Bio-Logic Builder: A Non-Technical Tool for Building Dynamical, Qualitative Models

Tomáš Helikar¹*, Bryan Kowal², Alex Madrahimov^{1,3}, Manish Shrestha¹, Jay Pedersen², Kahani Limbu², Ishwor Thapa², Thaine Rowley^{1,3}, Rahul Satalkar², Naomi Kochi³, John Konvalina¹, Jim A. Rogers^{1,4}

1 Department of Mathematics, University of Nebraska at Omaha, Omaha, Nebraska, United States of America, 2 College of Information Science and Technology, University of Nebraska at Omaha, Omaha, Nebraska, United States of America, 3 Department of Biology, University of Nebraska at Omaha, Omaha, Nebraska, United States of America, 4 Department of Genetics, Cell Biology and Anatomy, University of Nebraska Medical Center, Omaha, Nebraska, United States of America

Abstract

Computational modeling of biological processes is a promising tool in biomedical research. While a large part of its potential lies in the ability to integrate it with laboratory research, modeling currently generally requires a high degree of training in mathematics and/or computer science. To help address this issue, we have developed a web-based tool, Bio-Logic Builder, that enables laboratory scientists to define mathematical representations (based on a discrete formalism) of biological regulatory mechanisms in a modular and non-technical fashion. As part of the user interface, generalized "bio-logic" modules have been defined to provide users with the building blocks for many biological processes. To build/modify computational models, experimentalists provide purely qualitative information about a particular regulatory mechanisms as is generally found in the laboratory. The Bio-Logic Builder subsequently converts the provided information into a mathematical representation described with Boolean expressions/rules. We used this tool to build a number of dynamical models, including a 130-protein large-scale model of signal transduction with over 800 interactions, influenza A replication cycle with 127 species and 200+ interactions, and mammalian and budding yeast cell cycles. We also show that any and all qualitative regulatory mechanisms can be built using this tool.

Citation: Helikar T, Kowal B, Madrahimov A, Shrestha M, Pedersen J, et al. (2012) Bio-Logic Builder: A Non-Technical Tool for Building Dynamical, Qualitative Models. PLoS ONE 7(10): e46417. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0046417

Editor: Alberto de la Fuente, CRS4, Italy

Received July 3, 2012; Accepted August 29, 2012; Published October 17, 2012

Copyright: © 2012 Helikar et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This project was supported and funded by the University of Nebraska at Omaha, the University of Nebraska Foundation, Patrick J. Kerrigan and Donald F. Dillon Foundations, National Institutes of Health grant #5R01DA030962, and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration Nebraska Space Grant Consortium. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: thelikar@unomaha.edu

Introduction

With the goal of understanding the complexities of various biological processes, computational modeling is an important part of Systems Biology. However, despite the excitement around computational systems biology and its potential, it has been difficult to fully utilize modeling as part of laboratory research. This is largely due to a significant gap between the computational and experimental sides of the science [1]. Specifically, many computational models (as well as software to simulate and analyze these models) involve complex mathematics, and hence are limited in their utility to those with extensive training in computational methods (modelers). In order to couple computational models more closely with experimental studies, software tools to build and simulate models in a non-mathematical fashion will be required to bridge this gap. [2-6]. While some tools (e.g., GINSim [7] or Genetic Network Analyzer [8]) allow users to easily "draw" logical models, for systems with more complex interactions, users are required to manually define the models' underlying mathematics.

In this paper, we present a new tool, Bio-Logic Builder, which allows those without technical knowledge in modeling to build and modify complex computational, qualitative models without the need to write or edit any mathematical equations. Becuase models created in Bio-Logic Builder utilize a commonly used logical (Boolean) mathematical framework (e.g., [9–12]), no kinetic parameters (which are generally unavailable or difficult to obtain) are necessary to specify individual biological/biochemical interactions. Specifically, interactions defined using the Bio-Logic builder are described by Boolean expressions that users build by using qualitative descriptives (or "bio-logic" components) generally used by laboratory scientists to explain the interaction from experimental studies (e.g., activators, inhibitors, co-factors, etc.).

The presented Bio-Logic Builder was successfully tested on one of the largest computational models of signal transduction [13] as well a model of ErbB-regulated cell cycle created by another group [14]. Furthermore, we used this tool to construct a budding yeast cell cycle [15,16], and the largest dynamical model of a regulatory network governing influenza A infection and the virus' replication cycle as part of our most recent research. We found that Bio-Logic Builder was able to handle the regulatory mechanism of all biological species in the models, regardless of the complexity of the mechanism. In the results section, a discussion of the algorithm in more detail, as well as its application to a biological example is provided. Specifically, we will demonstrate how Bio-Logic Builder is used to build a very intricate regulatory mechanism of the Rac protein, which involves 14 upstream regulators. Bio-Logic Builder is part of a web-based modeling suite, The Cell Collective (http:// www.thecellcollective.org; [17]) which enables models created

using this tool to be also simulated and/or downloaded and used by other modeling tools.

Results

Case study: The regulatory mechanism of Rac

Biological interactions defined using the Bio-Logic builder are described by Boolean expressions that users build by using qualitative descriptives (or "bio-logic" components) generally used by laboratory scientists to explain the interaction from experimental studies. Leveraging the qualitative nature in which many biochemical interactions are discovered, Bio-Logic Builder provides users with building blocks of two types. First, users can define modules corresponding to positive and/or negative regulators that are involved in a given biological interaction (e.g., kinase X phosphorylates and activates protein Y, as is the case in studies of biochemical signal transduction). Because only few biological interactions can be represented as simple positive and/or negative regulators, users can specify a second type of bio-logic modules. These modules - "conditions" and "subconditions" - allow users to describe regulatory mechanism in which the effects of one or more positive and/or negative regulators depend on an additional regulators step (e.g., localization, priming, co-factors etc.), and hence the activation state or presence (or absence) of an additional regulator (or group of regulators). As a result, the users can define complex positive and negative regulatory modules much in the same way biological data and knowledge are discovered in the laboratory. To demonstrate how Bio-Logic Builder is used to build biological regulatory mechanisms, in this section is presented a case study which centers around the construction of a relatively complex regulatory system of the signaling protein Rac. Note that a simpler example of how the tool can be used can be viewed in a tutorial video at http://www.thecellcollective.org.

Rac is an important player in the regulation of many cellular processes such as cell migration, cytoskeletal reorganization, DNA synthesis, etc. Rac belongs to the Rho family of small guanosine triphosphatases (GTPases), a subgroup of the Ras superfamily. Rac becomes activated when bound to GTP, a process mediated by guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs). The hydrolysis of GTP to GDP results in the inactive state of Rac. This conversion occurs via Rac's intrinsic GTPase activity and is further accelerated by GTPase-activating proteins (GAPs). However, in addition to GAPs and GEFs, Rac's activity also depends on its proper localization as well as the activity state of components of other signaling pathways. A summary of the intricacies involved in the (de-)activation mechanism of Rac as reported in the biochemical literature so far follows. (Note that the following regulatory mechanism of Rac reflects the optimized mechanism published as part of a validated large-scale model of signal transduction in a generic fibroblast cell [13].)

