

Common Contaminants in Next-Generation Sequencing That Hinder Discovery of Low-Abundance Microbes



Martin Laurence¹, Christos Hatzis², Douglas E. Brash³*

1 Shipshaw Labs, Montreal, Quebec, Canada, 2 Yale Comprehensive Cancer Center, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut, United States of America, 3 Department of Therapeutic Radiology, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut, United States of America

Abstract

Unbiased high-throughput sequencing of whole metagenome shotgun DNA libraries is a promising new approach to identifying microbes in clinical specimens, which, unlike other techniques, is not limited to known sequences. Unlike most sequencing applications, it is highly sensitive to laboratory contaminants as these will appear to originate from the clinical specimens. To assess the extent and diversity of sequence contaminants, we aligned 57 "1000 Genomes Project" sequencing runs from six centers against the four largest NCBI BLAST databases, detecting reads of diverse contaminant species in all runs and identifying the most common of these contaminant genera (*Bradyrhizobium*) in assembled genomes from the NCBI Genome database. Many of these microorganisms have been reported as contaminants of ultrapure water systems. Studies aiming to identify novel microbes in clinical specimens will greatly benefit from not only preventive measures such as extensive UV irradiation of water and cross-validation using independent techniques, but also a concerted effort to sequence the complete genomes of common contaminants so that they may be subtracted computationally.

Citation: Laurence M, Hatzis C, Brash DE (2014) Common Contaminants in Next-Generation Sequencing That Hinder Discovery of Low-Abundance Microbes. PLoS ONE 9(5): e97876. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0097876

Editor: Tom Gilbert, Natural History Museum of Denmark, Denmark

Received March 4, 2014; Accepted April 25, 2014; Published May 16, 2014

Copyright: © 2014 Laurence et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: DEB is supported by NIH Skin Cancer SPORE grant 5P50CA121974, CH was supported by Yale University, and ML was supported by Shipshaw Labs Inc. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. No patents, products in development or marketed products are related to the findings reported in this paper. These affiliations do not alter the authors adherence to PLOS ONE Policies on sharing data and materials. The Leif Microbiome Analyzer is a commercial product of Shipshaw labs.

1

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: douglas.brash@yale.edu

Introduction

Systematic pathogen discovery based on unbiased highthroughput sequencing [1] was first used in 2008 to detect two novel viruses by pyrosequencing clinical specimens. The first study attributed 2 fragments out of 395,734 (0.0005%) to a novel polyomavirus [2]; the second study attributed 14 fragments out of 103,632 (0.0135%) to a novel arenavirus [3]. Recent improvements in sequencing technology have rendered this method much more sensitive for detecting low-abundance pathogens and other medically important microbes in clinical specimens. For example, PathSeq [4], a bioinformatics toolkit designed to detect novel sequences, was recently used to identify a novel Bradyrhizobium species in clinical specimens using whole metagenome shotgun DNA libraries and the Illumina sequencing platform, which can currently produce up to 186 million read pairs per lane [5]. A novel infectious species representing only 0.000001% of the total DNA in a clinical specimen can theoretically be detected using a single Illumina HiSeq 2000 lane, since at least one read pair out of 186 million would originate from the novel microbe in 84% of

Unbiased high-throughput sequencing has been suggested as a way of detecting etiological microbes in cancer tissue [6], an approach we consider promising for prostate cancer [7,8]. To facilitate such studies we constructed the Leif Microbiome Analyzer, a bioinformatics tool similar to PathSeq which was designed to eliminate the need for cluster computing typically required by NCBI blastn based tools such as PathSeq—even when

aligning against the largest NCBI BLAST databases. A basic assumption in calculating the sensitivity of such approaches is that one microbial read is informative [6]. However, while testing the Leif Microbiome Analyzer by examining two clinical samples for reads not aligning to the human genome, we encountered many reads from diverse species not known to be part of the human microbiome, suggesting the presence of contamination; members of the Bradyrhizobium genus were particularly prominent. If this situation arises commonly, it would be cost-prohibitive to screen candidate non-aligning reads using polymerase chain reaction (PCR) on the original specimens. For example, 1000 novel microbe reads would entail 1000 confirmatory PCR reactions on the original tissue sample; at \$100 each, including custom primers and optimization, the \$100,000 validation cost would greatly exceed the \$2500 cost of one Illumina HiSeq 2500 lane and would be prone to error. As the cost of sequencing continues to decline faster than the cost of PCR, this overhead is expected to worsen. In the case of novel contaminant microbes whose genome has not been completely sequenced, the 1000 confirmatory PCR reaction figure cannot be reduced by sampling only some reads of each species, as it is not possible to know which reads arose from the same species.

Various types of contamination in sequencing runs have been reported before [9,10], as well as a few mitigation techniques [11–13] which are not suitable for the discovery of novel microbes. We therefore inquired whether contamination was restricted to our libraries or sequencing center, or is a general property of next-generation sequencing workflows.

Materials and Methods

Sample Selection

Initial sequence analysis was performed on two human prostate samples which are not reported here but which motivated the larger studies of publicly available genomic sequences from cultures expected to contain cells of a single species. To obtain sequences of healthy human cells generated by next-generation sequencing workflows which closely resembled our own samples and runs, we searched the NCBI Sequence Read Archive database for "1000 genomes project AND hiseq AND CEU". The search was restricted to Illumina HiSeq because the Leif Microbiome Analyzer is optimized for this platform; CEU are genomes of Northern European ancestry. Paired-end whole genome shotgun sequencing runs done for the 1000 Genomes Project [14] used DNA extracted from cultured Epstein-Barr virus immortalized Blymphocytes drawn from blood of healthy individuals. A full description of specimens can be found at www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ sra for each of the runs used. This search gave 44 runs, some of which were too small (<18 M read pairs), had reads that were too short (<2×100), or crashed when converting to FASTQ format using the NCBI tool fastq-dump. Of the 44 runs, 23 passed these criteria. This initial search resulted in only Baylor College of Medicine and Broad Institute runs, so we then widened the search to runs from other sequencing centers. An SRA search for "hapmap AND hiseq AND CEU" resulted in 1298 runs. From these, runs from other sequencing centers were chosen randomly for a total of 57 runs. These include sequencing centers from the US, UK, and Germany. Non-human next-generation sequencing runs were also analyzed by searching the NCBI Sequence Read Archive database for "Candida albicans AND HiSeq AND WGS"; this search resulted in 198 runs, 142 of which were suitable for analysis (read pairs formatted as 2×100, >2M read pairs and Candida albicans species). All 142 runs were done at the Broad Institute.

Sequence Alignment

For all nucleotide sequence alignments in this study, we used the Leif Microbiome Analyzer version 0.7.3 (www.shipshaw.com/leif), a short-read alignment tool that uses an algorithm similar to NCBI blastn and is optimized for paired-end reads produced by the Illumina platform. Reference sequences were obtained from the four largest NCBI BLAST databases ("nt", "human_genomic", "other_genomic" and "wgs") downloaded in FASTA format on April 1st 2014 from ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast/db/FASTA. These databases contain taxonomically labeled Genbank nucleotide sequences of organisms deemed BLAST-worthy by NCBI.

We screened NCBI BLAST eukaryotic sequences for possible *Bradyrhizobium* contamination by first randomly sampling *Bradyrhizobium* sequences found in the NCBI BLAST databases (simulating an Illumina sequencing run producing 200,000 2×100 read pairs), then aligning these read pairs against all eukaryotic sequences in the NCBI BLAST databases. Positive genomes involved sequencing centers from the US, Canada, and China. This screening technique is not exhaustive since only a random sampling of *Bradyrhizobium* sequences was used; aligning against all *Bradyrhizobium* sequences (or all bacterial sequences) is beyond the means of an academic research project. The list of commands required to replicate this analysis are provided in Text S1.

Publicly available Illumina HiSeq 2000 whole genome runs from the 1000 Genomes Project and *Candida albicans* runs were downloaded from the NCBI Sequence Read Archive database. Read pairs from these runs were aligned against the four largest NCBI BLAST databases, and contamination candidates were

identified as described in the next section. The list of commands required to replicate this analysis are provided in Text S2 and S3.

