



Correction

Correction: Sampling Plant Diversity and Rarity at Landscape Scales: Importance of Sampling Time in Species Detectability

The *PLOS ONE* Staff

The equations in Figure 6 are incorrect. The authors have provided a corrected version here.

Citation: The *PLOS ONE* Staff (2014) Correction: Sampling Plant Diversity and Rarity at Landscape Scales: Importance of Sampling Time in Species Detectability. *PLoS ONE* 9(7): e103920. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0103920

Published July 24, 2014

Copyright: © 2014 The *PLOS ONE* Staff. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.




Figure 6. Observer effects on vascular plant richness using data from 36 EMCLA sites with repeated surveys by two observers: (A) total richness comparison, (B) rare plant richness comparison, (C) total survey time comparison, and (D) total richness vs. pseudoturnover rate. The solid lines were fitted by linear regression models, while the dashed lines were the 1:1 diagonal lines that represent no bias in sampling among observers.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0095334.g006

Reference

1. Zhang J, Nielsen SE, Grainger TN, Kohler M, Chipchar T, et al. (2014) Sampling Plant Diversity and Rarity at Landscape Scales: Importance of Sampling Time in Species Detectability. PLoS ONE 9(4): e95334. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0095334