In the aforementioned fibroblast model, Rac is defined as ON when it is GTP-bound and localized in the plasma membrane. (See Figure 1 for a graphical summary of the mechanism and the involved species.) RalBP1 [18,19] and p190RhoGAP [20,21] are GAPs, and hence negative regulators of Rac when GTP-bound (i.e., active). RhoGDI is also a negative regulator of Rac [20,22–24] because it sequesters GDP/GTP bound Rac. PAK is be able to break up Rac-RhoGDI complex and stop the negative regulation of Rac by RhoGDI [25]. While Akt appears to also be a negative regulator of Rac [26], based on the context of the overall network, it was made not dominant over any of the following positive regulators (and hence does not effect the activity state of Rac). The activation of Rac is mediated by RasGRF [27,28], Tiam [24,28,29], Pix/Cool [30,31], or DOCK180 [32–

34]. The effects of these activators is dependent on cell attachment which is represented in the model by the activity of ECM and integrins. However, despite the fact that many of the details haven't been fully discovered, the activation mechanism of Rac by Pix/Cool appears to be relatively complex. In addition to the requirement of cell attachment, there are three different scenarios under which Pix/Cool modulates the activity of Rac. First, when the G protein subunits β and γ (represented by a single species $G\beta\gamma$ [35] AND PAK are 'ON ' Pix/Cool only activates Rac if both Cdc42 AND Rac are 'Off'. Second, when $G\beta\gamma$ is inactive, Pix/Cool activates Rac only if Rac was previously inactive. In addition, this step also requires the activity of Cdc42. Finally, when PAK is inactive, Pix/Cool activates Rac only when Cdc42 is active, Rac was previously inactive, and RhoGDI, as well all other positive regulators are also inactive [35-38]. (Note that due to missing information and/or inconsistencies in biological data, some of the logic of the mechanism might have been adjusted in the context of the whole model.)

As one can see, the regulatory mechanism of Rac is intricate and involves a large number of upstream regulators. Specifically, the activation states of 13 upstream regulators, in addition to the activation state of Rac in the previous time point have to be considered, resulting in 14 regulating inputs of Rac. Thus the truth table representation of the function would require the scientist to manually fill out 2^{14} (or 16,384) lines of the species' corresponding table. The complexity of the regulatory mechanism is also matched by the underlying Boolean expression representing the function:

 $(RasGRF \land \neg (RhoGDI \land \neg PAK) \land \neg (p190RhoGAP \land Rac) \land \\ \neg (RalBP1 \land Rac) \land ECM \land Integrins) \lor (Tiam \land \neg (RhoGDI \land \\ \neg PAK) \land \neg (p190RhoGAP \land Rac) \land \neg (RalBP1 \land Rac) \land \\ (ECM \land Integrins)) \lor (Pix_{C}ool \land \neg (RhoGDI \land \neg PAK) \\ \land ((PAK \land G\beta\gamma \land ((\neg Cdc42 \land \neg Rac) \land (Integrins \land ECM)))) \\ \lor (\neg G\beta\gamma \land (Cdc42 \land (Integrins \land ECM) \land \neg Rac)) \lor (\neg PAK \land \\ (\neg RhoGDI \land (\neg DOCK180 \land \neg (RhoGDI \land \neg PAK) \land \neg \\ (p190RhoGAP \land Rac) \land \neg (RalBP1 \land Rac) \land \neg RasGRF \land \\ \neg (RhoGDI \land \neg PAK) \land \neg (p190RhoGAP \land Rac) \land \neg \\ (RalBP1 \land Rac) \land \neg Tiam \land \neg (RhoGDI \land \neg PAK) \land \neg \\ (p190RhoGAP \land Rac) \land \neg (RalBP1 \land Rac)) \land \\ (Integrins \land ECM) \land Cdc42 \land \neg Rac)))) \lor (DOCK180 \land \\ \neg (RhoGDI \land \neg PAK) \land \neg (p190RhoGAP \land Rac) \land \\ \neg (RalBP1 \land Rac) \land (p190RhoGAP \land Rac) \land \\ \neg (RalBP1 \land Rac) \land (p190RhoGAP \land Rac) \land \\ \neg (RalBP1 \land Rac) \land (p190RhoGAP \land Rac) \land \\ \neg (RalBP1 \land Rac) \land (P190RhoGAP \land Rac) \land \\ \neg (RalBP1 \land Rac) \land (ECM \land Integrins))$

Manual creation of the logical expression for a regulatory mechanism of this size and complexity would be difficult and error prone. Using Bio-Logic Builder, users can capture the complex activation mechanism of Rac in non-mathematical fashion by using the published qualitative information (as described above) and building the regulatory mechanism in a modular fashion as detailed below.

The Bio-Logic Builder tool is part of The Cell Collective modeling suite [17], which can be freely accessed via a web browser by visiting http://www.thecellcollective.org. From the Models page, users can either create a new model, or access any of the existing (e.g., Published) models. New species can be added or



Figure 1. Graphical representation of Rac regulatory mechanism. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0046417.g001

existing species modified under the Model Bio-Logic page. The Rac species can be found under the Model Bio-Logic of the "Fibroblast" model (under Published models). Clicking the green "gear wheel" icon next to Rac in the species table takes the user to the Bio-Logic Builder tool where the regulatory mechanism of the (Rac) species can be defined/modified. The first screen allows the user to start building/modifying the regulatory mechanism of Rac by specifying either the positive or negative regulation modules. In this study case, we will start with the negative regulation modules in the Negative Regulation Center. (Note that the order in which the user starts does not result in a different output. Also note that the species in the Published model are read-only for curation purposes; to be able to modify the regulatory mechanisms of the model's species, a "private" copy of the model can be made from the Models page by clicking the "Copy to My Models" icon.)

Negative regulation center. As the name suggests, Negative Regulation Center is where users designate upstream regulation modules that have a negative (i.e., inhibitory) effect on the species of interest (Rac in this example). From the regulatory mechanism described above, the negative regulators of Rac include Akt, RalBP1, p190RhoGAP, and RhoGDI. As shown in Figure 2A, the left panel of the page displays the "Species Palette" which is responsible for the management of all upstream regulators of Rac. The Species Palette is available to the user throughout the entire building process so that new species can be added/edited as needed. By default, the species for which the regulatory mechanism is being built (i.e., Rac) is automatically added to the palette. Before the user can designate species as negative regulators, they first need to be added to the species palette. Specifying a species as a negative regulator is as simple as dragand-dropping a species from the palette into the box in the main window (Figure 2).

Once Akt, RalBP1, p190RhoGAP, and RhoGDI are designated as negative regulation modules, conditions can be specified. As discussed in the previous sections, conditions allow biologists to specify regulatory scenarios under which a particular upstream regulator is dependent on the activity state of another species (e.g., a co-factor). In our Rac example, RalBP1 and p190RhoGAP are responsible for removing GTP from a GTP-bound (i.e., active) Rac and replacing it with GDP, hence inactivating Rac. Therefore, the effects of these negative regulators are dependent on the activation state of Rac itself which can be represented as a condition for the two upstream regulators. Based on the context of the whole network in [13], the effect of Akt as a negative regulator is also dependent on the previous activity level of Rac. In the case of Rho-GDI, PAK can break up the Rac-RhoGDI complex, hence a condition also needs to be specified for the RhoGDI module (Figure 2B). Conditions for each of the negative regulation modules are defined under their respective "Centers" as discussed below.