Identification of Contamination Candidates

The Leif Microbiome Analyzer performed the following ten steps to identify contamination candidates in 1000 Genome Project runs. 1) Bases whose quality letter was ≤ '%' were deemed incorrectly called ('N'). 2) Read pairs which contained many bases deemed to be incorrectly called were tagged as "low-quality" and discarded. Remaining read pairs satisfied the following criteria: ≥ 90% of bases in each read were deemed correctly called and ≥ 50% of the 32 base substrings in each read contained only bases deemed correctly called. 3) Read pairs which contained a 32 base substring matching exactly with human, EBV or phage sequences were discarded. 4) Read pairs which were nearly identical (bases 5 to 64 were compared and deemed nearly identical if ≥57 bases matched) were deemed to be clones produced as an artifact of library preparation and discarded. 5) The DUST algorithm [15] was used to mask bases which were deemed part of a low entropy sequence. 6) Read pairs which contained too many bases masked by DUST were tagged as "low-entropy" and discarded. Remaining read pairs satisfied the following criterion: ≥50% of the 32 base substrings in each read contained only unmasked bases. 7) Read pairs which contained an identical or reverse complement unmasked 32 base substring were merged into a "contig-like group", and were deemed to have originated from the same template DNA strand pair. 8) A few read pairs from each "contiglike group" were randomly sampled for alignment; the number of sampled read pairs in each "contig-like group" was determined by the equation 1+ceiling(log2(number_of_read_pairs_in_group)). 9) Sampled read pairs were aligned to all sequences in the NCBI "nt", "human_genomic", "other_genomic" and "wgs" databases by Leif's qblast command. Reads (mates) in each read pair were aligned both independently (single alignment) and together (dual alignment, which supports fragments of up to 1024 nucleotides in length). Single alignment was used to produce all results reported here except Text S4, S5, S6 and S7; though single alignment considers mates independently, mates are always assigned to the same "contig-like group", so the link between mates is not completely lost. 10) "Contig-like groups" whose sampled read pairs aligned with primate, EBV or phage sequences were discarded. A "contig-like group" was deemed to have aligned to primate, EBV or phage sequences if ≥50% of sampled reads had ≥70% homology to primate, EBV or phage sequences present in the NCBI BLAST databases. For example, if a "contig-like group" contained three read pairs, then three out of the six reads must have ≥70% homology to primate, EBV or phage sequences to be discarded during this step.

The consensus set produced by Leif reports the taxonomic node which encompasses all sequences aligning at a given homology percentage for each sampled read individually, and also merged for all sampled reads in a "contig-like group". For example, in a "contig-like group" containing a single read pair, if read 1 has 93% homology to Streptococcus mitis and read 2 has 89% homology to Enterococcus faecalis, then the consensus taxonomic node for this "contig-like group" would be: 100% - 94% = none, 93% - 90%Streptococcus mitis and 89%-0% Lactobacillales-since Lactobacillales is the narrowest taxonomic node which contains both Streptococcus mitis and Enterococcus faecalis. Homology tests described below use the merged consensus set of each "contig-like group" to assign taxonomic classification to all read pairs within this group. "Contig-like groups" with a <90% homology to all database sequences were not given a taxonomic classification and were instead reported as "Low homology": sampled read pairs in these

groups either originated from a novel species/strain or contained sequence errors. "Contig-like groups" with ≥90% homology to any NCBI BLAST database sequence were deemed to be known contaminants. These were split into three broad categories: "Eukaryote", "Prokaryote" and "Viral". The "Dual homology" category contains "contig-like groups" with a high homology to two or more broad categories; since these are non-specific matches, they should not be reported as either "Eukaryote", "Prokaryote" or "Viral". Finally, the "Prokaryote" category was split into five parts. Many "contig-like groups" aligned specifically to ultrapure water system contaminants reported by Kulakov et al. [16] (genera Bradyrhizobium, Rhizobium/Agrobacterium, Sphingomonas, Burkholderia, Ralstonia, Pseudomonas, Stenotrophomonas, Flavobacterium and to Enterobacteriaceae (probably to Escherichia coli, although the alignments were not sufficiently specific to exclude other Enterobacteriaceae species). Since Bradyrhizobium and Bradyrhizobium sp. DFCI-1 were highly prevalent, they were reported in separate columns. For a "contig-like group" to be reported under a taxonomic name, all alignments to other taxonomic names were required to have a significantly lower homology (a 5% homology margin was chosen to generate Figure 1). For example, if a "contig-like group" had 93% homology to a eukaryote and 89% to a prokaryote (4% homology margin), its read pairs are reported under the taxonomic node "cellular organisms", which is placed in the "Dual homology" category in Figure 1.

Candida albicans runs were analyzed similarly to human 1000 Genomes Project runs with the following differences: 1) the low quality bases were identified using \leq '#' (rather than \leq '%'); 2) reads aligning to any sequence from the genus Candida were discarded (rather than reads aligning with any sequence from primates or EBV).

Results

The presence of significant levels of Bradyrhizobium genus sequence in our two clinical samples led us to examine, as a negative control, reads from two human 1000 Genomes Project runs which did not align to the human genome. Manual review of Leif's gblast results for these two runs (SRR768303 and SRR385759) aligned against the four largest NCBI BLAST databases revealed that about one third of non-human reads matched specifically with Bradyrhizobium sequences, especially Bradyrhizobium sp. DFCI-1 (Text S4). In addition, some reads matched specifically to exotic species such as the Tibetan antelope, Pantholops hodgsonii. Further investigation of Pantholops hodgsoni nucleotide sequences in Genbank revealed that regions of this genome align very well with Bradyrhizobium sequences. The presence of Bradyrhizobium sequences in our two clinical specimens, in two randomly selected 1000 Genomes Project runs and in the assembled genome of Pantholops hodgsonii suggests that this bacterium is a common contaminant in next-generation sequencing workflows.

Randomly selected *Bradyrhizobium* sequences were then aligned against eukaryotic sequences in the NCBI BLAST databases, revealing many additional species which match specifically with *Bradyrhizobium* sequences (Table 1). It appears that *Bradyrhizobium* contamination may have been present in the sequencing runs used to assemble some genomes, such as the *Pantholops hodgsonii* genome, and were incorporated into assembled genomes in the NCBI Genome database. The problem is therefore not limited to searches for low-abundance microbes: contamination can go unrecognized in *de novo* assembled genomes, due to low coverage or inadequate curation. The seven Genbank entries listed in

Table 1 suggest that *Bradyrhizobium* contamination is not limited to a single sequencing center, technology or eukaryotic species.

To compare a large number of whole genome shotgun sequencing libraries processed at different sequencing centers by a standardized protocol and not expected to contain etiologic microbes or be complicated by issues of handling of pathology samples, we used the Leif Microbiome Analyzer to identify nonaligning reads from 57 Illumina HiSeq 2000 runs performed by various sequencing centers on 1000 Genomes Project samples. The results are shown in Figure 1. Known contaminant sequences (defined here as read pairs in a human sample which match specifically with NCBI BLAST sequences other than primate, EBV, and phage) were present in all runs, varying from 0.000007% to 0.015% of total read pairs with a median of 0.0003%. Low homology sequences (defined here as read pairs which did not match with sequences in the NCBI BLAST databases, usually due to either a high number of sequencing errors or to the presence of novel contaminant strains/species in the run) are listed in a separate column and are not counted as known contaminant sequences-although some may well originate from novel contaminants. Eukaryotic DNA contamination was common, typically aligning to the genus Bos, which may be originating from fetal calf serum used in cell culture media. Bradyrhizobium contamination was found in 25 out of 57 runs. This particular contaminant varied from center to center (Figure 1). The highest levels of Bradyrhizobium were found in runs from center BCM, where 19 runs out of 30 were contaminated, reaching levels as high as 0.003% of reads (Figure 1). Some runs from centers SC, BI, and MPIMG contained a few reads which matched specifically with Bradyrhizobium sp. DFCI-1 (Figure 1 and Text S5, S6 and S7). No Bradyrhizobium read pairs were found in two runs submitted by Illumina or four runs submitted by WUGSC. However, runs from these centers did show contamination from other organisms. Other species commonly encountered included genera Rhizobium/ Agrobacterium, Sphingomonas, Burkholderia, Ralstonia, Pseudomonas, Stenotrophomonas, Flavobacterium (reported together in column "Ultrapure water system contaminants – Other" of Figure 1); sequence alignments to all species are reported in Spreadsheet S1. These 57 sequencing runs indicate that contamination is widespread and Bradyrhizobium sp. DFCI-1 is a prominent contaminant, but contamination levels are highly variable between runs-even runs from the same sequencing center.

