Vatner SF (2010) Modulation of betaadrenergic receptor signaling in heart failure and longevity: targeting adenylyl cyclase type 5. Heart Fail Rev 15: 495–512.
- Xiang Y, Kobilka BK (2003) Myocyte adrenoceptor signaling pathways. Science 300: 1530–1532.
- Daulat AM, Maurice P, Jockers R (2009) Recent methodological advances in the discovery of GPCR-associated protein complexes. Trends Pharmacol Sci 30: 72–78.
- Legrain P, Selig L (2000) Genome-wide protein interaction maps using twohybrid systems. FEBS Lett 480: 32–36.
- Pluder F, Morl K, Beck-Sickinger AG (2006) Proteome analysis to study signal transduction of G protein-coupled receptors. Pharmacol Ther 112: 1–11.
 Free RB, Hazelwood LA, Sibley DR (2009) Identifying novel protein-protein
- Free RB, Hazelwood LA, Sibley DR (2009) Identifying novel protein-protein interactions using co-immunoprecipitation and mass spectroscopy. Curr Protoc Neurosci Chapter 5: Unit 5 28.
- Kabbani N, Levenson R (2007) A proteomic approach to receptor signaling: molecular mechanisms and therapeutic implications derived from discovery of the dopamine D2 receptor signalplex. Eur J Pharmacol 572: 83–93.
- Helbig AO, Heck AJ, Slijper M (2010) Exploring the membrane proteomechallenges and analytical strategies. J Proteomics 73: 868–878.
- Rabilloud T (2009) Membrane proteins and proteomics: love is possible, but so difficult. Electrophoresis 30 Suppl 1: S174–180.
- Whorton MR, Bokoch MP, Rasmussen SG, Huang B, Zare RN, et al. (2007) A monomeric G protein-coupled receptor isolated in a high-density lipoprotein

particle efficiently activates its G protein. Proc
 Natl Acad Sci $\rm U$ S A 104: 7682–7687.

- Kobilka BK (1995) Amino and carboxyl terminal modifications to facilitate the production and purification of a G protein-coupled receptor. Anal Biochem 231: 269–271.
- Capelo JL, Carreira R, Diniz M, Fernandes L, Galesio M, et al. (2009) Overview on modern approaches to speed up protein identification workflows relying on enzymatic cleavage and mass spectrometry-based techniques. Anal Chim Acta 650: 151–159.
- Duvernay MT, Wang H, Dong C, Guidry JJ, Sackett DL, et al. (2011) Alpha2Badrenergic receptor interaction with tubulin controls its transport from the endoplasmic reticulum to the cell surface. J Biol Chem 286: 14080–14089.
- Santos SD, Manadas B, Duarte CB, Carvalho AL (2010) Proteomic analysis of an interactome for long-form AMPA receptor subunits. J Proteome Res 9: 1670– 1682.
- Becamel C, Gavarini S, Chanrion B, Alonso G, Galeotti N, et al. (2004) The serotonin 5-HT2A and 5-HT2C receptors interact with specific sets of PDZ proteins. J Biol Chem 279: 20257–20266.
- Becamel C, Alonso G, Galcotti N, Demey E, Jouin P, et al. (2002) Synaptic multiprotein complexes associated with 5-HT(2C) receptors: a proteomic approach. EMBO J 21: 2332–2342.
- Farr CD, Gafken PR, Norbeck AD, Doneanu CE, Stapels MD, et al. (2004) Proteomic analysis of native metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 protein complexes reveals novel molecular constituents. J Neurochem 91: 438–450.
- Bockaert J, Marin P, Dumuis A, Fagni L (2003) The 'magic tail' of G proteincoupled receptors: an anchorage for functional protein networks. FEBS Lett 546: 65–72.
- Maurice P, Daulat AM, Broussard C, Mozo J, Clary G, et al. (2008) A generic approach for the purification of signaling complexes that specifically interact with the carboxyl-terminal domain of G protein-coupled receptors. Mol Cell Proteomics 7: 1556–1569.
- Canals M, Scholten DJ, de Munnik S, Han MK, Smit MJ, et al. (2012) Ubiquitination of CXCR7 controls receptor trafficking. PLoS One 7: e34192.
- Daaka Y (2012) S-nitrosylation-regulated GPCR signaling. Biochim Biophys Acta 1820: 743–751.
- Liggett SB (2011) Phosphorylation barcoding as a mechanism of directing GPCR signaling. Sci Signal 4: pe36.
- Gurevich VV, Dion SB, Onorato JJ, Ptasienski J, Kim CM, et al. (1995) Arrestin interactions with G protein-coupled receptors. Direct binding studies of wild type and mutant arrestins with rhodopsin, beta 2-adrenergic, and m2 muscarinic cholinergic receptors. J Biol Chem 270: 720–731.