The conditions page is accessed from the Negative (Positive) Regulation Center page by clicking on the Center of the negative (positive) regulation module for which conditions need to be added/modified. For example, the conditions page for RalBP1 can be accessed under the "RalBP1 Center". Users can define conditions as IF/WHEN and UNLESS statements to define scenarios when the effects of the regulator for which a condition is being specified depend on the activity state of another biological species. As mentioned above, the condition associated with Akt, RalBP1, and p190RhoGAP is that these species are negative regulators IF/WHEN Rac is ON. In addition, for users' convenience, each condition can be annotated to reflect its biological meaning and context. In this case, the condition was named "Rac activity", but any annotation can be used. In the case of RhoGDI, its effect on the activity of Rac depends on the presence/absence of PAK; specifically, RhoGDI acts as a negative regulator UNLESS PAK is ON. Note that any number of conditions (and subconditions) can be associated with any regulator, allowing for the definition of the most complex regulatory mechanisms. (An example of multi-condition scenario is presented in the next section.) See Figure 2C for the final Negative Regulation Center page which summarizes the complete negative regulation modules of Rac. The "Done with Negative

Species Palet	te Action	n						
Rac		To odd a Nanathu	. Demulation 1	adula d	and shall shall be a	nania e	and the	
ponenti		Species Palette In	e Regulation N to this box.	oaule, al	rag and drop a s	species tro	om the	
Enter Species	Add							
		Dono with N	anativo Regula	ion Cont				
		Done with Ne	cyative Regula	uon cent	er			
Restart this Inte	srview S	Start New Interview						
v1.0						Copyri	ght 2011 Mathbio Rese	earch (
RalBP1 Center								
rubi i ociitoi								
Species Palette	Action	RalBP1 is Negative Regulation m	odule of Rac					
Rac	i	Condition: (IF / WHEN Rac ON	V)					
Akt	1 2	Condition: Pas activity						
RalBP1	1 1	Condition: Rac activity	(IF / WHEN Rac IS)				
p190RhoGAP	18	Condition Name Rac activity						
PhoCOL	1.0	Specify the condition: (IF / WHI	EN Rac IS ON)					
KINOODI	2.4) IF / ()	Re	nulator Module	Action	is 📀 ON	OF
Enter Species	Add	W	HEN UNLESS	Rea	guiator module	- ACLIVIT		
				Rac	Rac 2			
					To add a Regulator to this Condition			
	1			drag and	drop a species fron	the Specie	15	
	1			Palette In	to this box.			
		Save this Condition Dis	card this Conditi	on Sub C	Condition(s)			
	i			_				
	i							
de Previous	Discard All C	ondition(s) & Go Back						
	bracultur pin c	condition(s) a do back						
No.		dula Cantan fam. Das						
Negative Regu	ation wo	dule Center for: Rac						
				- Nonethin D	andellas Maddas of	Pag		
Species Palette	Action	AKT OK RAIBPT OK PTSUKROG	AP OK KNOGDI a	e Negative R	regulation modules of	Rac		
	2 8	To add a Negative Regulat	ion Module dra	and dron	a species from th	ne Species	Palette	
RasGRF		into this box.	ion moune, are	and arop	a species nom u	ie opeeres	r arotto	
RasGRF	2 X						_	
RasGRF Tiam Pix Cool	2 x 2 x				S		Tanana sa kata	
RasGRF Tiam Pix_Cool DOCK180	2 X 2 X 7 X			0.0	n100PhoCAP		RhoGDI	
RasGRF Tiam Pix_Cool DOCK180	2 x 2 x 2 x	Akt 🔀	RalBP1	*	provinoske		Conditions (Independe	ent):
RasGRF Tiam Pix_Cool DOCK180 ECM	2 x 2 x 2 x 2 x	Akt 🗱 Conditions :	RalBP1 Conditions :	*	Conditions :			
RasGRF Tiam Pix_Cool DOCK180 ECM Integrins	2 × 2 × 2 × 2 × 2 ×	Akt 🗱 Conditions : Rac activity	RalBP1 Conditions : Rac activity	*	Conditions : Rac activity		PAK activity	
RasGRF Tiam Ptx_Cool DOCK180 ECM Integrins Gbg	2 × 2 × 2 × 2 × 2 × 2 ×	Akt 🗱 Conditions : Rac activity (IF / WHEN Rac is ON)	RalBP1 Conditions : Rac activity (IF / WHEN Re	c is ON)	Conditions : Rac activity (IF / WHEN Rac)	is ON)	PAK activity (UNLESS PAK	is ON
RasGRF Tiam Ptr_Cool DOCK180 ECM Integrins Gbg PAK	Z X Z X Z X Z X Z X Z X Z X Z X Z X Z X	Akt 🗱 Conditions : Rac activity (IF / WHEN Rac is ON)	RalBP1 Conditions : Rac activity (IF / WHEN Ra	🗱 c is ON)	Conditions : Rac activity (IF / WHEN Rac)	is ON)	PAK activity (UNLESS PAK)	is ON
RasGRF Tiam Ptr_Cool DOCK180 ECM Integrins Gbg PAK Cdd2	2 X 2 X 2 X 2 X 2 X 2 X 2 X 2 X 2 X 2 X	Akt Conditions : Rac activity (IF / WHEN Rac is ON) Akt Center	RalBP1 Conditions : Rac activity (IF / WHEN Rate RalBP1 C	c is ON) enter	Conditions : Rac activity (IF / WHEN Rac)	s on) Center	PAK activity (UNLESS PAK)	is ON
RasGRF Tiam Pix_Cool DOCK180 ECM Integrins Gbg PAK Cdc42 Akt	2 x 2 x 2 x 2 x 2 x 2 x 2 x 2 x 2 x 2 x	Akt 🗱 Conditions : Rac activity (IF / WHEN Rac is ON) Akt Center	RalBP1 Conditions : Rac activity (IF / WHEN Re RalBP1 C	C is ON)	Conditions : Rac activity (IF / WHEN Rac I	is ON) Center	PAK activity (UNLESS PAK) RhoGDI Ce	is ON
RasGRF Tam Pix_Cool DOCK180 ECM Integrins Gbg PAK Cdc42 Cdc42 Akt RaiBP1	2 × 2 × 2 × 2 × 2 × 2 × 2 × 2 × 2 × 2 ×	Akt 🗱 Conditions : Rac activity (IF / WHEN Rac is ON) Akt Center	RalBP1 Conditions : Rac activity (IF / WHEN Rd RalBP1 C	x c is ON)	Conditions : Rac activity (IF / WHEN Rac I	is ON) Center	PAK activity (UNLESS PAK) RhoGDI Ce	is ON
RasGRF Tam Pix_Cool DOCK180 ECM Integrins Gbg PAK Cdc42 Akt RalBP1 p190RhoGAP	 2 × 2 ×	Akt 🗱 Conditions : Rac activity (IF / WHEN Rac is ON) Akt Center	RalBP1 Conditions : Rac activity (IF / WHEN Ra RalBP1 C gulation Center	x c is ON)	Conditions : Rac activity (IF / WHEN Rac I p190RhoGAP	is ON) Center	PAK activity (UNLESS PAK)	is ON