Alignment results from 142 Candida albicans sequencing runs performed using Illumina HiSeq 2000 are reported in Table S1 and in Spreadsheet S2. Bradyrhizobium contamination can be found in 136 out of 142 runs, reaching levels as high as 0.0126% of reads. Ultrapure water system contaminants were detected in all runs.

Discussion

Many different microbe discovery techniques have been developed over the last 150 years [1]; the advent of next-generation sequencing technology has enabled molecular detection techniques which allow the discovery of fastidious or unculturable microbes in samples containing mixed flora such as the human skin [17]. These new techniques revolutionized our understanding of the human microbiome, revealing many previously unknown species in clinical specimens from healthy individuals.

The most common technique in use today for microbiome surveys is based on selective amplification of small regions of microbial DNA using consensus PCR primers prior to high-throughput sequencing. This technique has three major

Primate EBV Professor	Center	Run		All read pairs								
BCM SRR985764 S6712167 9 436 149 1163 111 126 141 141 141 142 114 144 142 114 144 144 144 144 145							Contami	nation car	ndidates			·
SCM SFR385754 SCI12167 9 428 439 431 439 431 4					Kr			gh homolo	gy - ≥90°			
Contaminants				Company of the Compan		Pr	okaryote					
Borname				yote					Annual Control		2007	(<90%)
BCM SRR386754 S0112167 9 438 433 431 0 172 2 61 543 BCM SRR3867554 S43233137 1 227 179 234 0 68 3 23 277 BCM SRR386756 S43233137 1 227 179 234 0 68 3 23 277 BCM SRR386757 79360966 9 1153 1126 1514 0 517 6 190 1736 BCM SRR386757 79360966 9 1153 1126 1514 0 517 6 190 1736 BCM SRR386761 S277896 8 0 0 0 1 1 127 0 5 278 BCM SRR386761 S277896 8 0 0 0 1 1 127 0 5 278 BCM SRR386762 103886262 164 0 0 0 1 1 127 0 5 278 BCM SRR386764 S69807301 9 321 282 363 0 120 1 53 411 BCM SRR386764 46462143 6 149 145 169 0 65 0 20 207 BCM SRR386767 63753008 44 0 0 0 3 0 27 0 131 747 BCM SRR386768 36642864 28 0 0 0 2 1 18 0 60 BCM SRR386769 92608130 21 0 0 0 22 0 222 0 112 361 BCM SRR386779 918 605 60 0 2 2 0 14 4 BCM SRR386769 92608130 21 0 0 0 22 0 222 0 112 361 BCM SRR386776 92608130 21 0 0 0 22 0 22 0 11 4 BCM SRR386774 42459279 2 28 41 14 14 22 1 372 39 BCM SRR386774 42459279 2 28 41 14 14 22 1 372 39 BCM SRR386774 42459279 2 28 41 14 14 22 1 372 39 BCM SRR389998 49568344 1 67 65 65 63 0 35 0 13 102 BCM SRR399999 49568344 1 67 65 65 63 0 35 0 13 10 10 BCM SRR399999 49568344 1 67 65 65 65 0 57 1 14 14 14 BCM SRR399999 495686344 1 67 65 65 65 0 57 1 14 14 17 BCM SRR399999 495686344 1 67 65 65 65 0 57 1 14 14 14 14 14 14 14								The state of the s			logy	
BCM SRR386754 S0112167 9 438 433 431 0 172 2 2 61 543 54								Пасеае	200000000000000000000000000000000000000			
BCM SRR385754 S0112167 9 438 433 431 0 172 2 61 543 548 558 54233137 1 227 179 234 0 88 3 23 278 528 528 527 527 527 527 529 16 61 61 61 61 62 62 63 64 65 65 65 65 65 65 65			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		DI CI-I				logy			
EOM SRR385754 50112167 9 438 433 431 0 172 2 61 543 ECM SRR385755 48859661 12 0 0 1 6 32 0 66 61 ECM SRR385759 78960966 9 1153 1126 1514 0 1517 6 190 1736 ECM SRR385761 52277896 8 0 0 1 1 127 0 5 278 BCM SRR385763 53807301 9 321 282 363 0 120 1 5 278 BCM SRR385763 53807301 9 321 282 363 0 120 1 5 278 BCM SRR385768 43867999 18 625 623 792 171 208 4 4015 1055 BCM SRR385768 4982484 28 0						100000000000000000000000000000000000000						
ECM SRR385758 48859661 12 0 0 1 6 32 0 66 61 BCM SRR385761 52277890 8 0 0 2 4 14 0 84 229 BCM SRR385762 53807301 9 321 282 363 0 120 1 5 278 BCM SRR385763 53807301 9 321 282 363 0 120 1 5 278 BCM SRR385763 53807301 9 321 282 363 0 120 1 153 441 1 66 149 141 169 66 60 2 22 0 1 4 4 4 <td>BCM</td> <td>SRR385754</td> <td>50112167</td> <td>9</td> <td>438</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td>172</td> <td>2</td> <td>61</td> <td>543</td>	BCM	SRR385754	50112167	9	438			0	172	2	61	543
BCM SRR385789 79360966 9 1153 1126 1514 0 517 6 190 1736 BCM SRR385762 252277896 8 0 0 2 2 14 14 0 84 229 363 0 120 1 53 411 15 278 88 0 0 1 1 127 0 5 278 88 0 1 1 127 0 5 278 878 11 153 411 153 411 153 411 150 0 5 0 2 277 0 153 174 190 0 2 0 121 20 0 2 0 131 747 747 14 6 0 2 0 131 747 747 14 6 0 2 0 132 0 132 0 127 0 131 <		SRR385755	43233137		227	179	234	0		3	23	278
BCM SRR385761 52277896 8 0 0 2 4 14 0 84 209 BCM SRR385763 53807301 9 321 282 363 0 120 1 53 411 BCM SRR385764 43367799 18 625 623 792 171 298 4 4015 1055 BCM SRR385766 43367799 18 625 623 792 171 298 4 4015 1055 BCM SRR385768 46642864 28 0 0 2 1 18 0 62 470 BCM SRR385770 36818041 7 1 4 6 0 2 0 112 361 BCM SRR385776 36818041 7 1 4 6 0 2 0 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 0 </td <td>1,000,110</td> <td></td> <td>10.07.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.00</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1000</td> <td>1000.001</td> <td></td>	1,000,110		10.07.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.00							1000	1000.001	
BCM SRR385762 103886282 164 0 0 1 1 127 0 5 278 BCM SRR385764 46462143 6 149 145 169 0 65 0 20 277 BCM SRR385764 46462143 6 149 145 169 0 65 0 20 277 BCM SRR385767 63753008 44 0 0 3 0 27 0 131 747 BCM SRR385768 46642864 28 0 0 2 1 1 0 62 470 BCM SRR385776 36818041 7 1 4 6 0 2 0 112 40 112 40 1 4 6 0 2 0 112 40 1 4 4 6 0 2 0 112 40 1 4 4												
BCM SRR385763 53807301 9 321 282 383 0 120 1 53 411 BCM SRR385764 43367799 18 625 623 792 171 298 4 4015 1055 BCM SRR385786 4367799 18 625 623 792 171 298 4 4015 1055 BCM SRR385788 46642864 28 0 0 2 1 18 0 62 470 BCM SRR385776 36818041 7 1 4 6 0 2 0 1 4 6 0 2 0 1 4 4 6 0 2 0 1 4 4 6 0 2 0 1 4 6 0 2 0 1 4 4 4 4 0 0 12 0 1 4 0			100-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-									
BCM SRR385764 46462143 6 149 145 169 0 65 0 20 277 BCM SRR385767 63753006 44 0 0 3 0 27 0 131 747 BCM SRR385769 63753006 44 0 0 2 1 18 62 470 BCM SRR385769 92606130 21 0 0 22 0 222 0 112 361 BCM SRR385770 36818041 7 1 4 6 0 22 0 112 360 BCM SRR385773 52061042 0 30 76 18 0 10 7 16 4 4 14 12 2 13 36 6 1 37 10 4 16 20 13 35 6 12 1 460 23 35 6 13 <td></td>												
BCM SRR385765 43367799 18 625 623 792 171 298 4 4015 1055 BCM SRR385768 436378306 44 0 0 2 1 18 0 62 470 BCM SRR385768 48642864 28 0 0 2 1 18 0 62 470 BCM SRR385773 36818041 7 1 4 6 0 2 0 112 361 BCM SRR385773 5061042 0 30 76 18 0 10 7 16 38 BCM SRR385774 42439279 2 28 41 14 14 22 1 372 39 BCM SRR385777 48466201 4 34 54 24 0 24 0 0 43 3 56 6 33 5 6 127 0												
BCM SRR385767 63753008 44 0 0 3 0 27 0 131 747 BCM SRR385769 92608130 21 0 0 22 0 222 0 112 361 BCM SRR385770 36818041 7 1 4 6 0 2 0 112 361 BCM SRR385772 360818041 7 1 4 6 0 2 0 1 4 0 0 22 0 112 361 361 361 361 361 361 361 361 361 361 361 37 361 362										1000		
BCM SRR385788 46642864 28 0 0 2 1 118 0 62 470 BCM SRR385789 29608130 21 0 0 22 0 21 0 112 361 BCM SRR385772 36818041 7 1 4 6 0 2 0 1 4 BCM SRR385774 36254401 9 7 10 4 16 21 1 460 23 BCM SRR385774 42439279 2 28 41 14 14 22 1 372 39 BCM SRR385777 48462601 4 34 54 24 0 24 0 0 43 BCM SRR393998 49568344 1 67 65 63 0 35 0 13 102 BCM SRR393999 49568944 1 67 65 63<									19 00 70 700	320	12000 8 02	0.0000000
BCM SRR385769 92608130 21 0 0 22 0 222 0 112 361 BCM SRR385772 60254401 9 7 10 4 16 21 1 460 23 BCM SRR385773 52061042 0 30 76 18 0 10 7 16 38 BCM SRR385776 42439279 2 28 41 14 42 22 1 372 39 BCM SRR385776 42439229 2 28 41 14 42 22 1 372 39 BCM SRR393776 42469205 3 35 61 27 0 11 0 3 50 BCM SRR393998 58072454 1 145 102 156 0 57 1 14 211 BCM SRR393999 451017564 34 0 0	22 22 1 Table 10 Tabl		101074097 2100740090100775				500.00	/=-			0.005770	
BCM SRR3985770 36818041 7 1 4 6 0 2 0 1 4 BCM SRR395773 52061042 0 30 76 18 0 10 7 16 38 BCM SRR395774 42439279 2 28 41 14 14 22 1 372 39 BCM SRR395774 42439205 3 35 61 27 0 11 0 3 50 BCM SRR393777 48462601 4 34 54 24 0 24 0 0 43 BCM SRR393998 49568344 1 167 65 63 0 35 0 13 102 BCM SRR339399 49568344 1 67 65 63 0 35 0 13 102 BCM SRR339399 456927449 25 2 0 2<			02.000000 10.00 10.00 10.00									
BCM SRR385772 60254401 9 7 10 4 16 21 1 460 23 BCM SRR385774 42439279 2 28 41 14 14 22 1 372 39 BCM SRR385774 42439229 2 28 41 14 14 22 1 372 39 BCM SRR385776 42168205 3 35 61 27 0 11 0 3 50 BCM SRR393789 58072454 1 145 102 156 0 57 1 14 211 BCM SRR393999 51017564 34 0 0 4 0 34 0 7 561 BCM SRR393999 51017564 34 0 0 4 0 34 0 7 561 BCM SRR393999 51017564 34 0 0 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>7.89.72.11.74.844.15.11.19.17.19.19.19.19.1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>			7.89.72.11.74.844.15.11.19.17.19.19.19.19.1									
BCM SRR385774 42439279 2 28 41 14 14 22 1 372 39 BCM SRR385777 48462601 4 34 54 24 0 24 0 0 43 BCM SRR393988 S8072454 1 145 102 156 0 57 1 14 211 BCM SRR393999 49568344 1 67 65 63 0 35 0 13 102 BCM SRR393999 51017564 34 0 0 4 0 34 0 7 561 BCM SRR393994 45692749 25 2 0 2 0 26 0 5 436 BCM SRR41366 74787795 16 0 0 21 8 87 0 153 92 BCM SRR768303 487990273 22 56 40 <						10					460	
BCM SRR385776 42169205 3 35 61 27 0 11 0 3 50 BCM SRR385777 48482601 4 34 54 24 0 24 0 0 43 BCM SRR393988 58072454 1 145 102 156 0 57 1 14 211 BCM SRR393998 49568344 1 67 65 63 0 34 0 7 561 BCM SRR393990 51017564 34 0 0 0 5 13 0 90 67 BCM SRR393993 48389009 82 0 0 0 26 0 5 436 BCM SRR4100037 47847795 16 0 0 21 8 87 0 153 92 BCM SRR768303 45840489 15 12 11 1	BCM	SRR385773	52061042	0	30	76	18	0		7	16	38
BCM SRR385777 48462601 4 34 54 24 0 24 0 0 43 BCM SRR333988 58072454 1 145 102 156 0 57 1 14 211 BCM SRR339398 49586344 1 67 65 63 0 35 0 13 102 BCM SRR393993 49368090 32 0 0 0 5 13 0 9 67 BCM SRR393993 456927949 25 2 0 2 0 26 0 5 436 BCM SRR40037 47947795 16 0 0 21 8 87 0 153 9 BCM SRR741366 74950213 22 56 40 1 15 36 0 267 56 BCM SRR768303 68790675 9 18 19	BCM	SRR385774	42439279	2	28	41	14	14	22	1	372	39
BCM SRR393988 58072454 1 145 102 156 0 57 1 14 211 BCM SRR339889 49568344 1 67 65 63 0 35 0 13 102 BCM SRR393993 43869009 82 0 0 0 5 13 0 90 67 BCM SRR393994 4856927949 25 2 0 2 0 26 0 5 436 BCM SRR400037 47847795 16 0 0 21 8 87 0 153 92 BCM SRR768304 58664089 15 12 11 2 8 15 2 88 14 BCM SRR768304 5864089 15 12 11 2 8 15 2 88 14 BCM SRR768304 58676996 5 12 11 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0</td><td></td><td></td></t<>										0		
BCM SRR393999 49568344 1 67 65 63 0 35 0 13 102			G WELL STORY OF LINE OF LOST 101.0							100		CONT.
BCM SRR393990 51017564 34 0 0 4 0 34 0 7 561 BCM SRR393993 48369009 82 0 0 0 5 133 0 90 67 BCM SRR393994 56927949 25 2 0 2 0 26 0 5 436 BCM SRR400037 47847795 16 0 0 21 8 87 0 153 92 BCM SRR768303 69790675 9 18 19 0 2 27 0 23 12 BCM SRR768304 51680498 15 12 11 2 8 15 2 88 14 BCM SRR768304 51681689 15 12 11 2 8 15 2 88 14 BCM SRR768309 8991996 13 24 23 4										7.0		
BCM SRR393993 48369009 82 0 0 0 5 13 0 90 67 BCM SRR393994 56927949 25 2 0 2 0 26 0 5 436 BCM SRR741366 74950213 22 56 40 1 15 36 0 267 56 BCM SRR768304 51864089 15 12 11 2 8 15 2 88 14 BCM SRR768304 51864089 15 12 11 2 8 15 2 88 14 BCM SRR768309 80919926 13 24 23 4 5 18 0 127 24 BL SRR067577 46251353 37 0 0 0 0 5 0 0 40 BL SRR067578 46490939 49 0 0 0				-	078.03	175107510	0510511				100.000	
BCM SRR393994 56927949 25 2 0 2 0 26 0 5 436 BCM SRR400037 47847795 16 0 0 21 8 87 0 153 92 BCM SRR768303 69790675 9 18 19 0 2 27 0 23 12 BCM SRR768304 51864089 15 12 11 2 8 15 2 88 14 BCM SRR768309 80919926 13 24 23 4 5 18 0 127 24 BL SRR067576 18153122 21 0 0 15 35 7 0 639 280 BL SRR067578 4469939 49 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 53						10800			1,000,117,001	10750		
BCM SRR400037												
BCM SRR741366 74950213 22 56 40 1 15 36 0 267 56 BCM SRR768303 69790675 9 18 19 0 2 27 0 23 12 BCM SRR768304 51864089 15 12 11 2 8 15 2 88 14 BCM SRR768309 80919926 13 24 23 4 5 18 0 127 24 BI SRR067576 18153122 21 0 0 15 35 7 0 639 280 BI SRR067578 46490339 49 0 0 0 0 4 0 1 53 BI SRR067579 46015083 27 0 0 0 0 6 0 1 51 BI SRR068130 125872967 19 0 2 1												
BCM SRR768303 69790675 9 18 19 0 2 27 0 23 12												
BCM SRR768304 51864089 15 12 11 2 8 15 2 88 14												
BCM SRR768309 80919926 13 24 23 4 5 18 0 127 24										1355		
BI SRR067576 18153122 21 0 0 15 35 7 0 639 280					A.C.			150			5070.070	1895-10
BI							15					
BI	BI	SRR067577	46251353		0	0	0	0	5	0	0	40
BI	BI	SRR067578	46490939		0	0	0	0	4	0	1	
BI											-	
BI				19								
ILLUM				7								
ILLUM ERR091575 205185449 5 0 0 42 1 21 0 20 103 MPIMG ERR233225 104620504 0 0 0 0 4 1 1 1 0 1 8 MPIMG ERR233227 106377916 638 0 0 0 2 5 7 1 62 27 MPIMG ERR233301 119475893 28 0 0 0 0 0 3 0 3 18 MPIMG ERR233302 111852187 63 0 0 54 0 154 0 10 219 MPIMG ERR234321 108573882 725 0 0 0 1 0 0 2 4 14 MPIMG ERR234322 113157820 473 0 0 1 1 12 0 5 30 MPIMG ERR234323 114214873 13 0 0 0 0 17 0 1 9 MPIMG ERR234324 118549617 1235 0 0 0 0 0 17 0 1 9 MPIMG ERR234325 111531797 386 0 0 0 0 0 2 0 0 21 MPIMG ERR234325 111531797 386 0 0 0 0 0 4 0 0 0 32 MPIMG ERR234327 112766941 530 0 0 1 7 12 0 16 75 MPIMG ERR234328 114857252 2388 0 0 1 1 1 2 0 0 128 MPIMG ERR234329 111235200 188 0 0 0 0 4 0 2 67 MPIMG ERR234329 111235200 188 0 0 0 0 4 0 2 67 MPIMG ERR239333 116722187 892 0 0 3 0 3 0 9 50 MPIMG ERR239334 105779573 577 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 6 2432 SC ERR050082 42242519 89 0 1 7 0 20 0 6 2432 SC ERR050083 6638415 61 1 0 4 0 18 0 1422 1146 SC ERR050083 6638415 61 1 0 4 0 18 0 1422 1146 SC SRR211275 43009432 50 0 0 0 0 1 42 0 7 39 WUGSC SRR211278 55193260 23 0 0 0 1 1 23 4 27 13 WUGSC SRR407429 41694818 6 0 0 1 1 23 4 27 13 WUGSC SRR407429 41694818 6 0 0 1 1 23 4 27 13 WUGSC SRR407429 41694818 6 0 0 1 1 23 4 27 13 WUGSC SRR407429 41694818 6 0 0 1 1 23 4 27 13 WUGSC SRR407429 41694818 6 0 0 1 1 1 23 4 27 13 WUGSC SRR407429 41694818 6 0 0 1 1 20 1 20 0 1												