Figure 2. Negative regulation of Rac. A) Main page of the Negative Regulation Center. B) RalBP1 condition page. In order to define the condition RalBP1 is a negative regulator of Rac only when Rac is on, the user first selects the IF/THEN clause. In order to specify Rac as the conditioned species, the user can drag it from the Species Palette into the indicated gray box in the main part of the screen. Finally, the conditioned state of Rac ("is ON") needs to be selected. In addition, as indicated by the green buttons, the user can subsequently i) save the condition and either return to the Negative Regulation Center page or add another condition for RalBP1, ii) discard this condition, or iii) add one or more sub-conditions that will be attached to the specified conditions and returns the user to the Negative Regulation Center. C) Negative Regulation Center of Rac with all modules fully defined. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0046417.g002

Regulation Center" returns the user to the Center home page where the Positive Regulation Center can be selected.

Positive regulation center. Positive regulation modules of the species of interest (e.g., Rac) are specified in the Positive

Regulation Center. As discussed at the beginning of the Case Study section, the activating species of Rac include RasGRF, Tiam, Pix/Cool, and DOCK180. Once these species have been added to the Species Palette, they can be defined as positive regulation modules in a similar fashion as was done with the negative regulation modules, and was demonstrated in the Negative Regulation Center section. As the regulatory mechanism suggests, all positive regulators are dependent on cell attachment, and hence the activity of ECM and Integrins. Therefore the positive regulation modules RasGRF, Tiam, and DOCK180 the condition (named Cell attachment) "IF/WHEN ECM AND Integrins are ON". However, the conditions associated with the positive module Pix/Cool are more complicated. As discussed at the beginning of this case study, there are three nontrivial scenarios describing the role of Pix/Cool in the regulation of Rac activity. To capture this complex regulatory mechanism, both condition and subcondition bio-logic gates are necessary. Subconditions can be specified after clicking the "Subconditions" button on the condition page of the regulation module center.

The three scenarios differ based on the presence and absence of $G\beta\gamma$ and PAK. First, when $G\beta\gamma$ AND PAK are 'ON' Pix/Cool only activates Rac if both Cdc42 AND Rac are 'Off'.In Bio-Logic Builder, the first scenario is represented as a condition "IF/ WHEN PAK AND $G\beta\gamma$ are ON", followed by subcondition defining the requirement for the absence of Cdc42 and Rac as: "IF/WHEN Cdc42 AND Rac are OFF". Second, when $G\beta\gamma$ is inactive/absent, Pix/Cool activates Rac only if Rac was previously inactive, and in the presence of Cdc42. This scenario can be represented as a condition "IF/WHEN $G\beta\gamma$ is OFF" which has a subcondition defined as "IF/WHEN Cdc42 is ON". The third scenario - where contains Pix/Cool activates Rac when PAK is inactive only if Cdc42 is ON, Rac was previously inactive, and RhoGDI and all other positive regulators are OFF - is also defined as a combination of a condition with subconditions. As done in a similar fashion above, to indicate the dependence of Pix/Cool on the absence of PAK, a condition of "IF/WHEN PAK is OFF" is defined. To add the dependence on Cdc42, RhoGDI, DOCK180, RasGRF, Tiam and Rac's previous activation state, the following subconditions are defined in a co-operative manner: "IF/WHEN Cdc42 is ON" (for the dependence on Cdc42 activity), "IF/ WHEN RhoGDI is OFF" (for the dependence on the absence of RhoGDI), "IF/WHEN DOCK180 AND RasGRF AND Tiam are OFF", and "IF/WHEN Rac is OFF" (for the dependence on Rac's previous activation state). In addition, because the activation of Rac by Pix/Cool is also dependent on cell attachment (similar to the other positive regulators), all three conditions have the Cell attachment subcondition (specified above) associated with them. Screen shots in Figure 3 and Figure 4 show the Pix/Cool condition page and the summary page of all positive regulation modules, respectively.

Once all negative and positive regulation modules are defined, the user is led to the next screen, the Dominance Page. On this page, users can define the "strength" of each negative module in terms of how dominant it is over the individual positive regulation modules. A negative module dominant over all positive regulators (pre-selected by default) has the largest (negative) effect on the state of the species of interest, whereas a negative module dominant over none of the positive modules will have no effect on the activity of the species.

Once the strength of the negative regulation modules is selected, the user needs to specify the final component of the regulatory mechanism building process – the state (active/inactive) of the species in the case where none of the positive nor negative modules are active or present in the cell (model). Upon the last page and component of the Bio-Logic Builder tool, the user can navigate to the Summary Page (Figure 5). This page displays all regulatory modules involved in the regulatory mechanism of Rac. The program builds the mathematical function based on the regulatory modules specified by the user, and constructs the appropriate truth table in the background. The generated truth table can then be plugged into a larger model and simulated/analyzed by one of the software tools mentioned in the Introduction section. Specifically, ChemChains, as described in [39], directly supports logical models represented as truth tables and can be used to easily simulate models created with Bio-Logic Builder. The truth table and logical expression for individual species can be downloaded from the model species page, or a set of all Boolean expressions and truth tables, as well as the SBML file for the entire model can be downloaded from the main Models page in The Cell Collective.

Defining the "head regulator" of a positive/negative regulation module. In Bio-Logic Builder, the head regulators represent the main positive/negative regulation modules, within which conditions and subconditions are subsequently added. In the Rac case study presented in this section, the head regulators of the negative regulation modules included Akt, RalBP1, p190Rho-GAP, and RhoGDI, whereas the head regulators of the positive regulation modules constituted RasGRF, Tiam, Pix/Cool, and DOCK180 (Figure 1). All of these head regulators had one or more conditions (and subconditions in the case of Pix/Cool for example), and hence forming the corresponding regulation modules. However, what if it is not clear as to which species should be considered the head regulator and which species should be the condition building block of the regulation module? How does one decide which way it be depicted? Does it matter (in terms of the mathematical representation) which way the module is represented?

While for many biological interactions it is clear (based on the available published data) which of the species is considered the "head regulator", there are many instances in which regulatory mechanisms can be ambiguous and hence become confusing to the user of Bio-Logic Builder. These few regulatory mechanisms can even be relatively simple in terms of the number of species involved in the interaction. For example, consider a hypothetical biochemical signaling protein X with two phosphorylation sites in its regulatory region. Let's assume that, in order to be fully activated, both of the phosphorylation sites of X need to be phosphorylated, one by kinase Y and the other one by kinase Z. From this described situation, one could consider both kinases as "equal" rather than as a "head regulator"/"condition" relationship. However, based on the Rac case study and the previous discussions of the Bio-Logic Builder algorithm, one of the kinases (Y or Z) has to be considered the head regulator, whereas the other one is represented as a condition (IF/WHEN ON) as part of the regulation module. Which way this scenario should be defined, however, is not clear in this example. Nonetheless, it is important to note that when a number of regulation species appear to be conceptually equal, Bio-Logic Builder requires one of these species be selected as the head regulator whereas the others be considered as a (sub)condition(s). Fortunately, because of the mathematical relationship between the head regulators and the conditions, the mathematical representation of the interaction will be the same in both cases (as detailed in Supporting Information S1). If such a scenario arises, the user will need to use their discretion and decide how to represent the regulatory mechanism.