MPIMG ERR233225 104620504 0 0 0 4 1 1 0 1 8 MPIMG ERR233227 106377916 638 0 0 2 5 7 1 62 27 MPIMG ERR233301 119475893 28 0 0 0 0 3 0 3 18 MPIMG ERR233302 111852187 63 0 0 54 0 154 0 10 219 MPIMG ERR234321 108573882 725 0 0 1 0 0 2 4 14 MPIMG ERR234321 108573882 725 0 0 1 0 0 2 4 14 MPIMG ERR234322 113157820 473 0 0 0 17 0 1 9 MPIMG ERR234323 114214873 13 0 0 0 <												
MPIMG ERR233227 106377916 638 0 0 2 5 7 1 62 27 MPIMG ERR233301 119475893 28 0 0 0 0 3 0 3 18 MPIMG ERR233302 111852187 63 0 0 54 0 154 0 10 219 MPIMG ERR234321 108573882 725 0 0 1 0 0 2 4 14 MPIMG ERR2343222 113157820 473 0 0 1 1 1 0 5 30 MPIMG ERR234323 114214873 13 0 0 0 0 17 0 1 9 MPIMG ERR234324 118549617 1235 0 0 0 0 2 0 0 21 MPIMG ERR234325 111531797 386 0 0												
MPIMG ERR233301 119475893 28 0 0 0 3 0 3 18 MPIMG ERR233302 111852187 63 0 0 54 0 154 0 10 219 MPIMG ERR234321 108573882 725 0 0 1 0 0 2 4 14 MPIMG ERR234322 113157820 473 0 0 1 1 12 0 5 30 MPIMG ERR234323 114214873 13 0 0 0 0 17 0 1 9 MPIMG ERR2343224 118549617 1235 0 0 0 0 2 0 0 21 MPIMG ERR234325 111531797 386 0 0 0 0 4 0 0 32 MPIMG ERR234328 114857252 2388 0 0 1						100000					17.1	
MPIMG ERR233302 111852187 63 0 0 54 0 154 0 10 219 MPIMG ERR234321 108573882 725 0 0 1 0 0 2 4 14 MPIMG ERR234322 113157820 473 0 0 1 1 12 0 5 30 MPIMG ERR234322 114214873 13 0 0 0 0 17 0 1 9 MPIMG ERR234324 118549617 1235 0 0 0 0 2 0 0 21 MPIMG ERR234325 111531797 386 0 0 0 0 4 0 0 32 MPIMG ERR234327 112766941 530 0 0 1 7 12 0 16 75 MPIMG ERR234328 114857252 2388 0 0												
MPIMG ERR234321 108573882 725 0 0 1 0 0 2 4 14 MPIMG ERR234322 113157820 473 0 0 1 1 12 0 5 30 MPIMG ERR234323 114214873 13 0 0 0 0 17 0 1 9 MPIMG ERR234324 118549617 1235 0 0 0 0 2 0 0 21 MPIMG ERR234325 111531797 386 0 0 0 0 4 0 0 32 MPIMG ERR234327 112766941 530 0 0 1 7 12 0 16 75 MPIMG ERR234328 114857252 2388 0 0 1 1 2 0 0 128 MPIMG ERR234329 111235200 188 0 0	10.000000000000000000000000000000000000											
MPIMG ERR234322 113157820 473 0 0 1 1 12 0 5 30 MPIMG ERR234323 114214873 13 0 0 0 0 17 0 1 9 MPIMG ERR234324 118549617 1235 0 0 0 0 2 0 0 21 MPIMG ERR234325 111531797 386 0 0 0 0 4 0 0 32 MPIMG ERR234327 112766941 530 0 0 1 7 12 0 16 75 MPIMG ERR234328 114857252 2388 0 0 1 1 2 0 0 128 MPIMG ERR234329 111235200 188 0 0 0 0 4 0 2 67 MPIMG ERR239333 116722187 892 0 0												
MPIMG ERR234324 118549617 1235 0 0 0 0 2 0 0 21 MPIMG ERR234325 111531797 386 0 0 0 0 4 0 0 32 MPIMG ERR234327 112766941 530 0 0 1 7 12 0 16 75 MPIMG ERR234328 114857252 2388 0 0 1 1 2 0 0 128 MPIMG ERR234329 111235200 188 0 0 0 0 4 0 2 67 MPIMG ERR239333 116722187 892 0 0 3 0 3 0 9 50 MPIMG ERR239334 105779573 577 0 1 0 0 0 8 362 SC ERR050082 42242519 89 0 1 7	MPIMG					0	1	1	12			
MPIMG ERR234325 111531797 386 0 0 0 0 4 0 0 32 MPIMG ERR234327 112766941 530 0 0 1 7 12 0 16 75 MPIMG ERR234328 114857252 2388 0 0 1 1 2 0 0 128 MPIMG ERR234329 111235200 188 0 0 0 0 4 0 2 67 MPIMG ERR239333 116722187 892 0 0 3 0 3 0 9 50 MPIMG ERR239334 105779573 577 0 1 0 0 0 0 8 362 SC ERR050082 42242519 89 0 1 7 0 20 0 6 2432 SC ERR050083 66388415 61 1 0 <td< td=""><td>MPIMG</td><td>ERR234323</td><td>114214873</td><td>13</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>17</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>9</td></td<>	MPIMG	ERR234323	114214873	13	0	0	0	0	17	0	1	9
MPIMG ERR234327 112766941 530 0 0 1 7 12 0 16 75 MPIMG ERR234328 114857252 2388 0 0 1 1 2 0 0 128 MPIMG ERR234329 111235200 188 0 0 0 0 4 0 2 67 MPIMG ERR239333 116722187 892 0 0 3 0 3 0 9 50 MPIMG ERR239334 105779573 577 0 1 0 0 10 0 8 362 SC ERR050082 42242519 89 0 1 7 0 20 0 6 2432 SC ERR050083 66388415 61 1 0 4 0 18 0 1422 1146 WUGSC SRR211275 43009432 50 0 0		ERR234324	118549617	1235	0	0	0	0		0	0	
MPIMG ERR234328 114857252 2388 0 0 1 1 2 0 0 128 MPIMG ERR234329 111235200 188 0 0 0 0 4 0 2 67 MPIMG ERR239333 116722187 892 0 0 3 0 3 0 9 50 MPIMG ERR239334 105779573 577 0 1 0 0 10 0 8 362 SC ERR050082 42242519 89 0 1 7 0 20 0 6 2432 SC ERR050083 66388415 61 1 0 4 0 18 0 1422 1146 WUGSC SRR211275 43009432 50 0 0 0 0 93 2 4 59 WUGSC SRR211278 55193260 23 0 0 <			100 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	10000000		10000	0		5000		1771	
MPIMG ERR234329 111235200 188 0 0 0 0 4 0 2 67 MPIMG ERR239333 116722187 892 0 0 3 0 3 0 9 50 MPIMG ERR239334 105779573 577 0 1 0 0 10 0 8 362 SC ERR050082 42242519 89 0 1 7 0 20 0 6 2432 SC ERR050083 66388415 61 1 0 4 0 18 0 1422 1146 WUGSC SRR211275 43009432 50 0 0 0 0 93 2 4 59 WUGSC SRR211278 55193260 23 0 0 0 1 42 0 7 39 WUGSC SRR407429 41694818 6 0 0 1												
MPIMG ERR239333 116722187 892 0 0 3 0 9 50 MPIMG ERR239334 105779573 577 0 1 0 0 10 0 8 362 SC ERR050082 42242519 89 0 1 7 0 20 0 6 2432 SC ERR050083 66388415 61 1 0 4 0 18 0 1422 1146 WUGSC SRR211275 43009432 50 0 0 0 0 93 2 4 59 WUGSC SRR211278 55193260 23 0 0 0 1 42 0 7 39 WUGSC SRR407429 41694818 6 0 0 1 1 23 4 27 13												
MPIMG ERR239334 105779573 577 0 1 0 0 10 0 8 362 SC ERR050082 42242519 89 0 1 7 0 20 0 6 2432 SC ERR050083 66388415 61 1 0 4 0 18 0 1422 1146 WUGSC SRR211275 43009432 50 0 0 0 93 2 4 59 WUGSC SRR211278 55193260 23 0 0 0 1 42 0 7 39 WUGSC SRR407429 41694818 6 0 0 1 1 23 4 27 13												
SC ERR050082 42242519 89 0 1 7 0 20 0 6 2432 SC ERR050083 66388415 61 1 0 4 0 18 0 1422 1146 WUGSC SRR211275 43009432 50 0 0 0 93 2 4 59 WUGSC SRR211278 55193260 23 0 0 0 1 42 0 7 39 WUGSC SRR407429 41694818 6 0 0 1 1 23 4 27 13												
SC ERR050083 66388415 61 1 0 4 0 18 0 1422 1146 WUGSC SRR211275 43009432 50 0 0 0 93 2 4 59 WUGSC SRR211278 55193260 23 0 0 0 1 42 0 7 39 WUGSC SRR407429 41694818 6 0 0 1 1 23 4 27 13												
WUGSC SRR211275 43009432 50 0 0 0 0 93 2 4 59 WUGSC SRR211278 55193260 23 0 0 0 1 42 0 7 39 WUGSC SRR407429 41694818 6 0 0 1 1 23 4 27 13				70000						0.00		
WUGSC SRR211278 55193260 23 0 0 0 1 42 0 7 39 WUGSC SRR407429 41694818 6 0 0 1 1 23 4 27 13			1721 / 6503 6107 6733 62 63 503								0.000	
WUGSC SRR407429 41694818 6 0 0 1 1 23 4 27 13					0000	100				25.00	1000	
		The second secon					V577			1000		

Figure 1. The contents of non-aligning reads from 57 human whole genome sequencing runs. Categories are defined in Methods. Sequencing center acronyms in this table are: Baylor College of Medicine (BCM), the Broad Institute (BI), Illumina (ILLUM), the Max Planck Institute for Molecular Genetics (MPIMG), the Sanger Center (SC), and Washington University Genome Sequencing Center (WUGSC). Runs are sorted alphabetically by center, then by SRA number which are assigned successively over time. Units are read pairs. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0097876.q001

advantages over unbiased high-throughput sequencing: 1) most human DNA is eliminated prior to sequencing, which reduces sequencing costs for equal assay sensitivity; 2) only a single region of the genome is amplified, so aligning to all known sequences is not required, reducing alignment time approximately one hundred thousand fold; 3) only a single region of the genome is amplified, allowing the abundance of each novel species/sequence to be quantified by counting identical reads, rather than by doing a custom quantitative PCR (qPCR) reaction for each novel sequence. However, this technique has one major drawback: some medically important species' DNA cannot be amplified by consensus PCR primers, so it only paints a partial picture of the microbiome. Despite these three disadvantages of unbiased highthroughput sequencing compared to the conventional method listed above, this new technique will likely enable a second major breakthrough in microbiome analysis, revealing medically important species whose DNA is not amplified by typically used consensus PCR primers, as is the case for Pneumocystis jirovecii, Encephalitozoon hellem and many other species.

Unbiased high-throughput sequencing can be done using either DNA or RNA. Library preparation for DNA is much simpler and faster than for RNA. For clinical applications or to discover novel microbes during an outbreak, this is a key consideration-especially with the introduction of real-time portable sequencers such as Oxford Nanopore's MinION, whose complete workflow is very short. RNA sequencing was used in the two first unbiased highthroughput sequencing studies which discovered novel viruses in human specimens [2,3]. It has two major advantages over DNA sequencing: 1) some types of viruses do not contain DNA (such as RNA viruses and retroviral virions), thus would be missed; 2) the protein product can easily be deduced from mRNA and alignedand since proteins are typically better conserved than nucleotide sequences, protein alignment may reveal more accurate taxonomic information. For example, protein homology to known viruses allowed Palacios et al. [3] to identify unknown mRNA sequences as likely originating from an arenavirus, whereas nucleotide homology gave ambiguous results (see Table 2 of their article); this approach rendered their experiment immune to bacterial contamination and works well for studies focusing on viruses.