Algorithm verification

The scalability, uniqueness, predictability, as well as the correctness of the algorithm underlying Bio-Logic Builder were tested. The algorithm scalability was addressed by showing (mathematically) that any Boolean expression for an *n*-input node can be created using Bio-Logic Builder (see Supporting Information S1). For

ect the relationship between Conditions: () Indepe	endent(OR)	Co-operative(AND)			
Condition: Gbg and PAK presence (IF / WH	HEN PAK A	ND Gbg are ON)			
ondition Name Gbg and PAK presence ∠					
pecify the condition: (IF / WHEN PAK AND Gbg are	ON)				
IF/ O	FSS	Regulator Module	Action	are 🖲 ON 🔿 🤅	ON OFF
		PAK	×		
		Gbg	×		
		To add a Regulator to this Condition, drag	g and drop a species from		
		the Species Palette into this box.			
		Relationship between regulators			
		Independent(OR) O Co-operative(AND)			
	Sub C	andition(c)			
ave this Condition Discard this Condition	Jun C	onunuon(s)			
Condition: Gbg_absence(IF/WHEN Gbg is	s OFF)	onoraon(s)			
Condition: Gbg absence (IF / WHEN Gbg is	s OFF)	onendon(o)			
Condition: Gbg absence (IF/WHEN Gbg is ondition Name Gbg absence Z pecify the condition: (IF/WHEN Gbg is OFF)	s OFF)	onendon(e)			
Condition: Gbg absence (IF/WHEN Gbg is pecify the condition: (IF/WHEN Gbg is OFF)	s OFF)	onendon(o)		ie	
Condition: Gbg absence (IF / WHEN Gbg is ondition Name Gbg absence pecify the condition: (IF / WHEN Gbg is OFF) () IF / WHEN Gbg is OFF) () WHEN UNL	s OFF)	Regulator Module	Action	is	ON OFF
Condition: Gbg absence (IF / WHEN Gbg is ondition Name Gbg absence becify the condition: (IF / WHEN Gbg is OFF)	s OFF)	Regulator Module Gbg	Action	is	O ON OFF
Condition: Gbg absence (IF / WHEN Gbg is andition Name Gbg absence / pecify the condition: (IF / WHEN Gbg is OFF) () IF / WHEN Gbg is OFF) () IF / () WHEN UNL	s OFF)	Regulator Module Gbg To add a Regulator to this Condition,	Action	is	ON OFF
Condition: Gbg absence (IF / WHEN Gbg is indition Name Gbg absence becify the condition: (IF / WHEN Gbg is OFF) () (IF / WHEN Gbg is OFF)	s OFF)	Regulator Module Gbg To add a Regulator to this Condition, from the Species Palette into this box.	Action drag and drop a species	is	O ON 📀 OFF
Condition: Gbg absence (IF / WHEN Gbg is andition Name Gbg absence becify the condition: (IF / WHEN Gbg is OFF) @ IF / WHEN UNL	s OFF)	Regulator Module Gbg To add a Regulator to this Condition, from the Species Palette Into this box.	Action X drag and drop a species	is	O ON 🖲 OFF
Condition: Gbg absence (IF / WHEN Gbg is ondition Name Gbg absence becify the condition: (IF / WHEN Gbg is OFF)	s OFF) LESS	Regulator Module Gbg To add a Regulator to this Condition, from the Species Palette Into this box.	Action X drag and drop a species	is	ON OFF
Condition: Gbg absence (IF / WHEN Gbg is ondition Name Gbg absence becify the condition: (IF / WHEN Gbg is OFF)	s OFF) LESS	Regulator Module Gbg To add a Regulator to this Condition, from the Species Palette into this box.	Action X drag and drop a species	is	ON 🖲 OFF
Condition: Gbg absence (IF / WHEN Gbg is ondition Name Gbg absence / pecify the condition: (IF / WHEN Gbg is OFF) @ IF / O WHEN UNL	s OFF) LESS	Regulator Module Gbg To add a Regulator to this Condition, from the Species Palette Into this box.	Action Action drag and drop a species	is	ON 🖲 OFF
Condition: Gbg absence (IF / WHEN Gbg is pecify the condition: (IF / WHEN Gbg is OFF) @ IF / O WHEN UNL Save this Condition Discard this Condition Condition: PAK absence (IF / WHEN PAK is openite in a condition: VE / WHEN PAK is OFF)	s OFF)	Regulator Module Gbg To add a Regulator to this Condition, from the Species Palette Into this box.	Action X drag and drop a species	is	ON OFF
Condition: Gbg absence (IF / WHEN Gbg is pecify the condition: (IF / WHEN Gbg is OFF) @ IF / O WHEN UNL Save this Condition Discard this Condition Condition: PAK absence (IF / WHEN PAK is off) weight the condition: (IF / WHEN PAK is OFF)	n Sub C	Regulator Module Gbg To add a Regulator to this Condition, from the Species Palette into this box.	Action X drag and drop a species	is	ON S OFF
Condition: Gbg absence (IF / WHEN Gbg is andition Name Gbg absence / becify the condition: (IF / WHEN Gbg is OFF)	n Sub (SOFF)	Regulator Module Gbg To add a Regulator to this Condition, from the Species Palette into this box.	Action Action Action Action	is	 ○ ON
Condition: Gbg absence (IF / WHEN Gbg is andition Name Gbg absence / pecify the condition: (IF / WHEN Gbg is OFF) @ IF / O WHEN UNL Save this Condition Discard this Condition Condition: PAK absence (IF / WHEN PAK is OFF) andition Name PAK absence / becify the condition: (IF / WHEN PAK is OFF) @ IF / O WHEN UNL	n Sub (s OFF) LESS OFF)	Regulator Module Gbg To add a Regulator to this Condition, from the Species Palette Into this box.	Action drag and drop a species Action Action X	is	ON OFF
Condition: Gbg absence (IF / WHEN Gbg is ondition Name Gbg absence pecify the condition: (IF / WHEN Gbg is OFF)	n Sub (off)	Regulator Module Gbg To add a Regulator to this Condition, from the Species Palette Into this box.	Action Action Action Action Action Action	is	○ ON
Condition: Gbg absence (IF / WHEN Gbg is ondition Name Gbg absence pecify the condition: (IF / WHEN Gbg is OFF)	n Sub (s OFF) LESS OFF)	Regulator Module Gbg To add a Regulator to this Condition, from the Species Palette into this box.	Action	is	ON OFF