It is unclear whether DNA or RNA sequencing will typically yield a higher microbial/human read ratio, and thus be more sensitive: this property is obviously microbial species dependent. Furthermore, the minimum microbial/human read ratio which results in adverse health outcomes (such as acute illness, chronic inflammation or increased cancer risk) is not known, and is very likely species dependent as well. Feng et al. found a viral/human RNA read ratio of 0.0005% and associated this novel infectious agent with Merkel cell carcinoma [2]; Palacios et al. found a viral/human RNA read ratio of 0.0135%, and this novel virus caused febrile illness resulting in the death of four patients [3]. It is not possible to establish a lower bound of microbial/human read ratio at which health outcomes would be unaffected based on currently available data.

In our prostate microbiome study and in the present study, we chose whole metagenome shotgun sequencing and Illumina's HiSeq platform as the simplest, cheapest (at equal sensitivity) and most reliable unbiased high-throughput sequencing protocol. We used the Leif Microbiome Analyzer in order to minimize costs;

without this tool, the bioinformatics cost for this study would have been about fifty times higher, putting it well beyond our means (see Table S2 and S3). Aside from the presence of contaminants described here, this approach performed remarkably well, detecting many unknown DNA sequences which are novel species candidates. However, the qPCR step required to accurately assess the abundance of these microbes in clinical specimens and link them to prostate cancer proved too costly and uncertain for our project. Before proceeding to the very expensive and labor intensive qPCR step, we chose to study publicly available next-generation sequencing runs in order to better understand the scope and impact of common contaminants such as *Bradyrhizobium* species.

Bradyrhizobium sp. DFCI-1 was first discovered in cord colitis syndrome specimens [5], suggesting that it may be medically important. Another novel Bradyrhizobium species has been reported in a blood culture from a patient with a poorly defined illness [18]. Prior to the cord colitis syndrome study, Bradyrhizobium species were not believed to infect humans. Bradyrhizobium species are known to be common contaminants in industrial ultrapure water systems, as a consequence of their predilection for nitrogen-flushed water [16]. Other microbes reported in ultrapure water systems and found here include genera Rhizobium/Agrobacterium, Sphingomonas, Burkholderia, Ralstonia, Pseudomonas, Stenotrophomonas and Flavobacterium. The presence of Bradyrhizobium sequences in several Genbank entries of eukaryotic species (Table 1), in sequencing runs from human specimens (Figure 1) and from Candida albicans specimens (Table S1) strongly suggests that it is a ubiquitous laboratory contaminant, although the exact source of contamination remains unclear: it could have been inserted during cell culture, DNA extraction, library preparation or sequencing.

Conclusion

Novel sequences originating from clinical specimens are of great interest, but they and novel laboratory contaminants both appear in the "Low homology" column in Figure 1. It is very difficult to distinguish microbes of interest from novel contaminants by looking at individual reads. The presence of contamination from organisms that have not been fully sequenced and included in NCBI BLAST databases is particularly problematic when considering projects that aim to identify novel microbes present at low prevalence in clinical specimens, as it is possible that this contamination would obscure detection of such microbes. This problem could be addressed either by eliminating the source of contamination in the laboratory or at the reagent supplier, or by fully sequencing the genomes of contaminants known to be present in these sequencing runs, allowing them to be eliminated during data analysis. A UVC dose of 8000 J/m², four times the dose specified by the US EPA for making surface water sources safe for drinking, will introduce a sufficient density of cyclobutane pyrimidine dimers to prevent ~99.99% of 100 nucleotide long DNA fragments from being PCR-amplified or sequenced. The numbers of contaminant reads encountered in Figure 1 and Table S1 suggests that this level of inactivation may be necessary.

We advocate a directed effort to sequence contaminant genomes, as this method is well suited to detecting *Bradyrhizobium* and other contaminants that may already be present in Genbank

Table 1. Genbank entries which appear to be contaminated.

Genbank sequence labeled as a eukaryote	Accession	Contig N50	Taxonomic label	Sequencing center	Sequencing platform
CCTCGACGTAGCGGATGACATCCTCAAGCTTGCG CTCGCGGAGGCGACGTTCGCCCAGATCCCAAAGCT CGATGTCCACCAGATAGGATGTCTGCGCGACGAAAT CCTCGACATCAGCGAAACCTTCTTCCCGCAAGCGTGG TCCGATCCGA	AGTT01257760.1 (737 to 938)	18,674	Pantholops hodgsoni	Beijing Genomics Institute	Illumina Genome Analyser
	APJD01000040.1 (17553 to 17753)	329,545	Bradyrhizobium sp. OHSU_III	Unknown	Illumina MiSeq
ATCGGGAAGCGTCCGGGCTGGTAGCCGGGATTGACAT AGGTGACGTCGCGATGCCGTCGCGCCATGTCGTA ATGATCGAAGGCCTTGCCGAGCTGCTGGGCCGGAAACA CCTTGCCGGTGATGGTGCCGCCGGAATCCTTGTTCACC GCAGCCACCCAGTCTTCCAGCGACTTCTGCAGCGGATGC GAGGCGGCACCC	AUYS01000696.1 (1109 to 1310)	Unknown	Melampsora pinitorqua	Canada's Michael Smith Genome Sciences Centre	Illumina HiSeq 2000
	NC_017082.1 (1001905 to 1002106)	Complete	Bradyrhizobium sp. S23321	Unknown	454 GS FLX Titanium
TTGATCCGGGCATTGTCCGCCGGGCGCAGCGGGCCCA GGCACTCCGCGGCCAGGATGAAGCGGGTGAGGCGCG CAGCGCGATCTGCCGGCCGCCGAAATCGAGCGTTTGCA GATTGCCCTCGCCGGCGAGCGCCTGCACCGAGAACTCCA GGATCGCGGCCACCATCGCGCTGAGCAGATCGGCGCGC TCGTCGGGGGTCG	AHJH01004981.1 (282 to 483)	84,429	Hammondia hammondi	J. Craig Venter Institute	454 GS FLX Titanium and Illumina
	AMFB01000038.1 (11773 to 11974)	141,525	Bradyrhizobium sp. DFCI-1	Unknown	Illumina HiSec 2000
TCGGTTACGAATCCGATCGGCCTGGTTGTCGCTCAAAC GGCCGTCGGTGTCCCCGTCTTCAGTGGTCTCGGCGTTCG ACTGGCGGCGTTCGTCATTCTCCTGTCGGTCGGTGTTCTT TTCGTGCTGCATAAGACGGCGCGTCTGACGCTT	AADB02296177.1 (1 to 150)	Unknown	Homo sapiens	Celera	PE Biosystems ABI Prism
	AMFB01000035.1 (33174 to 33323)	141,525	Bradyrhizobium sp. DFCI-1	Unknown	Illumina HiSeo 2000
CGAGCCGCTCGGCGGCAGCGCGGATATCGTCCTTGGAGA GTGCCATGCGTGCTACACAAAAATGGATGCCGGGAAATGA TTAACATGTTAAGTGATTTCCCGCAAACTGAATCAGCGTAT GTTGCGGTGCAAAAGGTTGCGGCGGCGGATTAGGTGATGG CGCGCAAGAAATTGTCGAACGAGACAGGCAGGAGCAGGG TGACGACACATCGTCGCGACGTGCCCCGATGCGCGAATTC TCACGTTCGCTGCCGATGTCGCTCCGTGCGCGCGAGG CGGTGATGCGCAGTTTCGTCCCTCGTTGCGCAATCACGG GCTGACCGAACAGCAAT	ADNL01003140.1 (1 to 337)	685	Astrammina rara	Unknown	454 GS FLX
	AMFB01000042.1 (23424 to 23760)	141,525	Bradyrhizobium sp. DFCI-1	Unknown	Illumina HiSeo 2000
AGACGCACTCGTTCGCGGAAGGATCGACCCAGCTCAAGAA CGGCCAGAGCTTCATCCTGGATTCCGACAAGACGCCGGGC GACAACAGCCGCGTCCAGCTTCCGCATCCGGAAATCCTCG CCGCGCTCCGCCCCGGCCACGCGCTGCTGCTCGACGACGG CAAGGTGCGGCTGATCGCCGAGGAGACCTCGCCCGAGCGC GCCGTGACGCGCTGCTGCCGACACCGATCTTCCCGTGTC CGCGATGACGCCGACCTGCCCGACACCGATCTTCCCGTGTC CTGCCGGAGGGAATCGACTGGGTGGCGTCTCCTCGTACA GCGGGCCGAGGACTCGACCTGAAGATGTCCG CTGCCGAGGGAATCGACTGGGTGCCGTACCACACCGACTCTCCCTCC	AKIR01004699.1 (1 to 482)	35,272	Toxoplasma gondii	J. Craig Venter Institute	454 GS FLX Titanium and Illumina
	AMFB01000031.1 (95879 to 96354)	141,525	Bradyrhizobium sp. DFCI-1	Unknown	Illumina HiSeo 2000

Table 1. Cont.