Figure 3. Pix/Cool conditions. As discussed in the main text, the regulation of Rac by Pix/Cool is associated with three different scenarios described by three conditions (and subconditions which are not displayed). When multiple conditioned species are added as part of a condition (as is the case with the first condition of the Pix/Cool module, where the activation states of both PAK and $G\beta\gamma$ determine the effects of Pix/Cool on Rac), the user first drags the species of interest into the condition box. Subsequently the user is prompted to select the relationship between the species (boxed in red). The available relationships include "Independent" and "Co-operative", corresponding to the OR and AND Boolean operators, respectively. The Co-operative relationship is selected for the first condition of the Pix/Cool module. Note that any number of conditioned species can be added as part of a condition, and the selected relationship applies to all conditioned species. Similarly when multiple conditions are specified for a regulation module (as is the case with the Pix/Cool module), the user needs to also specify either an Independent or Co-operative relationship for the condition. (highlighted in blue). In the case of Pix/Cool, there are three independent conditions. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0046417.g003

uniqueness and predictability, we show that given unique combination of user inputs, Bio-Logic Builder generates a predictable, however, not globally unique result. In other words, users can obtain the same truth table with k different sets of

regulation modules (k has not been enumerated). On the other hand, it is not possible for a set of user-defined regulation modules to generate more than one unique Boolean function. Hence, Bio-Logic Builder is unique in an unidirectional fashion.

Positive Regulation Module Center for: Rac

RasGRF	×	Tiam	×	Pix_Cool	×	DOCK180	3
conditions :		Conditions :		Conditions (Independent):		Conditions :	
Cell attachment (IF / WHEN ECM AND ON)	Integrins is	Cell attachment (IF / WHEN ECM AN ON)	D Integrins is	Gbg_i and PAK presence (IF / WHEN PAK AND Gbg is ON) Sub Conditions (Co-operative):		Cell attachment (IF/WHEN ECM AND ON)	D Integrins is
RasGRF Center		Tiam Ce	nter	Cdc42 and Rac activity (IF / WHEN Cdc42 AND Rac is OF Cell attachment	F)	DOCK180	Center
				(IF / WHEN Integrins AND ECM is (ON)		
				Gbg_i absence (IF / WHEN Gbg is OFF) Sub Conditions (Co-operative):			
				Cdc42 activity (IF / WHEN Cdc42 is ON)			
				Cell attachment (IF / WHEN Integrins AND ECM is ((NC		
				Rac activity (IF / WHEN Rac is OFF)			
				PAK absence (IF / WHEN PAK is OFF) Sub Conditions (Co-operative):			
				RhoGDI absence (IF / WHEN RhoGDI is OFF)			
				Absence of other positive regs. (IF / WHEN DOCK180 AND RasGF OFF)	RF AND Tiam is		
				Cell attachment (IF / WHEN Integrins AND ECM is (ON)		
				Cdc42 activity (IF / WHEN Cdc42 is ON)			
				Rac activity (IF / WHEN Rac is OFF)			
				Pix Cool Center			



This k:1 relationship between sets of user inputs and the unique Boolean functions provides users with flexibility in the conceptual interpretation of a given regulatory mechanism. Detailed discussions of scalability, uniqueness, and predictability can be found in the *Scalability of the Bio-Logic Builder Algorithm* and *Predictability, Uniqueness and Correctness of the results of the Bio-Logic Builder Algorithm* sections in Supporting Information S1, the results of these analyses of the algorithm are summarized in this section. The correctness of the algorithm is also addressed in Supporting Information S1. Therein we detail how Bio-Logic Builder generates the correct Boolean function of a biochemical species using the defined set of positive/negative regulation modules.

Usability and intuitiveness of the graphical user interface

The usability and intuitiveness of Bio-Logic Builder was tested by creating some of the most complex regulatory mechanisms included in one of the largest models of signal transduction (135 molecular species with hundreds of biochemical interactions, [13]), as well as other models such as yeast cell cycle [15,16], ErbBstimulated G1/S cell cycle transition [14], and Influenza A replication cycle (manuscript in preparation, however, the model is available in The Cell Collective [17]).

Model simulations

Because models constructed in the presented tool are described using standard Boolean formalisms, they can be simulated by a

Regulation Summary Page for: Rac

Upstream Regulators of Rac:[Akt, Cdc42, DOCK180, ECM, Gbg_i, Integrins, PAK, Pix_Cool, Rac, RalBP1, RasGRF_GRP, RhoGDI, Tiam, p190RhoGAP]

Regulatory Effects	Regulatory Modules	Conditions	SubConditions
Negative	Akt	(If/When Rac is Active)	
Negative	RalBP1	(If/When Rac is Active)	
Negative	RhoGDI	(Unless PAK is Active)	
Negative	p190RhoGAP	(If/When Rac is Active)	
Positive	DOCK180	(If/When ECM AND Integrins are Active)	
Positive	Pix_Cool	(If/When Gbg_i is Inactive) OR (If/When PAK is Inactive) OR (If/When Gbg_i AND PAK are Active)	(If/When Rac is Inactive) AND (If/When Cdc42 is Active) AND (If/When ECM AND Integrins are Active) (If/When Rac is Inactive) AND (If/When RhoGDI is Inactive) AND (If/When DOCK180 AND RasGRF_GRP AND Tiam are Inactive) AND (If/When Cdc42 is Active) AND (If/When ECM AND Integrins are Active) (If/When Cdc42 AND Rac are Inactive) AND (If/When ECM AND Integrins are Active)
Positive	RasGRF_GRP	(If/When ECM AND Integrins are Active)	
Positive	Tiam	(If/When ECM AND Integrins are Active)	

Notes about Dominancy of Positive/Negative Regulators:

-The negative regulation of Akt is dominant over no Positive regulator(s)

-The negative regulation of RalBP1 is dominant over following positive regulator(s): [RasGRF_GRP, Tiam, DOCK180]

-The negative regulation of RhoGDI is dominant over all Positive regulator(s).

-The negative regulation of p190RhoGAP is dominant over following positive regulator(s): [RasGRF_GRP, Tiam, DOCK180]

Notes about activation state in the absence of Positive/Negative Regulators:

The activation state in the absence of Positive/Negative Regulators is: OFF

Figure 5. A screen shot of the Summary Page of the Rac regulatory mechanism. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0046417.g005

large number of software tools. In addition to the previously mentioned Cell Collective (whose simulation engine is based on ChemChains [39]), additional examples of tools able to simulate Boolean models include BooleanNet [40], BoolNet [41], GINSim [7], or Genetic Network Analyzer [8].

Conclusions

The lack of simple-to-use tools for creating/editing and simulating computational models plays a significant role in the gap that exists between the computational and experimental sides of biomedical research [6]. With Bio-Logic Builder, we were able to capture the qualitative nature of computational models and translate it into a math-friendly and relatively intuitive user interface that allows users to build complex biological interaction without the need to enter or edit any mathematical equations. This was accomplished by generalizing the relationships between biological regulatory components to qualitative relationships, or bio-logic gates. To the users, these bio-logic gates appear as black-boxes in which the mathematical functions are generated in the background based on the gates' properties (i.e., conditions and subconditions). Using purely qualitative information directly generated in the laboratory and published in the literature, we demonstrated how Bio-Logic Builder is used to build the 14component regulatory mechanism of the Rac protein without the need for a direct interaction with mathematical equations. To test the scalability of the software we show (mathematically) that the algorithm can be used to build any mathematical representation of a biological process. Furthermore, to verify the userintuitiveness of the tool, we used Bio-Logic Builder to create some of the most complex signaling regulatory mechanisms of an existing models [13,14,16] All of these models are available to the scientific community in The Cell Collective (http://wwww. thecellcollective.org; [17]). A current limitation of the software includes the inability to import models created by others. This is largely due to the fact that current exchange standards such as SBML lack support for qualitative models. However, a new extension of SBML, compatible with these models, is already under development (http://sbml.org/Community/Wiki/ SBML_Level_3_Proposals/Qualitative_Models). Once its development is completed, a feature to import models will be also.

Methods

Implementation

Bio-Logic Builder is a server-based tool implemented in Java and powered by MySQL database. The user interface was implemented primarily using JavaServer Faces (http://www. javaserverfaces.org) and Primefaces (http://www.primefaces.org).

Boolean models

Boolean modeling is a (kinetic) parameter-free modeling approach based on qualitative data (e.g., kinase X phosphorylates and activates protein \mathcal{Y}). Boolean models consist of i) components (or nodes) that correspond to biochemical species (such as proteins, genes, etc.) and ii) directed edges representing the interactions between the components (e.g., protein-protein interactions). Each node can assume one of two states - ON/active or OFF/inactive (or 1 and 0, respectively).

Which state a node will assume at any given time is determined by the node's Boolean function. Boolean functions can be represented in various ways such as truth tables or Boolean expressions. As an example, consider a hypothetical simple twonode model in Figure 6A. In this particular model, node P



Figure 6. A sample two-node toy model. A) Static diagram representing the relationship between the nodes. B) Truth table representations of the Boolean functions for nodes P and Q. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0046417.g006

activates node Q and vice versa. In addition, both nodes can self-activate.

The truth tables depicting regulatory mechanisms of nodes P and Q are illustrated in Figure 6B. The left-hand side of the tables contains all theoretically possible combinations of the ON/OFF states (of which there are 2^n) of the input nodes, whereas the right-most column of the tables corresponds to the Boolean value of the node (referred to as "output node") for the particular state combination of the input nodes. As can be seen from the truth table representation, the functions of both nodes P and Q correspond to a simple OR function, which can also be symbolically represented as a Boolean expression as:

 $P \lor Q$

User input and algorithm structure

Main regulators. At the most basic level of a biological regulatory mechanism, users can define positive regulation and negative regulation modules (activator and inhibitors, respectively). Users also define the dominance of individual negative regulators over positive regulators (if applicable). Finally, users specify the state of the biological entity in the absence (i.e., inactivity) of all positive and negative regulators. These regulatory definitions are subsequently used by the software to create the Boolean function representing a regulatory mechanism of a given species as follows.

All main positive/negative regulators are defined as independent modulators of the given species. Hence the Boolean expression is constructed by concatenating all positive regulators using the Boolean OR operator (Figure 7A). As also illustrated in the figure, negative regulators defined in the Bio-Logic builder are then appended (AND'ed) in a negated form to the positive regulators. The set of negative regulators that is appended to each individual positive regulator is determined by the dominance of the corresponding negative regulator selected by the user. For example, if NR1 and NR2 are specified to be dominant over PR1, the corresponding part of the Boolean expression will be the following:

$(PR1 \land \neg (NR1 \lor NR2))...$

If NR3 is specified as the only negative regulator dominant over PR2, the Boolean expression will be constructed as:

$$(PR2 \land \neg (NR3))$$
..

Conditions and subconditions. Users may define complex regulatory mechanisms using conditions and subconditions that



Figure 7. Bio-Logic Builder algorithm for construction of Boolean expressions. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0046417.q007

are applied to a (positive/negative) regulation module. Each positive or negative regulator can have n conditions. Each condition is constructed as a Boolean expression substring which is subsequently appended (AND'ed) to the positive or negative regulatory element (Figure 7B). Furthermore, each condition can have m subconditions. Similar to the conditions, each subcondition is a Boolean expression substring that is appended (AND'ed) to the corresponding conditio (Figure 7C).

Co-operative versus independent relationships. When defining a condition or subcondition, multiple regulators may be specified. In this case, the user must specify the relationship between the regulators. This may be co-operative or independent. As illustrated in Panels B and C in Figure 7, in a co-operative relationship, the elements are combined with the AND operator, whereas in an independent relationship, the elements are combined with the OR operator.

As mentioned in the *Conditions and subconditions* section above, multiple (sub)conditions can be defined. Similar to having multiple components for each (sub)condition, the user must specify the cooperative or independent relationship for the (sub)conditions that are subsequently combined to the corresponding Boolean expression (Figure 7B and C).

IF/WHEN and UNLESS statements of conditions and subconditions. Each (sub)condition is defined by combining the "IF/WHEN" or "UNLESS" statements with a list of regulators that are specified as either Active or Inactive. In terms of a Boolean expression, the specifying IF/WHEN Inactive or UNLESS Active for a given (set of) regulators corresponds to the Boolean NOT operator. (As mentioned above, multiple (sub)condition regulators are defined, the user must specify whether the regulators are co-operative or independent.

For example, the condition "IF/WHEN A, B, C ARE OFF" (A, B, and C are co-operative regulators) corresponds the following Boolean expression:

$$(\neg A \land \neg B \land \neg C)$$

References

- Kahlem P, Birney E (2006) Dry work in a wet world: computation in systems biology. Mol Syst Biol 2: 40.
- Weng G, Bhalla US, Iyengar R (1999) Complexity in biological signaling systems. Science 284: 92–6.

Also, "UNLESS A B C IS ON" (A, B, and C are independent regulators) is interpreted as:

$$(\neg A \lor \neg B \lor \neg C)$$

Software output

Once a user defines the regulatory mechanism of a biological species of interest, Bio-Logic Builder generates the corresponding Boolean function in the form of a Boolean expression as well as a truth table which can be saved in text and tab-delimited (.csv) files, respectively. Because both formats are standard representations of Boolean functions, they can be subsequently read and evaluated by other simulation software tools. Furthermore, the regulatory mechanisms defined in Bio-Logic Builder can be also exported in the Systems Biology Markup Language format (SBML [42]; based on the most recent version of the L3 extension for qualitative models [43]).

Availability and requirements

Bio-Logic Builder is freely available as part of The Cell Collective modeling platform (http://www.thecellcollective.org; [17]). The software has been optimized for the Firefox and Chromium web browsers, and also works in the Opera and Internet Explorer web browsers.

Supporting Information

Supporting Information S1 Detailed description and analysis of the Bio-Logic Builder algorithm. (PDF)

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: TH JAR. Performed the experiments: TH BK KL IT MS NK RS TR AM. Analyzed the data: TH JAR JP JK AM. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: JP JK. Wrote the paper: TH JAR.

- Jordan JD, Landau EM, Iyengar R (2000) Signaling networks: the origins of cellular multitasking. Cell 103: 193–200.
- Eungdamrong NJ, Iyengar R (2004) Modeling cell signaling networks. Biol Cell 96: 355–62.