ADASO1038761.1 9,773 *Puccinia triticina* Broad Institute 454; ABI (1 to 464)

AMFB01000048.1 141,525 *Bradyr* (5318 to 5776) *DFCI-1*

Bradyrhizobium sp. Unknown

Illumina HiSeq 2000

Seven sequences which match specifically with both the *Bradyrhizobium* genus and a Genbank entry of a eukaryote. Two hundred thousand randomly selected *Bradyrhizobium* sequences were aligned against eukaryotes in the NCBI BLAST databases: this search is therefore indicative rather than exhaustive. *Bradyrhizobium* contamination inserted prior to *de novo* assembly of the eukaryote genome appears to have caused this double match, as it is not likely that different parts of the *Bradyrhizobium* genome would be conserved in select eukaryotes. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0097876.t001

entries. Moreover, until an effective strategy is found to eliminate contaminants from sequencing runs, novel sequences detected in such runs should be scrutinized by qPCR analysis or otherwise to ensure they originate from clinical specimens rather than from the laboratory. Comparing the number of novel organism cells per human cell calculated by using the read counts versus by qPCR or in situ hybridization could highlight discrepancies. Contamination notwithstanding, novel microbe identification based on unbiased high-throughput sequencing is very promising in the study of idiopathic disease, especially as sequencing technology continues to improve.

Supporting Information

Table S1 The contents of non-aligning reads from 142 Candida albicans whole genome sequencing runs.

Table S2 Runtime and cost of Leif Microbiome Analyzer for 57 human runs.

(DOC)

Table S3 Runtime and cost of Leif Microbiome Analyzer for 142 Candida albicans runs.
(DOC)

Text S1 Batch file commands to analyze *Bradyrhizo-bium* contaminants in NCBI BLAST databases using the Leif Microbiome Analyzer.

(DOC)

Text S2 Batch file commands to analyze contaminants in 57 publicly available 1000 Genomes Project runs using the Leif Microbiome Analyzer.
(DOC)

Text S3 Batch file commands to analyze contaminants in 142 publicly available *Candida albicans* runs using the Leif Microbiome Analyzer.

(DOC)

References

- 1. Lipkin WI (2010) Microbe hunting. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 74: 363-377.
- Feng H, Shuda M, Chang Y, Moore PS (2008) Clonal integration of a polyomavirus in human Merkel cell carcinoma. Science 319: 1096–1100.

Text S4 Example of a specific alignment to *Bradyrhizo-bium sp. DFCI-1* from an Illumina HiSeq 2000 run at the Baylor College of Medicine.

(DOC)

Text S5 Example of a specific alignment to *Bradyrhizo-bium sp. DFCI-1* from an Illumina HiSeq 2000 run at the Sanger Center.

(DOC)

Text S6 Example of a very specific alignment to *Bradyrhizobium sp. DFCI-1* from an Illumina HiSeq 2000 run at the Broad Institute.

(DOC)

Text S7 Example of a less specific alignment to Bradyrhizobium sp. DFCI-1 from an Illumina HiSeq 2000 run at the Max Planck Institute for Molecular Genetics.

(DOC)

Spreadsheet S1 Maximum taxonomic resolution of nonaligning reads from 57 human whole genome sequencing runs.

(XLS)

Spreadsheet S2 Maximum taxonomic resolution of nonaligning reads from 142 *Candida albicans* whole genome sequencing runs.

(XLS)

Acknowledgments

D.E.B. is supported by NIH Skin Cancer SPORE grant 5P50CA121974.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: ML DEB. Performed the experiments: ML. Analyzed the data: ML CH. Wrote the paper: ML CH DEB.

 Palacios G, Druce J, Du L, Tran T, Birch C, et al. (2008) A new arenavirus in a cluster of fatal transplant-associated diseases. N Engl J Med 358: 991–998.

- Kostic AD, Ojesina AI, Pedamallu CS, Jung J, Verhaak RG, et al. (2011) PathSeq: software to identify or discover microbes by deep sequencing of human tissue. Nat Biotechnol 29: 393–396.
- Bhatt AS, Freeman SS, Herrera AF, Pedamallu CS, Gevers D, et al. (2013) Sequence-based discovery of Bradyrhizobium enterica in cord colitis syndrome. N Engl J Med 369: 517–528.
- Duncan CG, Leary RJ, Lin JC, Cummins J, Di C, et al. (2009) Identification of microbial DNA in human cancer. BMC Med Genom 2: 22.
- Stott-Miller M, Wright JL, Stanford JL (2013) MSMB gene variant alters the association between prostate cancer and number of sexual partners. Prostate 73: 1803–1809.
- Sutcliffe S, De Marzo AM, Sfanos KS, Laurence M (2014) MSMB variation and prostate cancer risk: Clues towards a possible fungal etiology. Prostate 74: 569– 578.
- Naccache SN, Greninger AL, Lee D, Coffey LL, Phan T, et al. (2013) The Perils
 of Pathogen Discovery: Origin of a Novel Parvovirus-Like Hybrid Genome
 Traced to Nucleic Acid Extraction Spin Columns. J Virol 87: 11966–11977.
- Percudani R (2013) A Microbial Metagenome (Leucobacter sp.) in Caenorhabditis Whole Genome sequences. Bioinf Biol Insights 7: 55.
- Schmieder R, Edwards R (2011) Fast identification and removal of sequence contamination from genomic and metagenomic datasets. PloS One 6: e17288.

- Cibulskis K, McKenna A, Fennell T, Banks E, DePristo M, et al. (2011) ContEst: estimating cross-contamination of human samples in next-generation sequencing data. Bioinformatics 27: 2601–2602.
- Jun G, Flickinger M, Hetrick Kurt N, Romm Jane M, Doheny Kimberly F, et al. (2012) Detecting and Estimating Contamination of Human DNA Samples in Sequencing and Array-Based Genotype Data. Am J Hum Genet 91: 839–848.
- Abecasis GR, Altshuler D, Auton A, Brooks LD, Durbin RM, et al. (2010) A map of human genome variation from population-scale sequencing. Nature 467: 1061–1073.
- Morgulis A, Gertz EM, Schäffer AA, Agarwala R (2006) WindowMasker: window-based masker for sequenced genomes. Bioinformatics 22: 134–141.
- Kulakov LA, McAlister MB, Ogden KL, Larkin MJ, O'Hanlon JF (2002) Analysis of bacteria contaminating ultrapure water in industrial systems. Appl Environ Microbiol 68: 1548–1555.
- Findley K, Oh J, Yang J, Conlan S, Deming C, et al. (2013) Topographic diversity of fungal and bacterial communities in human skin. Nature 498: 367– 370.
- Lo S-C, Hung G-C, Li B, Lei H, Li T, et al. (2013) Isolation of Novel Afipia septicemium and Identification of Previously Unknown Bacteria Bradyrhizobium sp. OHSU_III from Blood of Patients with Poorly Defined Illnesses. PloS One 8: e76149.