- Fisher J, Henzinger TA (2007) Executable cell biology. Nat Biotechnol 25: 1239–49.
- 6. Faeder JR (2011) Toward a comprehensive language for biological systems. BMC biology 9: 68.
- Naldi A, Berenguier D, Faur A, Lopez F, Thieffry D, et al. (2009) Logical modelling of regulatory networks with ginsim 2.3. Biosystems 97: 134–9.
- de Jong H, Geiselmann J, Hernandez C, Page M (2003) Genetic network analyzer: qualitative simulation of genetic regulatory networks. Bioinformatics 19: 336–44.
- Morris MK, Saez-Rodriguez J, Sorger PK, Lauffenburger DA (2010) Logicbased models for the analysis of cell signaling networks. Biochemistry 49: 3216– 24.
- Helikar T, Kochi N, Konvalina J, Rogers JA (2011) Boolean Modeling of Biochemical Networks. The Open Bioinformatics Journal 4: 16–25.
- Beyer T, Busse M, Hristov K, Gurbiel S, Smida M, et al. (2011) Integrating signals from the T-cell receptor and the interleukin-2 receptor. PLoS computational biology 7: e1002121.
- Rodriguez A, Sosa D, Torres L, Molina B, Frias S, et al. (2012) A Boolean network model of the FA/BRCA pathway. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England) 28: 858–66.
- Helikar T, Konvalina J, Heidel J, Rogers JA (2008) Emergent decision-making in biological signal transduction networks. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 105: 1913– 8.
- Sahin O, Frhlich H, Lbke C, Korf U, Burmester S, et al. (2009) Modeling erbb receptor-regulated g1/s transition to find novel targets for de novo trastuzumab resistance. BMC Syst Biol 3: 1.
- Todd R, Helikar T (In press) Ergodic sets as cell phenotype of budding yeast cell cycle. PLoS ONE.
- Irons D (2009) Logical analysis of the budding yeast cell cycle. Journal of Theoretical Biology.
- Helikar T, Kowal B, McClenathan S, Bruckner M, Rowley T, et al. (2012) The Cell Collective: Toward an open and collaborative approach to systems biology. BMC systems biology 6: 96.
- Cantor SB, Urano T, Feig LA (1995) Identification and characterization of ralbinding protein 1, a potential downstream target of ral gtpases. Mol Cell Biol 15: 4578–84.
- Reuther GW, Der CJ (2000) The ras branch of small gtpases: Ras family members don't fall far from the tree. Curr Opin Cell Biol 12: 157–65.
- Takai Y, Sasaki T, Matozaki T (2001) Small gtp-binding proteins. Physiol Rev 81: 153–208.
- Bernards A, Settleman J (2004) Gap control: regulating the regulators of small gtpases. Trends Cell Biol 14: 377–85.
- Corbett KD, Alber T (2001) The many faces of ras: recognition of small gtpbinding proteins. Trends Biochem Sci 26: 710–6.
- Wennerberg K, Der CJ (2004) Rho-family gtpases: it's not only rac and rho (and i like it). J Cell Sci 117: 1301–12.
- Robbe K, Otto-Bruc A, Chardin P, Antonny B (2003) Dissociation of gdp dissociation inhibitor and membrane translocation are required for efficient activation of rac by the dbl homology-pleckstrin homology region of tiam. J Biol Chem 278: 4756–62.

- DerMardirossian C, Schnelzer A, Bokoch GM (2004) Phosphorylation of rhogdi by pak1 mediates dissociation of rac gtpase. Mol Cell 15: 117–27.
- Kwon T, Kwon DY, Chun J, Kim JH, Kang SS (2000) Akt protein kinase inhibits racl-gtp binding through phosphorylation at serine 71 of racl. J Biol Chem 275: 423–8.
- Kiyono M, Kaziro Y, Satoh T (2000) Induction of rac-guanine nucleotide exchange activity of rasgrf1/cdc25(mm) following phosphorylation by the nonreceptor tyrosine kinase src. J Biol Chem 275: 5441–6.
- Rossman KL, Der CJ, Sondek J (2005) Gef means go: turning on rho gtpases with guanine nucleotide-exchange factors. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 6: 167–80.
- Habets GG, Scholtes EH, Zuydgeest D, van der Kammen RA, Stam JC, et al. (1994) Identification of an invasion-inducing gene, tiam-1, that encodes a protein with homology to gdp-gtp exchangers for rho-like proteins. Cell 77: 537–49.
- Manser E, Loo TH, Koh CG, Zhao ZS, Chen XQ, et al. (1998) Pak kinases are directly coupled to the pix family of nucleotide exchange factors. Mol Cell 1: 183–92.
- Feng Q, Albeck JG, Cerione RA, Yang W (2002) Regulation of the cool/pix proteins: key binding partners of the cdc42/rac targets, the p21-activated kinases. J Biol Chem 277: 5644–50.
- Kiyokawa E, Hashimoto Y, Kurata T, Sugimura H, Matsuda M (1998) Evidence that dock180 up-regulates signals from the crkii-p130(cas) complex. J Biol Chem 273: 24479–84.
- Brugnera E, Haney L, Grimsley C, Lu M, Walk SF, et al. (2002) Unconventional rac-gef activity is mediated through the dock180-elmo complex. Nat Cell Biol 4: 574–82.
- Ct JF, Vuori K (2007) Gef what? dock180 and related proteins help rac to polarize cells in new ways. Trends Cell Biol 17: 383–93.
- Li Z, Hannigan M, Mo Z, Liu B, Lu W, et al. (2003) Directional sensing requires g beta gammamediated pak1 and pix alpha-dependent activation of cdc42. Cell 114: 215–27.
- Kaibuchi K, Kuroda S, Amano M (1999) Regulation of the cytoskeleton and cell adhesion by the rho family gtpases in mammalian cells. Annu Rev Biochem 68: 459–86.
- 37. Cerione RA (2004) Cdc42: new roads to travel. Trends Cell Biol 14: 127-32.
- Baird D, Feng Q, Cerione RA (2005) The cool-2/alpha-pix protein mediates a cdc42-rac signaling cascade. Curr Biol 15: 1–10.
- Helikar T, Rogers JA (2009) Chemchains: a platform for simulation and analysis of biochemical networks aimed to laboratory scientists. BMC Syst Biol 3: 58.
- Albert I, Thakar J, Li S, Zhang R, Albert R (2008) Boolean network simulations for life scientists. Source Code Biol Med 3: 16.
- Zheng J, Zhang D, Przytycki PF, Ziclinski R, Capala J, et al. (2010) Simboolnet– a cytoscape plugin for dynamic simulation of signaling networks. Bioinformatics 26: 141–2.
- Hucka M, Finney A, Sauro HM, Bolouri H, Doyle JC, et al. (2003) The systems biology markup language (sbml): a medium for representation and exchange of biochemical network models. Bioinformatics 19: 524–31.
- Büchel F, Wrzodek C, Mittag F, Dräger A, Eichner J, et al. (2012) Qualitative translation of relations from BioPAX to SBML qual. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England).